

MARCH 2, 1971

I. Opening of Meeting, Determination of Quorum, Introductions, Additions and Approval of Minutes of November 24, 1970, Additions to Agenda

II. Status Reports

- A. Fiscal Status Reports
- B. Planning Status Report
Demand Survey Analysis, Second Home Study, Out-of-state Visitor Count
- C. Special Studies
Rivers Classification Guidelines;
Skagit River Study;
Southwest Washington Study;
Trails.
- D. Project Status Report
New narrative report - current and usual long form for cumulative

OLD BUSINESS

III

- A. Interfund Loan Status
Sale of Referendum 18 Bonds.
- B. Housing and Urban Development Department report
- C. Methods of Funding IAC (Committee retained Int. 215 funding of IAC)
- D. Dishman Hills discussion and decision
Parcels B., C., II, and I.
- E. 1971-73 Budget Status report - Administrator
Operating Budget of IAC

1971-73 Capital Budget Revision to Accommodate Increased LWCF
(\$4,033,992 (\$2,016,996 local; \$2,016,996 State:
DNR 210,954)
Game 795,507) = \$ 2,016,996
Parks 1,010,535)

- E.1 Report on Safety Conference - Mrs. Madeline Lemere
Puget Sound and Adjacent Water Task Force Study - Ken Hertz discussion.
1971-73 Capital Budget line-items re State Parks (Flaming Geyser,
Walter Daniels, Fort Canby, Wallace Falls, Wanapum--Governor's Budg.)

IV C. (Deviation from agenda)

Pass Lake discussion and Resolution of the Committee

III

F. 1971 Legislation - Status - S. Francis

IV.

- A. Project Computer Program report
- B. Dept. Game - six sites - 1969-71 Water Access Program \$13,475.

D. Amendments to Procedural Guidelines.

1. Earnest money agreements - Guidelines remained the same.
2. Amendment to 4N, Procedural Guidelines - re Corps of Engineers permit
3. Deed Restriction/Reversionary Clause - DELETED FROM AGENDA.

E. Mercer Slough - Contingency Fund, BOR - proposed to come before May, 1971 meeting
of IAC

Adjournment

MINUTES OF THE
REGULAR MEETING OF THE
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

9:00 a.m. - Tuesday March 2, 1971

The Hearthstone Inn
Bremerton, Washington

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mr. Lewis A. Bell, Chairman; Mr. Omar Lofgren; Mr. Jack Rottler; Mrs. Frederick Lemere;
Mr. Carl N. Crouse, Director, Department of Game; Mr. Charles H. Odegaard, Director,
Parks and Recreation Commission; Mr. Thor C. Tollefson, Director, Department of Fisheries,
Mr. Daniel B. Ward, Director, Department of Commerce and Economic Development

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Mr. Warren A. Bishop; Mr. George N. Andrews, Director, Department of Highways; Honorable
Bert L. Cole, Commissioner of Public Lands

STAFF OF MEMBER AGENCIES PRESENT:

Department of Highways
Willa Mylroie, Research Engineer

Department of Fisheries
Elmer Quistorff, Assistant Chief, Contract Services

Department of Game
Jack Wayland, Recreation Resource Specialist

State Parks and Recreation Commission
Jan Tveten, Capital Budget Coordinator

Office of Program Planning and Fiscal Management
Gerald Pelton, State Planner

Department of Natural Resources
Al O'Donnell, Technical Assistant

Department of Commerce and Economic Development
John Swan, Planner

Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation
Stanley E. Francis, Administrator
E. V. Putnam, Assistant Administrator
R. Philip Clark, Program Coordinator
Robert S. Lemcke, Recreation Resource Specialist
Leighton Pratt, Recreation Resource Specialist
Glenn Moore, Recreation Resource Specialist
D. Rodney Mack, Sr. Recreation Planner
James Scott, Recreation Resource Specialist
Caroline Feiss, Planner
Marjorie M. Frazier, Administrative Secretary
Kenn Cole, Fiscal Officer

LOCAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mr. William Fearn, City of Spokane, Director, Parks and Recreation Department
Mrs. Joan Blaisdell, City of Bellevue, Federal-State Project Coordinator
Mr. Warren Sutliff, Planning Director, Yakima County Planning Commission
Mr. Andrew Pendergast, Superintendent, Parks and Recreation, Bremerton
Mr. Kenneth Hertz, Whatcom County, Director, Park Board, Bellingham, Washington

OTHER AGENCIES:

Mr. Michael Wright, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

I. Opening of Meeting, Determination of Quorum, Introductions, Additions and Approval of Minutes of November 24, 1970, Additions to Agenda

The meeting was called to order at 9:25 a.m., by Chairman Bell, seven members of the Committee being present. Introductions were made as follows: Mr. James Scott, new member of the staff of IAC assigned to the special studies being conducted through Mr. Clark, and Mr. Bob Lane, reporter from the Seattle Times. Mr. Bell then recognized the reappointment by Governor Evans of Omar Lofgren and Jack Rottler to the Interagency Committee for a three-year period (terms expire 12-31-74). On behalf of the Committee, he welcomed them back stating their past contributions had been most helpful and that he was personally glad to see their continuance on the Committee. Due to inclement weather conditions, the Chairman asked that remarks be brief during the course of the meeting to enable an early adjournment in the afternoon.

Approval of Minutes, November 24, 1970: Corrections or additions to the minutes of November 24, 1970, were then called for by the Chairman. There being none, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE, THAT THE MINUTES BE APPROVED. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

II Status Reports

A. Fiscal Status Report: The Chairman called attention of the Committee to staff memorandum entitled "Fiscal Status Reports", dated March 2, 1971, and asked Mr. Kenn Cole for explanation. Mr. Cole referred to the four reports attached to the memorandum:

- (1) Status Report of Operating Expense
- (2) Interim Statement of Operating Expense
(July 1, 1969 - January 31, 1971)
- (3) Disbursement Record - Local Agency Projects
(November 1, 1970 - January 31, 1971)
- (4) Fund Summary - January 31, 1971

Corrections were made to the Interim Statement of Operating Expense and Fund Summary reports as follows:

Encumbrances figure of \$12,070.49 on Interim State of Operating Expense should be \$22,070.49;

Fund Summary footnote with asterisk, second figure was corrected to \$633,909.00 instead of \$633,090.00

Mr. Kenn Cole pointed out in the Disbursement Record concerning LOCAL AGENCY PROJECTS that 32 vouchers had been processed in the quarter, nearly twice the amount handled during the preceding quarter. Also, he noted that this was the first time since the first funding meeting in 1966 that the number of projects concluded had exceeded the number approved during the same quarter. Local Agency projects approved through January 31, 1971 total 189; closed - 92; and current 97. Mr. Bell questioned the allocations of the Department of Game totaling \$173,928.31 yet available. Mr. Cole explained the figure was somewhat misleading because Initiative 215 monies would actually increase this amount as they are received from the Department of Motor Vehicles. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. LOFGREN, SECONDED BY MR. ROTTLER THAT THE FISCAL STATUS REPORTS BE ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

B. Planning Status Report: Attention was then called to staff memorandum dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Planning Status Report". Mr. Rodney Mack was asked for explanation and commented on the three main subjects of the memorandum: Demand Survey Analysis; Second Home Study; and Out-of-state Visitor Survey.

Demand Survey Analysis: The analysis of demand data of the 1967 survey will be undertaken by an interdisciplinary academic team from Western Washington State College. The team will be composed of professors in fields of sociology, geography, demography, mathematics and computer sciences. Analysis of the demand survey will be completed by July 1, 1971, and the study director will make a full presentation of findings to the Committee at its August 1971 meeting.

Second Home Study: Survey phase of the study is now complete; final report is being prepared. Mr. Mack pointed out that the survey encompassed 164 privately developed recreational subdivisions in 28 counties of the state, representing approximately one-half of the total number of such developments in the state of Washington. Final report will analyze the growth of such developments, natural amenities and recreational services they have, the market areas drawn from, and their impact on public recreation and environment. Draft of the report will be circulated to various state agencies, National Forest Service and representatives of the developments, for review and comment.

In response to a question from the Chairman, Mr. Mack defined a recreational sub-division (as used in this study) as a development of four or more lots which are sold primarily as second or vacation homes as opposed to permanent living arrangement. Mr. Odegaard inquired whether the study included condominiums and was informed that although a count was made, information comparable to that for second homes was not collected. All available information is to be included in the final study. Mr. Mack then reviewed the Second Home Study Status Report (dated February 1, 1971), and a map indicating acreage of second home development in the IAC sampling by county (dated December 31, 1970).

Out-of-state Visitor Count: It was pointed out that the visitor survey was being conducted by the IAC to provide meaningful data for updating of the Washington Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation and Open Space Plan, and to give the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation new out-of-state visitor use figures for use in their funding formula to calculate the Land and Water Conservation Fund monies which would be allocated to the state of Washington. The survey is being conducted on 25 recreation sites, including 16 State Park areas and the three National Parks. Reference was made to four attachments to the memorandum:

1971 Visitor Survey Sites
Map of the survey sites
Visitor Count Schedule Sheet
Visitor Count Status Sheet (concerning counts already made for January 23, 1971 and February 13, 1971.

Mr. Crouse suggested there be consideration to counts being made in certain fishing areas frequented by out-of-state visitors. Mr. Mack pointed out that the study was not necessarily to give a total figure of out-of-state use, but merely an index to be used in the funding formula of the BOR. He explained the limited budget for the study and that it was necessary to choose those areas within the state which receive the most people at a particular time of year. Mr. Odegaard mentioned that he had directed a member of his staff (Mr. Curt Freeman) to work with the IAC on this survey. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, THAT THE PLANNING STATUS REPORT BE ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

C. Special Studies: Mr. Bell called upon Mr. Philip Clark for the report on special studies, i.e., Rivers Classification; Skagit River; Southwest Washington River Basins; and Trails.

Mr. Clark referred to a memorandum dated March 2, 1971, from Stanley D. Scott, Department of Game, entitled "Rivers Classification Guidelines", and asked Mr. Scott to review the present status of the Rivers Classification Committee which had been set up by the IAC at its November 24, 1970 meeting. Mr. Scott briefly outlined information in the memorandum, making the following points:

(1) The Rivers Classification Committee had met with the IAC staff several times since the November 1970 IAC meeting, and had also held meetings with several member agencies to discuss and formulate the development of the guidelines. The Committee is composed of representatives of: Department of Game, State Parks, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Fisheries, and Commerce and Economic Development, BOR, and the IAC.

(2) A draft relating to selection and classification system of wild and scenic and recreation rivers is being completed and will be mailed out to people involved and other agencies for comment.

(3) Goals involved were listed in the memorandum:

(a) to develop guidelines for classification of the state's rivers, i.e., primitive, natural, rural, urban;

(b) followed by identification of those rivers or sections

with potential for classification under the system;

(c) development of guidelines and management objectives for implementing and managing a system of state classified rivers. This will include development of proposed legislation for introduction at the Special Session of the Legislature in 1972, assuming that a bill is not passed in the current session.

Mr. Scott explained that final recommendations on the classification guidelines would be presented to the Committee at its May, 1971 quarterly meeting. He felt it would be worthwhile to investigate the geological land use and environmental use classifications which Mr. Wolf Bauer had presented to the IAC at the Briefing Meeting on Monday, March 1. A short analysis was then given by Mr. Scott of House Bill 554 (Natural Rivers Preservation Act, Executive Request bill), which would place certain review and administrative procedures concerning river preservations under the Department of Ecology. Mr. Odegaard *inquired* ~~pointed out~~ that the bill would place the planning for rivers in the Department of Ecology and eligibility for distribution of monies ~~would also be~~ in Ecology as adverse to the Interagency Committee. Mr. Scott felt the main thrust of the bill would give Ecology the responsibility to cooperate with other departments and agencies in the planning context concerning river evaluation in the state, that the bill in no way speaks to federal funds. Mr. Odegaard, *asked Mr. Scott if the Mr. Odegaard had understood it correctly,* however, felt that Section 4 of the bill placed the planning regarding the recreational aspect of the rivers under the Department of Ecology as well as the identification and management, and ~~that it would appear~~ that the Department of Ecology would then be in the business of operation and management of lands. Ecology's role, Mr. Scott said, would be one of encouraging and recommending but that department would not become involved in the acquisition phase itself. The Chairman asked whether the Committee would like to take action on the bill, whereupon Mr. Odegaard asked that it be considered under "Legislation" on the agenda. MR ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, TO ACCEPT THE REPORT OF MR. STANLEY SCOTT, DEPARTMENT OF GAME, AS COORDINATOR OF THE RIVERS CLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Skagit River Study: Mr. Wolf Bauer's presentation to the IAC on Monday, March 1, 1971, concerning rivers was mentioned by Mr. Phil Clark, and the fact that three representatives of the U. S. Forest Service had attended that meeting and had made a presentation on the Skagit River Study. For the record, those present at Monday's Briefing Meeting from the U. S. Forest Service were: Mr. Herbert Barth, Skagit Study Coordinator, Mt. Baker National Forest; Mr. Harold Criswell, Supervisor, Mt. Baker National Forest, and Mr. George Williams, Regional Forester's Office. It was noted that the work plan outlining the methodology by which the study will take place is in draft form and will be mailed to agencies involved as soon as the draft has been finalized by the subcommittee. Two points brought out at the Briefing Meeting were mentioned by Mr. Clark: (1) an interpretation will need to be made in terms of depth of the study as it relates to Water Resources Planning Act of 1962 and evaluation of the Skagit River uses; and (2) whether or not conclusions of the State are to be incorporated into the study. Mr. Clark suggested a letter be written by the Chairman of the IAC to the appropriate official of the federal government on these matters.

Southwest Washington Study: Memorandum dated March 2, 1971, "entitled Southwest Washington River Basins Study Status Report", was then referred to by

Mr. Clark. Assignment of Mr. James Scott of the IAC full time to the study was announced. A study work plan has been prepared and submitted to the Department of Ecology for approval. Mr. Clark briefly touched upon the Goals and Objectives as outlined in the memorandum specifically mentioning that the staff is working with the Soil Conservation Service to utilize its computerized land inventory system to aid in determining potential inventory in the Southwest Area of potential recreational opportunities; and also utilizing information developed by the Economic Research Service to determine the economic impact of recreation in the study area. Target date for completion of a final draft of the study report for IAC review was given as December 31, 1971.

Mrs. Mylroie inquired whether the Batelle Study of the Long Beach area had been considered in the Southwest Washington Study. Mr. James Scott stated that the Long Beach study had only been related to the Long Beach strip and that Batelle had been essentially studying the area for a specific purpose -- to determine use for ocean beaches. Where findings are applicable to the Southwest Washington Study, he said, these would be incorporated into the study. Mr. Odegaard then mentioned a study done by Batelle for the Grays Harbor area as well as one that the National Park Service ~~is doing~~ in that area and inquired whether these would be of any value. At this point, Mr. Clark explained that there is a great amount of data and information available or being collected, and the IAC is aware of these various sources, and will take them into account in the Southwest Washington Study.

Trails: Memorandum dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Trails Status", was then referred to by Mr. Clark. Since the Trails Status Report submitted to the Governor and the Legislature by IAC staff (dated January 11, 1971) was previously mailed to Committee members, Mr. Clark summarized the points involved in completion of the final Trails Report. A draft of this report will be available the middle of March for review of the Ad Hoc Trails Committee. This committee is composed of some twenty-six private organizations and public agencies which took on the task of making the Trails Study as requested by the 1969 Legislature. The draft plan will be revised as appropriate upon review of the Ad Hoc Committee and will be submitted to IAC with a staff recommendation for initiation of procedures for adoption in August, 1971. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, TO ACCEPT THE SPECIAL STUDIES REPORT AS PRESENTED BY MR. CLARK. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

D. Project Status Report: Mr. Bell called attention to staff memorandum dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Project Status Report", asking Mr. Robert Lemcke for explanation. Mr. Lemcke noted that since November's IAC meeting, 18 projects (12 local and 6 state) had been closed; thus overall status on local projects was 94 current and 95 complete, with status on State projects being 47 current and 32 complete. (Due to cutoff dates, there is some discrepancy between the report on projects completed and closed as recorded by Mr. Kenn Cole, Fiscal Officer and the report of Mr. Lemcke.)

The new narrative description reports of the projects (both local current and state current) were explained by Mr. Lemcke. It was the consensus during Committee discussion that this type of report was preferable to the coded reports used in the past and the members approved its future use. Questions were called for. Mr. Odegaard asked if at one time the Committee had not

adopted a ruling that monies allocated but not used by an agency could be given to some other agency to use. Mr. Lemcke replied that once a project is approved, it is approved for a certain period of time -- known as the project period, as explained in the Procedural Guidelines. If the funds are not used, they revert back to the particular state agency fund or, in the case of local agencies, to the overall funds for local agencies. (Refer to minutes of March 3, 1970, Page (4), Paragraph (2).) Mr. Clark noted there were timing requirements on project processing in the Procedural Guidelines.

The problem of deed recital holding up several of the local projects was cited by Mrs. Lemere. Mr. Lemcke stated this matter was presently being resolved and these projects could be closed shortly after such resolution was confirmed.

Reference was then made by Mr. Odegaard to Current State Agency Projects Status Report, page (2), Department of Natural Resources, items "69-71 Recreation Sites Acquisition" and "69-71 Recreation Sites Development". He asked if the IAC was funding DNR projects on leased lands where there is no access for the public. Mr. A. O'Donnell explained that there have been no IAC funds spent on lands to which the people of the state would not have access. However, at the May, 1971, meeting of the IAC, the Department of Natural Resources will ask that two areas in its 1969-71 Recreation Sites program be withdrawn. He mentioned legislation presently before the State Legislature to clarify the position of the Department of Natural Resources regarding the right of eminent domain for public access. Mr. Odegaard then asked if any development had been started on any of the four sites which had not yet been put under lease. Mr. Lemcke replied the lands must be acquired before any development takes place.

Mr. Bell then inquired if there was any reason why state agency projects are not concluded as rapidly as local projects. Mr. Lemcke admitted progress could be better in regard to the state agency projects and that with the new procedures, these projects, too, would soon be cleared up.

- Mr. Lofgren asked that the date of approval of the project be shown on the new status reports. IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, THAT THE PROJECT STATUS REPORTS BE ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE. MOTION WAS CARRIED. Members of the Committee and Mr. Bell complimented the staff on progress being made in clearing up the project back-log.

OLD BUSINESS

III A. Interfund Loan Status: Mr. Putnam referred to staff memorandum dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Interfund Loan Status", with attachments (letter from IAC to the State Finance Committee dated January 19, 1971, copy of Senate Bill #150 -1971 Legislature, and memorandum of February 1, 1971 to the State Finance Committee file), and reported on current status as follows:

(1) The Administrator of the IAC has requested of the State Finance Committee that the interest rate on the interfund loan be reduced to a level comparable to rates currently in effect, and that the interest charges already applied on the loan be adjusted retroactively from the date of the

first loan (September 24, 1970) to reflect this lower rate; This request was discussed by the State Finance Committee at its January, 1971, meeting, but action was deferred until its March, 1971 meeting. However, it is anticipated that the interest rate on the loan will be set at about 4% rather than the 7% originally charged. In other words, the loan will be handled on the basis of the weekly expended amounts at the rate of interest current at that particular time back to September, 1970. This approach will be presented to the State Finance Committee for resolution at its March, 1971 meeting.

(2) The interfund loan authorization granted by the State Finance Committee is \$2,500,000. As of February 17, 1971, loans totaling \$1,200,000 have been applied against this authority.

(3) Sale of Referendum 18 bonds: Bonds are not contemplated to be offered for sale during the remainder of this biennium unless Senate Bill No. 150 is passed at this legislative session. Senate Bill 150 defers date of deposit of the bond retirement and interest requirements until the succeeding biennium. As of February 17, 1971, \$502,346 remained in the Outdoor Recreation Account. It was pointed out that this balance could easily be exhausted requiring further monies from the interfund loan process. Impact of the future payments to King County and the City of Seattle amounting to nearly one million dollars of IAC funds for projects which have been stalled pending resolution of the "deed recital", was mentioned. Once this money is paid out, there is no doubt that the balance of the interfund loan will be expended in short order. Therefore, Mr. Putnam noted that if legislation is not enacted soon and the bonds sold promptly, the IAC may require an additional interfund loan to carry out its functions. In reply to a question from Mr. Odegaard, Mr. Putnam stated that the Administrator had been given the authority by the Interagency Committee to make request for an additional interfund loan if it becomes necessary. (Refer Minutes May 26, 1969, Page (9), Paragraph (2).)

B. Housing and Urban Development Department report: Memorandum of staff dated March 2, 1971, entitled "HUD Open Space Funding", was referred to by Mr. Putnam. HUD funding was reported as follows: Only \$758,000 is presently available to this region for the Open Space Program during Fiscal Year 1971. \$250,000 of this amount was allocated to the Portland Area Office and \$508,000 to the Seattle Area Office. Additional funds may be made available to our region if other regions are unable to obligate all of their Open Space funds prior to July 1, 1971. Funds to be appropriated for the Open Space Program in Fiscal Year 1972 are not yet known. Following discussion, Mr. Bell directed the staff to send each member of the Committee by mail an analysis of the HUD funding situation as well as either a copy of the new bill which outlines application procedures of HUD or an analysis of it.

C. Methods of Funding IAC Operations: Mr. Putnam then referred to memorandum of staff dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Methods of Funding the Interagency Committee Operations", stating it was staff recommendation that the Operating Budget of IAC continue to be financed from Initiative 215 funds. Three funding methods were outlined in the memorandum: (1) Surcharge fees on state and local projects based on the total cost of the projects, (2) State General Fund, and (3) Use of Initiative 215 funds.

Mr. Rottler suggested that the method of compensating the Initiative 215 funds from other funds could be done in a more visible manner. Mr. Bell instructed staff to prepare for public information the use of 215 funds, simply and factually portraying that Initiative 215 funds budgeted for operating costs of the agency are fully replaced by Bond Issue monies which are used to acquire and develop marine outdoor recreation lands. Mr. Francis stated this had been done and would be a simple matter to pull together for dissemination. Mr. Odegaard suggested the percentage of the total operating budget be pro-rated among the various funds used so that it could be shown a certain percentage of these must be used for water projects.

The Committee recessed at 10:50 a.m. and reconvened at 11:05 a.m. At this point, Mr. Bell asked for a motion on the funding of the IAC. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. WARD, THAT THE OPERATING BUDGET OF THE INTER-AGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION CONTINUE TO BE FINANCED BY INITIATIVE 215 FUNDS. MOTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

D. Project Consideration

1. Dishman Hills - Request for Cost Increase - Spokane County: Mr. Bell called upon Mr. Lemcke for presentation of the request for cost increase regarding Dishman Hills. The memorandum in the kit dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Spokane County - Dishman Hills - Request for Cost Increase", had been changed due to discussions held at the Briefing Meeting on March 1, 1971. Mr. Lemcke therefore read the new staff recommendation on Dishman Hills to the Committee and requested that the following parcels of property be funded at the indicated costs which represent 10% over the review appraisal value:

Parcel B - Goldback	\$ 12,100
Parcel C - West Valley Builders	11,000
Parcel II - Richardson	16,500
Parcel I - Caro	36,410

Mr. Rottler agreed with the request regarding B, C, and II, but questioned Parcel I (Caro), pointing out that it had been appraised originally at \$40,000 and subsequently had had two new appraisal figures, the last being \$33,100. He felt there was some reason to believe that the value of the property actually was \$40,000. MR. ROTTLER THEN MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD, THAT THE DISHMAN HILLS PROJECT BE FUNDED ON LEVELS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE STAFF FOR PARCELS B, C, AND II:

<u>PARCEL</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>	<u>LOCAL SHARE</u>	<u>REFERENDUM 18</u>	<u>BOR</u>
PARCEL B	\$ 12,100	\$ 3,025	\$ 3,025	\$ 6,050
PARCEL C	11,000	2,750	2,750	5,500
PARCEL II	16,500	4,125	4,125	8,250

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

MR. ROTTLER THEN MOVED, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE, THAT PARCEL I (CARO) BE FUNDED ON THE BASIS OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OF \$40,000. Discussion followed. Mr.

Odegaard inquired the source of funding on this parcel. Mr. Lemcke replied the funding would be from Referendum 18 and the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Mr. Odegaard felt funding from the LWCF would be actually a 50/50 proposition and therefore of the \$40,000, the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation could approve \$20,000. He asked if the BOR would approve a project with ~~more~~ ^{less} than 50% funding. Mr. Lemcke stated the BOR would fund 50%, no more, no less. At this point, Mr. Mike Wright of the BOR was recognized by the Chairman and stated that BOR would pay 50% of the purchase price or the appraised price, whichever is the lesser amount. In the event a participating agency pays over the appraised price, it does so with its own funds. In response to a question from Mr. Lofgren, Mr. Wright stated that the BOR accepts the appraised price as reviewed and accepted by the state review appraiser. In this particular instance regarding Dishman Hills, he said, the BOR itself is pondering what should be done because of the different review appraiser figure. Mr. Bell pointed out that Dishman Hills was already an approved project which had not yet been closed, and thus it is eligible for funding taking into account the 10% increase over the appraisal figure as recommended by the IAC staff. Further, the 10% over appraisal policy had been approved by the Committee at its November 24, 1970 meeting, with power of review of each separate case in the hands of the Administrator of the IAC. Should the Administrator deny a 10% increase over the appraisal figure for any local agency after it had requested it, that agency could then appeal to the Committee for further consideration. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, SECONDED BY MR. WARD, TO AMEND MR. ROTTLER'S MOTION TO PROVIDE THAT PARCEL 1 (CARO) BE FUNDED ON THE BASIS OF THE REVIEW VALUE FIGURE OF \$33,100, PLUS THE 10% OVER REVIEW APPRAISAL, OR A TOTAL OF \$36,410:

Amended

<u>PARCEL</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>	<u>LOCAL SHARE</u>	<u>REFERENDUM 18</u>	<u>BOR</u>
PARCEL 1 (CARO)	\$ 36,410	\$ 9,102.50	\$ 9,102.50	\$ 18,205

Mr. Ward cautioned it would be well to set some guidelines as to how the Committee would proceed to handle other such cases in the future. Mr. Odegaard questioned the legality of the procedure and asked Mr. Richard Lancefield, Assistant Attorney General, for his opinion, and whether it would be possible to rescind action of the Committee if such became necessary. Mr. Lancefield replied that any approval of the Interagency Committee could be with a proviso subject to confirmation of its legality as determined by the Office of the Attorney General, but he felt it would be a mistake to expend the funds and then attempt to recoup them later. Question was called for on the motion AS AMENDED.

Following the voice vote, Mr. Bell asked for a show of hands on the voting. MR. ROTTLER, MR. LOFGREN, AND MR. CROUSE VOTED "NO": THE REST OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION. THERE BEING A MAJORITY OF MEMBERS VOTING IN FAVOR, THE MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Odegaard asked that the Committee consider a motion on eligibility of projects for the 10% adjustment above the appraised price. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, THAT PROJECTS ALREADY APPROVED AND CURRENT WITH THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION SHALL NOT BE INELIGIBLE FOR THE 10% CONSIDERATION FOR ADJUSTMENT ABOVE THE APPRAISED PRICE. He stated the

intent of his motion was NOT to state that projects will be given the 10% consideration per se, but that if a project has already been approved, it is not found to be retroactive and ineligible for consideration of the 10% adjustment above the appraised price. He pointed out that the Committee is cognizant the 10% consideration is the prerogative of the IAC Administrator and therefore an administrative judgment and agencies may appeal to the Interagency Committee for further review.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED.

E. 1971-73 Budget Status: The Chairman called upon the Administrator for presentation of the 1971-73 IAC Operating Budget. Mr. Francis referred to memoranda dated February 19, 1971, entitled "1971-73 Budget" with attached chart of Operating Budget, and March 2, 1971, entitled "Budget Analysis - 1971-73". He briefly outlined the operating budget reduction of \$2,675,742 -- \$2.5 million in local grant-in-aid funds and \$175,742 in actual operating funds. He indicated the reduction would not seriously impair current level of services. Using the chart (page (2)) of the memorandum dated February 19, 1971, he itemized the IAC request and the proposed budget of the Governor in regard to the IAC. Following Mr. Francis' explanation, Chairman Bell stated it was assumed that the Committee accepted the Governor's proposals as the IAC Operating Budget.

Mr. Francis then referred to memorandum entitled "1971-73 Capital Budget Revision To Accommodate Increased Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)", dated March 2, 1971. This memorandum clarified the President's recommended BOR Land and Water Conservation Fund appropriation of \$380 million for Fiscal Year 1972 -- of which \$280 million is to be apportioned to the states and \$100 million to federal agencies. The increased apportionment for the state of Washington will be approximately \$4,033,992. Mr. Francis recommended that the Committee approve a request to the Office of Program Planning and Fiscal Management (OPPFM) to increase the IAC Capital Budget by \$4,033,992 to accommodate an anticipated increase in LWCF apportionment based on the \$380 million appropriation level. Such request would be twofold: (1) To increase the local grants section of the IAC Operating Budget by \$2,016,996 and (2) to increase the state agency Outdoor Recreation Account budgets by \$2,016,996, distributed between the three participating state agencies as follows: Department of Natural Resources \$210,954; Department of Game, \$795,507, and the Parks and Recreation Commission, \$1,010,535.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. LOFGREN, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES ACTION BY THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE IAC TO REQUEST OF THE OFFICE OF PROGRAM PLANNING AND FISCAL MANAGEMENT AN INCREASE IN THE IAC 1971-73 CAPITAL BUDGET OF \$4,033,992 TO ACCOMMODATE ANTICIPATED INCREASE IN FEDERAL LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND APPORTIONMENT BASED ON A \$380 MILLION APPROPRIATION LEVEL; AND FURTHER, THAT THIS REQUEST BE TWOFOLD: (1) TO INCREASE LOCAL GRANTS SECTION OF THE IAC OPERATING BUDGET BY \$2,016,996; AND (2) TO INCREASE STATE AGENCY OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT BUDGETS BY \$2,016,996, AS FOLLOWS:

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	\$	210,954
DEPARTMENT OF GAME		795,507
STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION		1,010,535
	\$	<u>2,016,996</u>

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

E. (1) REPORT ON SAFETY CONFERENCE - MRS. MADELINE LEMERE: As an addition to the agenda, Mr. Bell called upon Mrs. Lemere to report on the conference held in San Francisco in October, 1970, an item which had been tabled from the November 24, 1970 meeting. Mrs. Lemere stated the conference was a National Forum organized by the National Recreation and Park Association entitled "Changing Concepts in Citizen Safety in Parks and Recreation". The Forum was designed to provide an opportunity for delegates to develop specific guidelines, recommendations and resolutions for positive and constructive action regarding operations and development of parks and recreation facilities insofar as the health and safety of the potential users was concerned. She was pleased to report that the City of Seattle was the only participating city which had produced actual statistics on crime in the parks of that area. The conference took up many problems of communication between law enforcement and park people, training of staff in changing concepts of multiple use of parks, and question of how to work with law enforcement. The conference lasted two days and resulted in some concrete recommendations which concern better communications and better training on changing concepts in safety within parks. As a result of Washington's participation, Mrs. Lemere stated she was presently working on a local workshop in King County which will pursue on a local level the kinds of problems that were discussed in San Francisco. She thanked the Committee for giving her the opportunity to attend the sessions and felt they had been most beneficial to the state of Washington. Mr. Bell, in turn, thanked Mrs. Lemere for her participation.

The Chairman introduced Mr. Ralph Mackey, Chairman of the Parks and Recreation Commission, State of Washington, from the City of Everett and expressed his appreciation for his attendance.

The Chairman then recognized Mr. Kenneth Hertz, member of the IAC Technical Committee, and Director of Parks and Recreation Whatcom County. Mr. Hertz brought up the matter of review by state agencies of the "Puget Sound and Adjacent Water Task Force Study." He stated the impact of this study around the Pacific Northwest region and the general area of the Northwest part of the state will be tremendous and it would behoove the IAC and other state agencies to undertake a review of the program so that input may be had from the state as a whole. Mr. Tollefson and Mr. Crouse stated their state departments had reviewed the study and would be sending in comments as soon as possible. Mr. Bell asked Mr. Philip Clark to give him his reactions on the study and suggested that any member of the IAC who wished could contact Mr. Clark in regard to it. He noted that if the IAC had comment of adverse nature, it should be forwarded to those involved in the study, since it would have a bearing on flowcharts for completion of the report.

The Committee recessed at 12:05 p.m. and reconvened at 1:25 p.m.

CAPITAL BUDGET:

The Chairman referred to page (3) of the memorandum from staff dated February 19, 1971, entitled "1971-73 Budget", and asked Mr. Francis for a review of paragraph (2) relating to the Capital Budget. Mr. Francis stated that the

Governor's Budget as prepared and submitted by OPPFM, shows some \$385,000 in the State Parks and Recreation Commission Capital Budget for administrative facilities and/or units at five (5) sites (Flaming Geyser, Walter Daniels, Fort Canby, Wallace Falls, and Wanapum.) This action is in direct opposition to the stated policy of the Interagency Committee regarding administrative facilities as put forth in the Procedural Guidelines (Page 15 - Section 4N(3)). Mr. Bell asked if it was the consensus of the Committee that the letter, dated February 24, 1971, which he had personally written to Mr. Walter Howe, Director of OPPFM, protesting such line-itemming of specific projects, should be followed up or should he desist from any further action. Mrs. Lemere felt that if this was the Governor's position to have these projects properly considered in the actual cost of a park, that it would be inappropriate for the IAC to protest further. She felt, however, if this did become a policy of the Governor and of OPPFM that its impact on what happens to the local projects should be taken into consideration as well. Mr. Tollefson remarked it was well for the Chairman to have raised the point, but the IAC is an agency of State Government and as such is subject to review of its activities, budgets, and authority as are all other state agencies. He felt Mr. Bell should not pursue his letter further to Mr. Howe but merely await a reply. Mr. Bell acceded, but stated that should any legislator ask any Interagency Committee member his personal opinion about such procedure, the Committee member would be free to comment.

IV C. Pass Lake: The Chairman deviated from the agenda and called for consideration of item IV C. "Pass Lake". Mr. Bell pointed out to Mr. Odegaard that there was roughly \$500,000 in unallocated monies available to the State Parks and Recreation Commission in the Outdoor Recreation Account which could be matched with BOR making \$1,000,000 available to State Parks for acquisition of Pass Lake. He noted that at the Briefing Meeting on March 1, 1971, however, Mr. Odegaard had stated these monies were available only for additional costs involved in condemnation proceedings of other projects and for other project purposes. Further, he noted that Mr. Odegaard had discussed with the IAC at the Briefing Meeting the dealings with The Nature Conservancy whereby it would be possible to "save" Pass Lake for the public's use and acquire it later with state funds. He asked Mr. Odegaard for explanation.

In response to a request from the Chairman,

Mr. Odegaard said he was pleased to relate that the Parks and Recreation Commission's action in negotiations with The Nature Conservancy would lead to preservation of Pass Lake for the people of the state. The understanding is that the State Parks and Recreation Commission would request as its first priority from the next Session of the Legislature, or as its second funding priority from the IAC 1973-75 biennium funds (Green River Gorge being No. 1), to reimburse The Nature Conservancy for its expended monies. He pointed out that The Nature Conservancy buys and holds such recreation lands nationally and desires to release such lands as soon as possible to responsible agencies for the purpose for which the land was acquired.

MR. ODEGAARD MOVED THAT, SUBJECT TO MEETING ALL INTERNAL REQUIREMENTS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE GIVE THE WASHINGTON STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION THE ASSURANCE THAT IT, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE, WILL APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF

amended

THE PASS LAKE AREA FROM IAC ADMINISTERED FUNDS 1973-75 BIENNIUM SUBJECT TO THE PARKS & RECREATION COMMISSION SUBMITTING THE USUAL FORMS AND DOCUMENTATION AS A STATE PROJECT. The motion died due to the lack of a second. Mr. Bell then asked if the Committee would consider a resolution commending State Parks and expressing its interest in the pursuit of the project. WHEREUPON, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. LOFGREN AND SECONDED BY MR. WARD THAT, WHEREAS, THE MEMBERS OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION DESIRE TO INDICATE THEIR INTEREST IN THE PRESERVATION OF THE PASS LAKE AREA, SKAGIT COUNTY, AND

WHEREAS, THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION HAS AN AGREEMENT WITH THE NATURE CONSERVANCY ORGANIZATION TO HOLD THE PASS LAKE AREA FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION IS ABLE TO ACQUIRE, REIMBURSE AND ASSUME CONTROL OF SAME;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE MEMBERS OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE HEREBY GIVE FORMAL EXPRESSION OF THEIR COMMENDATION TO THE WASHINGTON STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE IN ITS NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE NATURE CONSERVANCY ORGANIZATION, AND DO HEREBY NOTE IN THE OFFICIAL RECORDS OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THE DESIRABILITY OF THE WASHINGTON STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION PURSUING THE PROJECT OF ACQUIRING PASS LAKE AT SUCH TIME AS IT IS ABLE TO DO SO; AND

RESOLVED FURTHER, THAT A COPY OF THIS RESOLUTION BE TENDERED TO THE WASHINGTON STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S INTEREST IN THE PASS LAKE AREA AND ITS EVENTUAL ACQUISITION FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON.

THE RESOLUTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY PASSED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE.

F. 1971 Legislation - status: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Legislation", and asked for comments from the Committee members as to specific legislation contained therein.

MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, THAT ASIDE FROM BUDGET BILLS THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE TAKE NO POSITION ON ANY BILL UNLESS IT IS AN IAC REQUEST BILL OR UNLESS IT IS AUTHORIZED BY SIX MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE AT A SESSION OF THE COMMITTEE OR BY SIX MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE THROUGH DIRECT TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL;

THAT THIS IN NO WAY PRECLUDES THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FROM GIVING HIS OWN PERSONAL OPINION ON ANY BILL TO AN INQUIRING SOURCE OF THE LEGISLATURE SINCE THIS IS A PRIVILEGE OF ANY ADMINISTRATOR OF COMMITTEES OR COMMISSIONS IN STATE GOVERNMENT. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

NEW BUSINESS

IV A. Project Computer Program: Mr. Bell asked Mr. Putnam to report on memorandum dated March 2, 1971, entitled "Project Computer Program". Mr. Putnam stated no recommendation was being made at this time, that the memorandum was merely informational. He pointed out that personnel from the State Data Processing Service Center are designing and assisting in setting up a project computer program to enable the IAC to have quick and easy retrieval of information for the cumulative local and state project reports. The system is not expected to be operational until the next biennium.

B. New Project Consideration

1. Department of Game - 1969-71 Water Access Program - six sites:

Mr. Lemcke referred to memorandum dated March 2, 1971, and accompanying material from the Department of Game, dated February 10, 1971, concerning the six water access sites proposed for acquisition. A total of \$662,274 was originally approved by the Committee for this program. To date, 36 sites have been approved in the amount of \$426,705, leaving a balance of \$235,569. The six sites represent the purchase in fee of 21.46 acres with 2,000 feet of frontage and, in addition, 5,500 feet of easement. The request was for \$13,475.

Following discussion and slide presentation, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE WEBB PROPERTY ON THE NACHES RIVER, THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PROPERTY ON THE YAKIMA RIVER, AND THE MARTIN, ANDERSON, BOLANDER, AND QUAM PROPERTIES ALL ON THE QUILCENE RIVER WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME'S 1969-71 BIENNIUM STATEWIDE WATER ACCESS PROGRAM TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THIS COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969, AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRAORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW), SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE OF \$13,475 FOR AN AMENDED PROGRAM AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$440,180 FROM FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT, AS SET FORTH IN THE FOLLOWING LIST, PROVIDED THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS, AND CONDITIONS OF SAME.

NACHES RIVER	WEBB	\$ 3,250)	
YAKIMA RIVER	BLM	900)	
QUILCENE RIVER	MARTIN	5,200)	\$ 13,475
QUILCENE RIVER	ANDERSON	1,500)	
QUILCENE RIVER	BOLANDER	1,500)	
QUILCENE RIVER	QUAM	1,125)	

Previously approved 426,705

\$ 440,180

MOTION WAS CARRIED:

At the Technical Review meeting a question had been raised as to certain shore-land ownership on the Yakima River acquisition. Mr. O'Donnell stated there was some question as to ownership and asked that the Department of Game consult further with the Department of Natural Resources on the matter.

D. Amendments to Procedural Guidelines:

1. Earnest money agreements: Mr. Putnam referred to memorandum of staff originally dated November 24, 1970, and being resubmitted March 2, 1971, entitled "Earnest Money Agreements". The memorandum did not require any action of the Committee since it was a report requested by the Committee at its August, 1970 meeting.

2. Amendment to 4N, Procedural Guidelines: Mr. Putnam next referred to staff memorandum originally dated November 24, 1970, and resubmitted March 2, 1971, entitled "Procedural Guidelines", which concerned the need to obtain a permit from the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers on certain development projects. An amendment to 4N of the Guidelines was in order. Following discussion, MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, THAT THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENT TO 4N OF THE PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES BE INCORPORATED INTO THE GUIDELINES AS SOON AS EXPEDIENT BY THE IAC STAFF:

"4N--IF A DEVELOPMENT PROJECT CONTAINS AS AN ELEMENT OF WORK THE CONSTRUCTION OF ANY FACILITIES IN NAVIGABLE WATER FOR WHICH A PERMIT FROM THE U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS IS NECESSARY, A COPY OF THAT PERMIT ALLOWING SUCH CONSTRUCTION AND COPIES OF ANY OTHER PERMITS AS REQUIRED BY LAW, ARE A REQUIREMENT BEFORE ANY ALLOCATIONS ARE MADE."

Mr. Clark took issue with the word "allocations". It was the consensus, however, that the word "allocation" means actual cash payment to the agency and Mr. Odegaard asked that his motion stand.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS PASSED.

3. Deed Restriction - Reversionary Clause: This item was deleted from the agenda.

E. Mercer Slough: As an addition to the agenda, the Chairman then recognized Mrs. Joan Blaisdell who had asked to give a brief report on the proposed Mercer Slough project near Bellevue. She outlined the plans for obtaining funding on the project through the Contingency Reserve Fund of the Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D.C., and asked that the Interagency Committee review it for submission at the May, 1971 meeting. She stated the Mercer Slough was the last remaining open space totally surrounded by a metropolitan area in the Puget Sound area; that 1.8 million people would have easy access to it. Appraisals are to be made of some 35 ownerships. Mrs. Blaisdell extended an invitation to Committee members to inspect the site. Mr. Odegaard stated this would be a joint project with the City of Bellevue and the State Parks and Recreation Commission. The area is most suitable for an interpretive and nature study area and these uses could be coordinated through the school system.

Minutes - March 2, 1971 - page 17

Mr. Rottler asked that the IAC Operating Budget be on the May, 1971 agenda, stating that he would like to have a better grasp on operating costs and their relationship to Initiative 215 and other funds. Mr. Odegaard agreed it would be well to review the Operating Budget at the May, 1971 meeting, and the Chairman directed staff to have this as an item on the agenda.

The meeting adjourned at 2:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Stanley E. Francis

STANLEY E. FRANCIS
Administrator

RATIFIED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE
ON

ON

5-23-71

as corrected

Lewis A. Bell

LEWIS A. BELL, CHAIRMAN