

General Screening Questions (pass/fail): proposals must pass these questions to be ranked

1. Does the proposed monitoring address a high-level question or high-priority research question?
 2. Is the proposed monitoring best characterized as effectiveness monitoring, status & trends monitoring, compliance monitoring, or validation monitoring? (definitions in CMS)
 3. Does the proposed monitoring complement or support other monitoring or planning efforts?
 4. Is it clear who needs and will use the data? (Will the proposed monitoring provide data of sufficient confidence to support actual management decisions?)
 5. Is this the right entity to perform the monitoring activity?
 6. Does this proposal avoid duplicating work being done by any other entity?
-

Criteria

- 1. Will the proposed monitoring provide data needed for Endangered Species Act de-listing and support Clean Water Act requirements?**
 - a. Proposal integrates objectives for fish recovery, water quality, and watershed health = 3
 - b. Proposal provides data primarily needed for only one major objective (ESA, CWA) = 1
 - c. Doesn't = 0

- 2. Will the proposed monitoring provide high priority data identified in an adopted salmon recovery or watershed plan?**
 - a. Salmon recovery plan + watershed plan = 3
 - b. Either salmon recovery plan or watershed plan = 1
 - c. No = 0

- 3. Does the proposed monitoring fill a data gap or baseline identified in the Comprehensive Monitoring Strategy High Priority Actions?**
 - a. Yes (top 10) = 3
 - b. Yes (11-22) = 1
 - c. No = 0

- 4. Does the proposed monitoring provide data required by (or fill a gap identified in) the State of the Salmon in Watershed report, or the State's Integrated Water Quality Assessment report?**
 - a. SOS + IA = 3
 - b. Either SOS or IA = 1
 - c. No = 0