



4800 Capitol Blvd.  
 Tumwater, Washington 98504

Phone: (206) 753-7140

Daniel J. Evans, Governor  
 Omar Lofgren, Chairman

**PARTICIPATING AGENCIES**

Department of Commerce and  
 Economic Development  
 Director

Department of Ecology  
 John A. Biggs, Director

Department of Fisheries  
 Thor C. Tollefson, Director

Department of Game  
 Carl N. Crouse, Director

Department of Highways  
 George H. Andrews, Director

Department of Natural  
 Resources  
 Bert L. Cole, Commissioner  
 of Public Lands

State Parks and Recreation  
 Commission  
 Charles H. Odegaard, Director

**CITIZEN MEMBERS**

Lewis A. Enli  
 Warren A. Bishop  
 Mrs. Frederick Lemere  
 Omar Lofgren  
 Jack Rottler

**ADMINISTRATOR**  
 Stanley E. Francis

December 14, 1973

FOR THE FILE ONLY

**REPORT OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COMMITTEE MEMBERS  
 DECEMBER 14, 1973**

Conferring: J. Pelton, Planning  
 Rich Costello, Planning  
 Milt Martin, Administrative  
 Lew Bell, Member  
 Omar Lofgren, Chairman  
 Ralph Larson, Dept. Game (for Carl Crouse)  
 Robert Anderson, Comm. & Econ. Dev.  
 John Biggs, Dept. Ecology  
 Warren Bishop, Pullman, Member IAC

Unavailable: Carl Crouse, Dept. of Game  
 Charles Odegaard, Parks & Rec. Comm.  
 George Andrews, Dept. of Highways  
 Thor Tollefson, Dept. of Fisheries  
 Jack Rottler, Seattle, Member IAC  
 Madeline Lemere, Member IAC, Seattle  
 Bert Cole, Commissioner of Public Lands

Regarding: Environmental Impact Statements  
State Environmental Policy Act

Mr. Martin stated he was speaking in the absence of the Administrator. Final report of the consultant firm of Haworth and Anderson for implementation of the State Environmental Policy Act had been reviewed by IAC and other affected state agencies. Comments from IAC are due Monday, December 17, 1973, to Office of Program Planning and Fiscal Management. Mr. Martin outlined the three positions the IAC could take:

- (1) IAC serve as the responsible agency for the determination of the need for environmental impact statements (EIS) related to recreation. This position had been suggested for consideration by individuals outside the agency and had been discussed with the Office of Program Planning and Fiscal Management. It was pointed out that this position could not be accomplished without additional IAC staff.
- (2) The sponsoring agency would also be the responsible agency to determine the need for EIS. This is the position recommended by Haworth and Anderson. IAC would be one of several reviewers, all having appeal authority.

(3) IAC would take no position relative to the responsible agency and would continue its present method of review.

The consensus of the Committee was that positions (2) and (3) above were very closely related and that IAC's position should be to generally support the Haworth and Anderson recommendation and to continue in its present manner of review of EIS.

*Margaret M. Fryer, Sec.*

mmf