

Washington Forum on Monitoring
September 3, 2008

Forum Budget Criteria Workgroup:

- Based on the draft criteria developed by the workgroup, members rated each of the proposed monitoring adds.
- The results fell into two groups:
 1. Three proposals, all directly related to the Monitoring Forum Framework, scored 8-10.
 2. Eight proposals scored 7 or lower.
- Lower-scoring proposals most likely rated lower because they were primarily responsive to mandates other than the Forum Framework.
- The draft criteria, and the scoring process, identified those proposals most directly in alignment with the Forum Framework and related to its implementation.

Proposed Add	Criteria				Total
	1	2	3	4	
ECY - Status & Trends	3	1	3	3	10
WDFW - Fish In / Fish Out	3	1	3	1	8
WDFW - Habitat Remote Sensing	3	3	3	1	10
WDFW - HPA	3	3	0	0	6
DNR – Aquatic Lands HCP	3	1	1	0	5
WCC - Livestock BMP Effectiveness Monitoring	3	1	1	1	6
AG – Pesticide Monitoring Cost Increases	3	3	0	1	7
ECY – Common Hydro Data Set (excluded in screening)	0	0	0	0	0
WDFW – Selective Fisheries	1	0	3	0	4
WDFW – Hatchery Reform	1	1	1	0	3
WDFW – Sci support for PSP	3	0	0	0	3