

I. Opening of Meeting, Determination of Quorum, Introductions.
Additions or deletions to the agenda

II A. Fiscal Status Reports

- (1) Init. 215 Distribution Control Sheet
- (2) Disbursement Record - Local Agency Projects 11-1-72 thru 1-31-73
- (3) Fund Summary - January 31, 1973

Approval of minutes, November 28-29, 1972

Approval of agenda

II A. 4. 1973-75 Operating Budget Request
Report of Administrator

II A. 5. Land and Water Conservation Fund Status
Unobligated BOR 1-31-73 \$3,197,181.13 (20%)

II A 6. Salary increases - Exempt positions
\$40.00 increase for all state employees awarded to Asst. Admin.
and Admin. Sec. MOTION re Administrator's \$40. -

II B 1. State and Local Status Reports
Chairman questioned "awaiting billings" re local and state projects

Expo-74 - Report requested short-term, long-term benefits to state re IAC projects
- Expo-74.

II B 2. Administrative Actions:

- (a) City of Puyallup DeCoursey Park Dev. #71-039D - DENIED reduction in scope
- (b) City of Seattle, Matthews Beach, #69-019A - 10% increase APPROVED \$41,750
- (c) Havermale Island - transfer of land - all cleared and A-OK
- (d) Parks - Mukilteo State Park, #71-514D - APPROVED WITHDRAWAL OF PROJECT

III A. Washington Futures - Referendum 28: Report of John Current

II C. Planning and Special Studies

- (1) BOR Planning Grant Extension
- (2) BOR Nationwide Plan Review
- (3) Trails Report

II C. 4. ATV Guidelines Status report

II C. 5. Skagit River Study

III B. Statewide Outdoor Recreation and Open Space Plan (SCORP) - ADOPTION

III C. Mercer Slough Acq., City of Bellevue - Project #1 \$1, 982,015 (PAGE 13)
See motion for allocation of monies

V A. Special Reports: Legislative Sub-Committee - IAC:

- (1) House Bill 87 - Marine Fuel tax
- (2) House Bill 582 - Rivers
- (3) Senate Bill 2104 - Budget Bill
- (4) House Bill 7821 - All-Terrain Vehicle amendment - distribution.

PUBLIC HEARING - CANCELLED - RE PROPOSED PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES 1973

III E. - Discussion re Procedural Guidelines APA - Marinanne Holifield

III D. - Project Changes:

- (1) Port of Everett, Watergate Park - DELETED FROM AGENDA
- (2) County of King, Seahurst Park Development - APPROVED CHANGE IN SCOPE
\$888,450 75% Ref. 18
- (3) Town of Milton, Milton Town Park - APPROVED COST INCREASE
IAC portion \$52,167.75 total share in project
- (4) City of Seattle, Magnolia Tidelands, #66-024A - APPROVED WITHDRAWAL
and return of monies to proper account.
- (5) City of Yakima, Washington Park Redevelopment #72-058D - APPROVED
\$130,134 Ref. 18 COST INCREASE

Revenue Sharing discussion. MOTION re Revenue Sharing - IAC to research

- (6) Dept. of Nat. Res. - Sultan-Pilchuck, Sultan Basin Road - APPROVED
\$225,000 returned to DNR Capital Budget WITHDRAWAL
- (7) Parks - Steamboat Rock - Cost Increase #69-571D - APPROVED COST INCREASE
\$200,000

IV A. Local Agency Project Presentations - LWCF Contingency (gravel pit projects)

- (1) King County, Sacajawea Community Park - \$600,000 total cost
 - (2) Spokane County, Valley Mission Park - 253,420 total cost
- } APPROVED

IV B. State Agency Projects

- (1) State Parks
 - (a) Green River Gorge, Flaming Geyser \$29,500 Ref. 18 APPROVED
 - (b) Twin Harbors State Park \$157,817.50 each from 18 and LWCF APPROVED

IV B.

- (2) Dept. of Game
 - (a) Johns River f. 18 \$12,650; LWCF \$12,650 APPROVED
 - (b) Spliss Acq., Wenatchee R. \$1,050 Ref. 18 APPROVED
 - (c) Day Acq., Wenatchee R. \$2,100 Ref. 18 APPROVED
 - (d) Crab Creek - \$10,250 Ref. 18, \$10,250 LWCF APPROVED
 - (e) Little Spokane R. \$33,500 Ref. 18 \$33,500 LWCF APPROVED
 - (f) Grande Ronde/Snake R - \$26,000 Int. 215 & same LWCF APPROVED

IV B.

- (3) Dept. of Natural Resources
 - (a) Sultan-Pilchuck - 4 sites \$7,500 Ref. 18 APPROVED
 - (b) Reflection Pond \$ 5,000 Ref. 18 APPROVED
 - (c) Toats Junction \$8,145 LWCF \$8,145 Ref. 18 APPROVED

VIII Location and Date of May, 1973 IAC meetings and Others for the Biennium.
SEE PAGE 28 of Minutes.

VI. Administrator's Report - None

VII. Committee Members' Reports - None

Adjourned 5:20 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE
REGULAR MEETING OF THE
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

9:00 a.m. Monday, February 26, 1973

Sheraton-Renton Inn
Renton, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mr. Lewis A. Bell, Mr. Bert Cole, Director, Department of Natural Resources; Mr. Carl N. Crouse, Director, Department of Game; Mrs. Frederick Lemere, Mr. Omar Lofgren Chairman; Mr. Jack Rottler, Mr. Thor C. Tollefson, Director, Department of Fisheries; Mr. Daniel B. Ward, Director, Commerce and Economic Development; and Mr. John Biggs, Director, Department of Ecology; Mr. George Andrews, Director, Department of Highways. Mr. Charles H. Odegaard, Director, Parks and Recreation Commission.

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBER ABSENT:

Warren A. Bishop

STAFF OF TECHNICAL COMMITTEE & MEMBER AGENCIES PRESENT:

Assistant Attorney General
Mariann Holifield

Commerce and Economic Development
Merlin Smith

Ecology, Department of
Beecher Snipes, Supervisor, Planning and Development

Fisheries, Department of
Don Erickson

Game, Department of
James Brigham
John Willis

Highways, Department of
Willa Mylroie, Research Engineer

Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

Cole, Kenn - Fiscal Accounts Officer
Cook, Bea - Accounting Assistant
Costello, Richard - Rec. Res. Specialist
Empie, Mildred - Clerk-Typist
Francis, Stanley E. - Administrator
Frazier, Marjorie M. - Administrative Secretary
Freested, Patti - Clerk-Typist
Lemcke, Robert S. - Coordinator
Moore, Glenn - Rec. Res. Specialist
Martin, Milt - Asst. Administrator
Pelton, Gerald - Chief, Plan. and Coordination
Peterson, Donald - Planner
Roll, Norma - Clerk-Typist
Raymond, Sandy - Clerk-Typist
Scott, Katherine - Rec. Res. Specialist
Syverson, Roger - Rec. Res. Specialist
Wagner, Fred - Rec. Res. Specialist
Willins, Jeanette - Intern

Natural Resources, Dept. of
Al O'Donnell, Technical Assistant
Terry House

Parks and Recreation Commission
Paul Bourgault
Jan Tveten

Program Planning and Fiscal Management
Carl Wieland

LOCAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

William Fearn, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Spokane
William Hutsinpillar, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Yakima
Richard Mullins, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Port Angeles
David Towne, Asst. to Supt., Parks and Rec., City of Seattle
James Webster, King County Parks Department, Seattle

OTHER AGENCIES - TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Maurice Lundy, Regional Director, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

I. Opening of Meeting, Determination of Quorum, Introductions, Additions and Approval of Minutes of November 27-28, 1972, Additions to the Agenda: Chairman Lofgren opened the meeting at 9:10 a.m. Since there was not a quorum at that time, those items on the agenda not requiring official Committee action were reviewed.

The following persons were introduced:

I. A. Mr. LeRoy Jones	Puget Sound Governmental Conference Technical Advisory Committee member
Mrs. Madeline Lemere	Reappointed to the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation by Governor Evans for three year term (1-1-76)
Mr. James Brigham	Recreation Resource Specialist, Dept. of Game Technical Advisory Committee member

The Chairman announced that Mr. Warren A. Bishop had also been reappointed to the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation by Governor Evans for a three year term (1-1-76).

I. D. Additions or deletions to the agenda:

- (1) Steamboat Rock - Item II B 2 (e) was moved to Item III D. (7) since the memorandum directed to the Committee required Committee action.
- (2) Watergate Park, Port of Everett -- III D (1) was deleted from the agenda, the Port of Everett will request Committee action in May, 1973.

II A. Fiscal Status Report: Mr. Kenn Cole reported on the following fiscal status reports:

- (1) Initiative 215 Distribution Control Sheet
- (2) Disbursement Record - Local Agency Projects - 11-1-72 through 1-31-73
- (3) Fund Summary - January 31, 1973

Initiative 215 Distribution Control Sheet indicated \$213,500 had been transferred in the past three months to the Outdoor Recreation Account from the Motor Vehicles Department.

Disbursement Record, Local Agency Projects, November 1972 through January 31, 1973, indicated 38 payments made to local agencies, with 304 local agency projects approved through January 31, 1973; 153 closed as of that date, and 151 in current status. The Disbursement Record Summary attached to the Disbursement Record report, was questioned by Mr. Bert Cole. Following discussion, the Chairman asked Mr. Kenn Cole to determine where the interest on recreation bond monies is being deposited and report this to the Committee by memorandum.

Fund Summary, January 31, 1973: Mr. Kenn Cole noted the Fund Summary included 1973 Fiscal Year apportionment of Land and Water Conservation Funds of \$3,135,050. Mr. Lofgren asked the rate of interest on the \$30,844.67 charged to the Interfund Loan. Mr. Cole replied interest was the going rate at that time -- 4.87282 (\$30,844.67).

Approval of Minutes, November 28-29, 1972: At this point, the Chairman declared a quorum with the arrival of Mr. Ward and called for corrections or deletions to the minutes of November 28-29, 1972. The following changes were proposed by Mr. Odegaard:

Page 14, 4th paragraph, 5th sentence should read "\$46,980" instead of \$45,980.

Page 19, item (2), Lake Chelan, 4th line: Should read "construction of a ramp for wheelchair traffic", rather than "improvement of an existing ramp."

MRS. LEMERE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. COLE, THAT THE MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 28-29, 1972 BE APPROVED AS CORRECTED. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Approval of Agenda: MR. COLE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. BELL, THAT THE AGENDA AS CHANGED BE APPROVED. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

II A. 4. 1973-75 Operating Budget Request: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "IAC 1973-75 Operating Budget - Status Report", noting that the budget request for the biennium was \$787,107, an increase of \$189,423 (31.7%) over the estimated current biennium (1971-73) expenditures. A chart indicating the IAC Operating Budget by fiscal year, object of expenditure, man-years, FY 1974 and FY 1975 proposed budget by the IAC, FY 1974 and FY 1975 recommended budget included in the Governor's Budget Request to the Legislature, and the difference between the IAC proposed and the Governor's recommendation in dollar amounts, was reviewed by Mr. Francis. The changes made in the Governor's Recommended Budget (if adopted by the Legislature) would:

- (1) Delete 3 new positions -- Planner II, Public Information Officer and Stat. Clerk;
- (2) Reduce proposed studies relating to demand study, water study, shoreline study and interstate relationship program;
- (3) Reduce printing and reproduction costs of \$2,500 the first year and \$7,000 the second year (including state water plan printing, demand study printing;)
- (4) Travel would be maintained status quo 1971-73 level;
- (5) All new equipment deleted;
- (6) Certain employee benefits deleted proportionately for those new positions not approved.

II A. 5. Land and Water Conservation Fund Status: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "Land and Water Conservation Fund Status", and pointed out that President Nixon's FY 1974 budget proposes only \$50 million of LWCF monies to be apportioned to the 50 states and 5 territories. Thus, based on the existing apportionment formula, Washington State will receive an estimated \$862,500 total in LWCF monies for FY 1974, in contrast to the \$3.1 million for FY 1973. Present breakdown of LWCF funding status was given by Mr. Francis:

Allocation thru FY 1973	\$ 15,714,827.81 (100%)
Obligated BOR - 1-31-73	<u>12,517,646.68 (80%)</u>
Unobligated BOR 1-31-73	\$ 3,197,181.13 (20%)

Local & State agency shares were outlined by Mr. Francis; totals being \$1,492,241.28 for State; \$1,649,734.88 for locals. Mr. Francis stated it was imperative that the projects funded with LWCF monies be ready to move with all appropriate documentation within 30 to 60 days after approval by the IAC. Not to do so could result in lower regular state apportionment and future funding from the Secretary of Interior's Contingency Fund. He stated a letter of request dated February 6, 1973, had been sent to each of the three participating state agency directors urging their cooperation in expediting current approved projects and in committing their agency's unobligated funds of LWCF at the February or May IAC meetings to projects which can be forwarded to BOR for agreements and approval by BOR prior to June 30, 1973. A projection of obligated LWCF monies was sent to the BOR office February 22, 1973. At this point, Mr. Kenn Cole distributed two charts indicating the current status of Local agency and Parks and Recreation Commission funding.

II A 6. Salary Increases - Exempt positions: A memorandum dated February 26, 1973 entitled "Salary Increases, Exempt Positions", was distributed to the IAC members. Mr. Francis explained the \$40 per month salary adjustment applying to all state employees as passed by the 1973 Legislature in Substitute Senate Bill 2106, Supplemental Appropriation. He requested that salary adjustments for the exempt positions with the IAC be confirmed by motion of the Committee, to apply to the Administrator, Assistant Administrator and the Administrative Secretary.

Following discussion, it was the consensus of the Committee that the \$40 per month salary adjustment should apply to the Assistant Administrator and the Administrative Secretary, and that the salary of the Administrator be set through the Governor's State Committee on Salaries to conform to other directors and administrators of state agencies.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MR. ANDREWS, THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE PRIOR MOTION OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE (MAY 22-23, 1972 IAC MEETING MINUTES, PAGE 9) THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR'S SALARY BE EFFECTED COMPARABLY WITH SALARIES AS FIXED BY THE GOVERNOR PURSUANT TO THE STATE COMMITTEE ON SALARIES DECISION, AND IF AT SUCH FUTURE TIME THE STATE COMMITTEE ON SALARIES SHOULD RAISE THE SALARIES OF THOSE DIRECTORS UNDER ITS JURISDICTION, THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION BE AUTHORIZED THE SALARY CONFORMING WITH SUCH INCREASE WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Andrews asked that the record indicate this motion as passed placed the salaries of the Administrator and the Assistant Administrator on a close level. He suggested to Mr. Lofgren that he, as Chairman of the Interagency Committee, confer with the Governor concerning the Administrator's salary situation and bring to the Governor's attention the proximity of the Administrator and Assistant Administrator's salaries. Mr. Lofgren assured the Committee he would take the necessary action.

II B. I. State and Local Status Reports: Mr. Glenn Moore referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "Project Status Reports" and to the attached reports of 151 current local agency projects and 122 current state agency projects. Since November 1972 the IAC staff had had the opportunity to review all current project files in an effort to identify problems which are delaying completion of projects as indicated on the reports. Mr. Moore noted there were 17 local agency projects and 40 state agency projects which had been completed in most respects but a final billing had not yet been received by the IAC. Billings being processed currently by IAC staff involved 23 local projects and 9 state agency projects. Discussion followed on billing process of the IAC.

Mr. Kenn Cole stated billings could be handled much more efficiently and expeditiously if they contained accurate information when first received by the IAC for processing. Inaccuracies and the need to clarify these accounted for the delays in vouchers being processed so that a state warrant could be issued through the State Treasurer's Office. Local and state agencies in many instances do not follow the proper billing procedures nor meet the billing requirements as set forth in the Procedural Guidelines and thus the billing processing is slowed down considerably. Mr. Lofgren suggested to the local and state agency members present that they insure their billings are accurately submitted the first time in order to speed up the processing once the billing is received by the IAC office.

Mr. Moore reviewed status of the State Agency projects. Mr. Lofgren inquired concerning several Game Department projects. The Chairman recognized Mr. John Willis of the Department of Game, who outlined the current status of Quillomene acquisition project #72-604A, and Klickitat WRA, #72-610A. Title problems were involved in the projects, but these were being cleared and Mr. Willis assured the Committee the necessary processing could be effected very soon for expenditure of monies in the projects. Mr. Lofgren asked for clarification of the Nisqually Delta-Luhr Property, #70-606A, and Delta Teal Slough, #70-607A, billings. Mr. Willis stated he was not aware of any problem, that it was his understanding these had been billed already. Mr. Lofgren asked Mr. Willis to meet with the IAC

staff to resolve billings on all Department of Game projects which were pending billings.

Mr. Lofgren then questioned the status report of the Department of Natural Resources projects; particularly the 1967-69 11 Sites Development, #69-712D (Bear Creek, Hutchinson, etc.) which indicated construction had been completed on 10 sites; and Athanum-Klickitat project 1969-71 Trail Development, #69-714D, where construction had been completed. Mr. Bert Cole was asked by the Chairman to have DNR staff responsible for billings on these projects meet with the IAC and go over all DNR projects pending billings so that they could be completed as quickly as possible.

State Parks and Recreation Commission projects were also mentioned and it was the understanding this agency, likewise, would meet with IAC staff in order to complete processing of those projects "awaiting billings."

Local Agency Status Report: Mr. Lofgren inquired the reason for the delay in billing on the Lake Hills Greenbelt Acquisition project, #70-028A. Mr. Roger Syverson stated there was a reversionary clause within the City's agreement which was contrary to IAC policy, and it would be necessary to renegotiate with the City to resolve the matter. Mr. Lofgren then asked about the Lake Padden Park, Bellingham project, #69-059A. Mr. Moore replied the billing was being processed; Mr. Kenn Cole stated there had been no final inspection of the project, thus his section was withholding 10% of the project until it has been inspected. Further, it is necessary to resolve certain costs, i.e., swimming float which was not in the original project.

Mr. Lofgren urged that staff proceed immediately on those local and state projects "awaiting billing", to re-review them, and take action to complete the projects. Mr. Francis stated over the past few months the staff had devoted a great deal of effort to project billings with Mr. Martin working extensively in that area.

Expo-74: At the suggestion of Mr. Odegaard, the Chairman asked Mr. Francis to "pull together" those projects and areas IAC has been involved in regarding Expo-74 which would point up the work that IAC has been doing and which will be of short-term and long-term benefit for the City of Spokane and for Expo-74.

Madeline Lemere asked the Chairman to include tours of projects funded by the IAC at places the IAC would be meeting. She also suggested it might be a good idea to have the Committee look at all projects within one county on a one-day tour. Mr. Lofgren and Mr. Francis agreed this should be a continuing activity of the Committee, and that arrangements are being made with Mr. Ken Hertz, Park and Recreation Superintendent, Bellingham, for a tour of that area when the Committee meets there in July, 1973.

II B. 2. Administrative Actions: Memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "Project Status and Administrative Actions Report", was referred to by Mr. Francis. The following reports were made:

- a. City of Puyallup - DeCoursey Park Dev. (IAC #71-039D): Request for reduction in scope was denied by the Administrator. Review of the contract documents relating to construction indicated that a major

reason for the present cost overrun problem was that a substantial amount of work included in the construction contracts was not a legitimate or approved part of the IAC funded project.

- b. City of Seattle, Matthews Beach Acq. (IAC #69-019A): Request for 10% increase (\$41,750) was approved by the Administrator on January 12, 1973. New total acquisition cost of project: \$459,250.
- c. Memorandum of February 26, 1973, "City of Spokane, Havermale Island" was reviewed by Mr. Francis. This report was requested by the Committee at its August 28, 1972 meeting and concerned the exchange of land with the Union Pacific RR. Mr. Francis assured the Committee that the conditions which the IAC had approved at that time concerning the exchange of land in the Havermale Island project had been fulfilled and the exchange was being consummated. An Attorney General's opinion had been secured to insure the exchange was legal and within the parameters of the IAC requirements. Legal descriptions and values of land to be exchanged have been received and the Administrator has approved the exchange.
- d. State Parks and Recreation Commission, Mukilteo State Park, IAC #71-514D: The Committee was advised of the withdrawal of this project and the return of the monies to the Land and Water Conservation Fund and Initiative 215 account as follows:
\$ 149,810 Land and Water Conservation Fund
96,271 Initiative 215 Funds

Mukilteo State Park withdrawal and project termination is in keeping with the conditions set forth at the time of approval by the IAC. The Shoreline Development Permit had been denied.

III A. Washington Futures - Referendum 28: Chairman Lofgren introduced Mr. John Current, Washington Futures Consultant, Office of the Governor, for an orientation concerning planning for expenditure of the funds authorized by passage of Referendum 28. Mr. Current noted the following:

- (1) With the exception of \$10 million for the Department of Ecology, there is no funding available from the Washington Futures Program until the 1973-75 biennium;
- (2) Guidelines will be written and reviewed and will apply to the programs which are concerned only with the administrative and financial aspects of the Bond Issues as opposed to the technical side which will come from the agencies;
- (3) The guidelines will provide that each state agency will administer its program and receive a certain amount of money from that program;
- (4) All facilities to be constructed with the bond monies must be compatible with the long-range comprehensive plans of the state and will coordinate with state plans and regional plans;
- (5) The Washington Futures Program provides an opportunity for the state to have a cohesive program in administering the bond monies;

- (6) At this point, the relationships to federal funding are uncertain; however, the voters had been advised the state funds would be used as a level for federal funding;
- (7) At present, Washington Futures is exploring ability of local governments to pay a greater share than anticipated in order to replace federal funding that may not be available because of the new federal revenue sharing pattern;
- (8) The IAC serves as a coordinating body and could serve as a multiple-use agency for funds from Referendum 28;
- (9) Under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act regarding reservoirs, there is opportunity for applicants to come to the IAC and obtain funding for converting large areas of concrete to recreational use;
- (10) The storm water run-off funds (Referendum 26, Dept. of Ecology) will give opportunity for agencies to plan together since there are many recreational opportunities using this same type of land, and the Department of Ecology could expend monies to control storm water run-off while at the same time assist in providing recreational facilities, thus creating a multiple-use situation;
- (11) Goals: The goals of the Washington Futures Program are -- to protect the environment; conserve natural resources of the state; improve health and safety of all citizens of the state through its programs and assist in the development and extension of recreational programs and others, while at the same time improving the economy of the state through construction programs;
- (12) There will be restrictions on the sale of the bonds in order that the Washington Futures Program may honor the commitment made to the voters that the annual debt requirement will not increase taxes;
- (13) The second restraint is the Washington State Legislature which will also have control of these funds;
- (14) Guidelines: Policy/guidelines have been fairly well established; will be prepared so that guidelines will be common to all the state agencies and tied into the local agencies also.

Questions were asked of Mr. Current. Mr. Odegaard asked if timing on development or acquisition of projects was being taken into consideration within the guidelines contemplated for the Washington Futures Program and specifically Referendum 28. It was his feeling rules and regulations should coincide with those of the IAC and the BOR so that completion of IAC projects would not be further delayed due to additional requirements set forth in the Washington Futures Program guidelines. Mr. Current stated he was aware of the problem broached by Mr. Odegaard, that he had had discussions with Milt Martin, Assistant Administrator of the IAC, in regard to these matters as well as the need to coordinate the various rules and regulations which would emanate from the Washington Futures Program. He stated there did not appear to be a serious problem at this time and he would

make every effort possible to minimize any possible delay concerning IAC projects and, in fact, would be pleased to meet with state agencies and insure a cooperative program.

Mr. Bell pointed out that as a group the Interagency Committee represented seven state agencies and was concerned with meeting all requirements of the Washington Futures Program within the IAC policy guidelines as well. Mr. Current replied the responsibility of the Washington Futures Program in relation to IAC creates no problem, but that it will be necessary to watch the project funding to insure that funds are not being expended in one geographic area, but are being used within the overall State to alleviate economic pressures. He said the Washington Futures Guidelines would be strictly administrative and would not impinge on the IAC activities.

Mr. Lofgren assured Mr. Current that the IAC would be working closely with those persons involved in the Washington Futures program and would be available for any deliberations or discussions necessary within the next five months. He informed Mr. Current that since 1965 the IAC has funded approximately 475 projects throughout the state, involving some \$105 million in projects over this period of time. He felt the IAC record was an excellent one and the members of the Committee would continue the program of acquisition and development of outdoor recreational facilities in keeping with whatever would be necessary under the Washington Futures Program.

Mr. Odegaard asked whether the Washington Futures Program would use the State of Washington's 13 planning regions in its planning program, and whether the state agencies would be able to review the guidelines. Mr. Current assured Mr. Odegaard the planning regions were being considered within the framework of the Washington Futures Program and that he would prefer the state agencies review of the guidelines prior to public review, thus insuring state input and coordination. Mr. Lofgren thanked Mr. Current for his presentation.

II C. Planning and Special Studies: Mr. Pelton was asked by the Chairman for the Planning Division report. Mr. Pelton explained that a graph of the Planning status had not been included in the kit this time because the graph had been prepared to reflect SCORP progress and therefore would have shown 100% completion except for trails. A new graph will be available for Committee review at the May meeting.

1. BOR Planning Grant Extension: Mr. Pelton referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "BOR Planning Grant Extension", and informed the Committee that the BOR had extended the Planning Grant to June 30, 1973. This extension did not change the scope of the program or the amount of funds approved for the grant. Those elements of the planning grant which remained to be accomplished after January 1, 1973, are directly related to completion of SCORP (except for the Urban Recreation Needs Study and the final printing of the "public version" of the SCORP document.) The grant extends 60 days beyond the April 30, 1973 approval date required for SCORP and will allow adequate time to complete the urban study, as well as prepare and print general distribution copies of the Plan.

2. BOR Nationwide Plan Review: Mr. Pelton then referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "BOR Nationwide Plan Review", and reported on

the status of the Nationwide Plan as it related to the IAC. The ten workshop reports on the Plan are available for review in the IAC office and all state and local agencies have been so informed. The BOR held ten federal workshops as preparation for the Nationwide Plan, and emanating from these workshops were the ten reports dealing with various aspects of recreation, recreational opportunities, management criteria, etc. The IAC forwarded its letter of comment to the Director of the BOR on February 6, 1973. Mr. Pelton urged state and local agencies to review those workshop reports related to the Nationwide Plan which would most closely parallel their concerns and responsibilities. Mrs. Lemere asked Mr. Pelton for a copy of Report #6, "Urban Recreation".

3. Trails Report: The "State Trails Plan" memo was reviewed briefly by Mr. Pelton. The Trails Plan will be an addendum to the SCORP, and the initial draft will be completed by April 1, 1973. The seven sections of this report will include information on the trails inventory, policies and priorities, recommended actions, as well as other necessary information. A review process will include input from both trail users and public agencies.

II C. 4. ATV Guidelines Status: Mr. Pelton called upon Mr. Rich Costello for the report on ATV Guidelines. Mr. Costello referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "Guidelines for ATV Funds". Two actions precluded completion of the ATV Guidelines:

- (1) A pending formal opinion of the Attorney General concerning distribution of ATV funds since there were differing interpretations advanced by the Attorneys General of the IAC and DNR concerning basic portions of the ATV Act (RCW 46.09);
- (2) The introduction of House Bill 831 to amend the language of the ATV Act relating to distribution of ATV funds. Consideration of the Guidelines has been rescheduled for the May, 1973 IAC meeting.

In response to a question from Mr. Odegaard, Mr. Pelton stated the work being done by the Land Planning Commission at the present time will not affect the input to the ATV Guidelines. Discussion followed concerning the ATV fund distribution and House Bill 831 amending the language of the ATV Act, with Mr. Odegaard, Mr. Bert Cole and Mr. Andrews commenting. The Chairman and Mr. Francis suggested this item be held for discussion under Item V A. "Legislative Sub-Committee Report" of the agenda along with other legislation to be discussed at that time. The Chairman thus deferred the discussion concerning House Bill #831 until the afternoon.

II C. 5. Skagit River Study: Mr. Lemcke was asked for a report on the Skagit River Study and referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "Skagit River Study". Two public meetings were held concerning the study and various classification alternatives had been published. Both meetings were well attended and comments made were recorded for evaluation and use in the continuing study. Mr. Lewis Bell, the Forest Service and representatives of the various state agencies concerned, met on December 6, 1972, to insure that all state agencies had the opportunity to be fully informed on the direction of the study and to indicate any special problems or areas of interest. Each agency received a letter requesting a statement of that agency's role in relation to the study

and ability to participate should the study proposal become law. Inputs were made to the study and the draft report is now being compiled with preparation of an environmental impact statement included. This draft report will be submitted to the Regional Forester in mid-March, 1973, for a 30-day review, following which, dates of public hearings will be announced. Mr. Lemcke stated the public meetings are scheduled to be held in May, 1973.

III B. Statewide Outdoor Recreation and Open Space Plan (SCORP): Mr. Andrews, as Chairman of the Sub-Committee on SCORP, referred to memorandum of staff, dated February 26, 1973, "Adoption of Fourth Edition of SCORP". The SCORP Sub-Committee composed of George Andrews, Chairman; Madeline Lemere; Lewis Bell; Omar Lofgren and Dan Ward, had met with staff on the total SCORP and reviewed Volume I in detail. Recommended changes received from other Committee members, the Plan Review Committee members and Technical Advisory Committee members, as well as other organizations and individuals had been reviewed and some of these incorporated into the Public Review Draft. These changes were forwarded to the IAC members on February 1, 1973. All changes following that date were reviewed and recommended by the Sub-Committee on SCORP. Mr. Andrews felt the Plan was well-done and that input had been incorporated into it from all interested and concerned agencies and individuals.

MR. ANDREWS MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. WARD THAT,

WHEREAS, A REVISED EDITION OF THE STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN (SCORP) OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON HAS BEEN PREPARED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS AUTHORITY UNDER RCW 43.99.122, AND

WHEREAS, REVIEW DRAFTS OF THE REVISED PLAN HAVE BEEN WIDELY CIRCULATED AND COMMENTED UPON, AND CHANGES MADE RESULTING FROM THOSE COMMENTS, AND THE COMMITTEE HAS REACHED AGREEMENT ON A FINAL DRAFT,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, (1) THAT THE FOURTH OFFICIAL REVISION OF THE WASHINGTON STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN BE ADOPTED AS THE CURRENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR THE OUTDOOR RECREATION RESOURCES OF THE STATE FOR THE PURPOSES OF RCW 43.99.122, AND IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN THE ELIGIBILITY OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO RECEIVE FEDERAL LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUNDING ASSISTANCE FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR, BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION, AND FOR ALL OTHER PURPOSES, EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 1973, AND

(2) THAT THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN ADOPTED BY THIS COMMITTEE IN 1969 AND AMENDED IN 1972 BE WITHDRAWN AND SUPERSEDED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN HEREBY ADOPTED.

There followed discussion on tables referred to in the Plan on Pages 99 through 120. Mr. Pelton explained that these tables were the same schedules on acquisition and development projects within the priorities as prepared for the 1973-75 Capital Budgets of the state agencies and as projected for local agencies. These schedules will be amended and updated on an annual basis and are estimates

of the general distribution of monies. Mr. Odegaard asked how closely the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation would hold state agencies to the fund schedules as noted in the SCORP. Mr. Allen, Assistant Director, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, stated BOR would adjust its actions accordingly if it were necessary to change the schedules as now published in SCORP.

QUESTION WAS CALLED ON THE MOTION AND THE MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Pelton expressed his appreciation to staff assisting with the Plan and especially to clerical people responsible for the typing and other processing. Mr. Pelton stated that once the Plan is accepted by BOR, it will be printed, photographs added, and otherwise finalized for public distribution. The acquisition and development tables discussed will be pulled and not made a part of the "public version" of SCORP.

Volume II of the Plan was also mentioned by Mr. Pelton. This has been submitted to the printer and will be distributed as soon as available. Addendum I, "Rivers" has been previously accepted by the Committee. The Trails Plan will be added as Addendum II following its adoption by the Committee at a future meeting.

Mr. Biggs offered his compliments and those of the Committee as a whole to the IAC staff and the various reviewers for completion of the SCORP.

The Chairman at this point introduced the following staff personnel attending the IAC meeting: Mrs. Bea Cook, Accounting Assistant; Mrs. Sandy Raymond, Clerk-Typist; and Mrs. Millie Empie, Clerk-Typist.

III C. City of Bellevue, Mercer Slough Acquisition: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, "City of Bellevue, Mercer Slough, Project I", and corrected figures in the memorandum on page (2) and page (3) which will be reflected in the motion of the Committee in these minutes. The Committee asked that the wording "Phase I" and "Phase II" of the Mercer Slough acquisition be referred to henceforth as "Project I" and "Project II" for clarification. Mr. Francis reviewed the history of the Mercer Slough project:

- (1) Originally approved in early 1972 with Project I being approved for submission to the BOR as a Secretary's Contingency Project at the November 22, 1972 meeting of the IAC;
- (2) Total approved cost of Project I was \$2,577,960 (including \$86,450 relocation costs). Phase I (Project I) was to include a donation of approximately 23.4 acres valued at \$1,300,000 as the City of Bellevue's share.
- (3) Quit claim deeds for the donated property indicated only 16.7 acres as donation; thus meeting was held on January 13, 1973, of all parties involved, with the result that it became evident that the donor would not be in a position to donate additional lands for matching into Project II within the foreseeable future. Thus, the IAC Administrator proposed that IAC monies (LWCF) not needed for Project I be released and reapportioned to maximize timely use for pending projects. This release was to be made with the understanding that the future Mercer

Slough acquisition applications would be given full consideration for funding, provided funds are available at time of application and the application met the necessary funding criteria;

- (4) The City Manager of Bellevue in a letter dated February 5, 1973, concurred with the foregoing conditions;
- (5) Action would release \$926,715 of BOR FY 1973 monies for immediate reapportionment by the IAC. \$463,357.50 -- the Local Agency share and \$463,357.50 -- State Parks' share, which will be returned to its LWCF allocation.

Mr. Francis then read those portions of the recommended motion which were pertinent. In answer to Committee questions on the motion, Mr. Francis stated there was joint concern and consensus that the Mercer Slough project is of such magnitude that the motion had been written to insure strict compliance with the acquisition of the project and to conform to the BOR policies. The project is complex and because of the Secretary of Interior Contingency Funding, the IAC must insure that it is in proper order and finite.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MR. COLE THAT,

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE CONTINUES TO ENDORSE AND SUPPORT THE TOTALITY OF THE MERCER SLOUGH ECOLOGICAL PRESERVE CONCEPT, FINDING IT WORTHY OF STATE, LOCAL, AND FEDERAL PARTICIPATION AND WORTHY OF JOINT EFFORT BY THE CITY OF BELLEVUE AND THE WASHINGTON STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION; AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE DOES NOW RECOGNIZE BOTH A CHANGE IN THE TIMING FOR THE TOTAL COMPLETION OF THE MERCER SLOUGH ECOLOGICAL PRESERVE AS ORIGINALLY ENVISIONED, AND A CHANGE IN THE SCOPE OF PROJECT I AS APPROVED AT THE NOVEMBER 22, 1972 IAC MEETING; AND

DOES HEREBY WITHDRAW THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SUM OF \$1,413,122.50 OF FY 1973 LWCF MONIES, AND FURTHER DOES HEREBY APPROVE AS PROJECT I THE ACQUISITION OF 93.2 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, AT A COST OF \$1,901,615 AND TOGETHER WITH RELOCATION COSTS OF \$80,400, A TOTAL PROJECT COST OF \$1,982,015, WITH FUNDING TO BE AS FOLLOWS:

LAND ACQUISITION:	CITY OF BELLEVUE	\$ 969,000
	(REPRESENTING VALUE OF DONATED LANDS)	
	BOR/LWCF	932,615
RELOVATION ASSISTANCE:	50% CITY OF BELLEVUE	\$ 40,200
	50% BOR/LWCF - STATE PARKS	40,200

AND, FURTHER, THAT SUCH APPROVAL IS GIVEN WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT VALUE OF DONATED LAND SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE CREDIT AGAINST RELOCATION COSTS, AND THAT ANY FUNDS NECESSARY FOR RELOCATION COSTS OTHER THAN THOSE ABOVE IDENTIFIED AS COMING FROM BOR/LWCF SHALL COME FROM OTHER THAN OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT SOURCES,

AND, FURTHER, THAT OF THE TOTAL BOR/LWCF PORTION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$972,815.00,

THAT FUNDING TO THE EXTENT OF \$486,407.50, BE REQUESTED FROM THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR'S CONTINGENCY FUND;

THAT \$243,203.75 BE APPROVED FROM THE LOCAL PROJECT LWCF FOR FY 1973; AND

THAT \$243,203.75 BE APPROVED AS COMING FROM THE FY 1973 LWCF FOR THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION, AND

FURTHER, THAT APPROVAL OF PROJECT I IS CONDITIONED UPON THE SUCCESSFUL ACQUISITION IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE ENTIRETY OF ALL LANDS PROPOSED TO BE ACQUIRED WITHIN THE REVISED SCOPE OF PROJECT I, UTILIZING THE POWER OF EMINENT DOMAIN IF NECESSARY;

AND, FURTHER, THAT THE PROJECT I AMOUNT OF \$1,982,015 SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE THE TOTAL AND FINAL FUNDING LEVEL TO BE APPROVED BY THE IAC; AND

FURTHER, THAT SHOULD ALL SUCH LANDS NOT BE ACQUIRED THE CITY OF BELLEVUE SHALL RETURN ANY AND ALL FUNDS PREVIOUSLY PAID AS REIMBURSEMENT TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION AND/OR BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION, OR THEIR SUCCESSORS, AND

FURTHER, WITHDRAW THE REMAINING AMOUNT OF \$926,715 OF FY 1973 LWCF MONIES PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FOR THE MERCER SLOUGH ECOLOGICAL PRESERVE AND DIRECTS THAT \$463,357.50 BE RETURNED TO THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION LWCF ACCOUNT FOR REAPPORTIONMENT TO OTHER PROJECTS THROUGH THE USUAL PROCEDURES AND THE OTHER \$463,357.50 BE RETURNED TO THAT CATEGORY OF THE LWCF ACCOUNT FOR RE-ALLOCATION TO OTHER LOCAL AGENCY PROJECTS BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Upon reconvening from luncheon recess (1:30 p.m.), the Chairman introduced Mr. Ralph Mackey, past Chairman of the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission, who had been recently reappointed to a six-year term on the Commission.

The agenda was rearranged by the Chairman to accommodate a Legislative Committee meeting on HB 87 which Mr. Francis had been requested to attend.

V. A. Special Reports: Legislative Sub-Committee - IAC: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973 (information as to legislative status obtained February 15, 1973, Legislation Status Sheet, 1973 Legislative Session), and commented on House Bill 87.

House Bill 87: Recent phone call to the Committee meeting from Olympia indicated that House Bill 87 would be heard in the afternoon before the Senate Transportation and Utilities Committee between 4:00 and 4:30 p.m.; thus it was necessary for Mr. Francis to leave the Committee meeting to attend. Explanation of the bill was given. House Bill 87 would eliminate fuel tax refund for all pleasure boaters using marine gasoline, but would not affect those boaters whose craft use diesel as fuel. The bill, if passed, would create about \$700,000 more per biennium to be used on marine outdoor recreation projects.

Mr. Biggs pointed out that the law as it now stands gives one cent per gallon on those claiming refund of the monies collected to a Coastal Protection Fund for prevention of oil spills and other accidents of that type. The bill would

eliminate this from the Coastal Protection Fund, which Mr. Biggs felt was proper because the funds are used to clean up oil spills and recreational boaters do not precipitate this type of accident. Mr. Biggs stated his department endorsed the bill even though it would reduce these monies. He then stated he would appreciate Committee support of those bills in the Legislature pertaining to oil spills. In response to a question from Mr. Lofgren, Beecher Snipes stated the amount involved in the Coastal Protection Fund was about \$50,000 annually.

House Bill #582: Mr. Francis reported on the current status of the Wild, Scenic and Recreation Rivers Study bill. This bill is the result of action taken by the Interagency Committee at its November 27, 1972 meeting. Currently the bill is in House Rules #2 and will thus be placed in the Extraordinary Session for consideration.

Senate Bill #2104: The Operating Budget bill, Senate Bill #2104, was briefly explained by Mr. Francis. He also stated the IAC has been notified of the \$2 million reduction in Capital Budget due to appropriation of less LWCF monies to the State of Washington for the 1973-75 biennium.

House Bill #831: All Terrain Vehicle Funds distribution -- Mr. Francis outlined the background of the ATV Act previously passed by the Legislature (1972). Representative Martinis introduced HB 831 which would provide for equal division (50-50) of funds generated by the ATV Act of 1972 between local public agencies (50%) and the departments of state government (50%). It clarifies the role of the IAC to establish such formula or formulae for distribution of these funds utilizing as one factor "the amount of present or proposed ATV trails or areas on which they (the agencies) permit ATV use."

The IAC Legislative Sub-Committee (Mr. Ward, Chairman; Mr. Bell and Mr. Bishop, members) discussed the bill with Mr. Francis and Chairman Lofgren by conference call on February 8, 1973. As a result of their concern and that of IAC staff and ATV user groups, the IAC Legislative Sub-Committee authorized the Administrator to assist in passage of the bill on behalf of the Interagency Committee as a whole. Mr. Francis, using the overhead projector, explained two charts indicating distribution of a hypothetical \$1 million from ATV fund sources:

Chart I Pro-rata distribution using conversion factors of
 ATV Trails - 1 point per mile
 ATV areas -.6 point per acre

Chart II Apportionment of \$500,000 to state agencies and \$500,000 to
 local agencies and distribution by a modified formula.

He indicated Chart I would distribute \$928,700 to state agencies and only \$71,200 to the various counties. It was his feeling the intent of the Legislature in passing the ATV Act was to assist the local agencies involved in trails for ATV's as well as those state agencies having trails inventories. The major impact of House Bill #831 would be to give the individual counties sufficient resources to carry out their trail responsibilities.

Mr. Francis reported that the DNR Assistant Attorney General's opinion indicated this was not within the purview of the present law. The IAC staff had differed in its interpretation of the language of the statute and requested its Assistant Attorney General to prepare an opinion to determine whether the IAC could draft

this type of formula as part of its responsibility in developing guidelines for ATV funds. The opinion rendered by the IAC Assistant Attorney General stated that authority could be broadly interpreted from the statute and other related statutes. The Department of Natural Resources then requested a formal Attorney General Opinion which has not yet been rendered.

Discussion followed. Mr. Andrews asked if the House Bill as now drafted would still limit the amount of funds to \$1 million per biennium. Mr. Francis replied in the negative. This was in the initial bill regarding the appropriation for only the 1971-73 biennium. Funds will be generated primarily from gas taxes, the amount of which is being determined through the Department of Motor Vehicles.

Mr. Bert Cole stated the bill as drafted was to give opportunity for gas tax money to be used to help pay for the use of non-highway financed roads; the Department of Natural Resources has the bulk of those roads; the 1972 legislation was drafted, carefully analyzed and passed into law after public hearings. Mr. Cole stated there had been a great deal of input by the ATV groups at that time and he was surprised ATV groups have now conceded to the new legislation (HB 831). It was his feeling the staff of IAC had taken a different philosophy than the ATV Act endorsed and that IAC staff was attempting to circumvent the intent of the legislation that was passed. Further, he felt IAC staff was now substantiating their philosophy on the distribution of ATV funds by introducing and supporting legislation which would put forth their philosophy. He stated those affected by the legislation ought also to be a party to developing it; that his agency had not been contacted even though his department has the major interest in providing recreational opportunity on land and roads built through timber receipts. He felt dividing the funds 50-50 had merit where it could be justified, but that the ATV Act was to provide opportunity for ATV users to have more areas in which to recreate through their assistance in financing the development of those ATV roads. Mr. Cole felt IAC staff had developed HB 831 without obtaining all IAC Committee members approval and that the Legislative Sub-Committee of the IAC should have consulted the other Committee members for their input prior to introduction of the legislation. He asked that any future legislation developed by the staff have full coordination and general support of the total Committee before being presented to the Legislature.

Mr. Andrews stated he felt the concept of allotting monies for ATV trails was totally contrary to the present method of financing the state public highway system, since the 9¢ sales tax per gallon of gas would be used to develop primitive roads throughout public lands and not concrete roads for overall state use. He felt if there were a substantial number of miles of primitive type roads developed for ATV's, the funds involved would be extensive; and the ATV road system under the jurisdiction of the Department of Natural Resources would utilize the full 9¢ tax now used by the State Highway System. *amended*

ATV Act Mr. Bert Cole then explained the concept of the ATV Act. *conventional 2 wheel* A ~~private car travel-~~ing on a private road (roads other than county, city or state) is considered to be an ATV at that time. A study had been made of those persons using these non-highway roads and as a result legislation had been passed by the State Legislature to provide funds for ATV trail and area development. The Legislature had thus decided there was an inequity in distribution of monies for non-highway roads and concrete roads and had placed this program under the Department of Natural Resources and the IAC.

At this point, Mr. Francis read Section (1) of Substitute House Bill #29 1972 (RCW 67.32.080) indicating the "intent" of the Legislature in passing the ATV Act. He referred to the conference call to the Sub-Committee on Legislation on February 8, 1973 concerning the amendment (now HB 831) to the ATV bill and the unanimous agreement of the Sub-Committee at that time for the Administrator to assist with the legislation. Contact had been made with the Pacific Northwest 4-Wheel Drive Association and other user groups who cooperated with Representative Martinis on the proposed legislation at Martinis' invitation.

Mr. Odegaard mentioned the previous motion by the Interagency Committee concerning legislation made at the March 12, 1971 meeting (page 12 of the Minutes), wherein the Administrator had been asked to consult with six members of the IAC by telephone prior to committing the IAC to any legislative action. Later at the November 27, 1973 meeting of the IAC, the Chairman had appointed a Legislative Sub-Committee of three. Mr. Biggs stated regardless of the number on the committee involved in approving of the legislation, he felt the formation of a sub-committee was very valuable and useful to the Chairman in offering counsel and advice for the membership as a whole. But, he was concerned that the Sub-Committee of the IAC, when not specifically authorized to do so, had taken a position on a piece of legislation without the consensus of the entire Committee. He did not question the judgment of the Sub-Committee, but felt other IAC members should have been consulted prior to complete approval on behalf of the Committee.

Mr. Odegaard agreed the appointment of a sub-committee was commendable and that the matter now called for the Committee addressing itself to the great need in the ATV recreational programs in metropolitan areas as well as the forest areas.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE GO ON RECORD AS BEING IN FAVOR OF THE LEGISLATION AS DRAFTED IN HOUSE BILL 831, 1973 LEGISLATIVE SESSION.

Mr. Bert Cole asked if this motion included approval of the formula for distribution of funds as presented by Mr. Francis. Mr. Bell explained his motion gave tacit approval in principle of the legislation in House Bill 831 not the formula reviewed at the meeting. At this point Mr. Ward explained that the Sub-Committee on Legislation of the IAC did not act contrary to the policy of IAC concerning proposed legislation; that it had, in fact, not been party to drafting of the legislation, but had approved the amendment in concept only.

VOTE WAS THEN TAKEN ON THE MOTION AS PRESENTED BY MR. BELL. SIX AFFIRMATIVE AND FOUR NEGATIVE VOTES WERE CAST. THE MOTION CARRIED BY MAJORITY VOTE.

Mr. Biggs stated it would be appropriate for the IAC to advise the proper committee of the Legislature about the question which has arisen regarding the adequacy of the ATV formula and suggest a review of the matter; however, he did not feel it would be appropriate for the Committee to tell the Legislature what the actual formula should be. This should be their discretion.

Mr. Rottler then questioned House Bill 87 (fuel tax refunds eliminated - watercraft)

stating this bill might not be satisfactory to pleasure boaters and thus it would be inappropriate for the IAC to endorse it at this time. He asked whether the boaters had given any indication they were in approval of the bill. Mr. Francis replied he was unable to respond to this question, but there had been no adverse testimony before the Legislature on the bill up to the present time.

Mr. Bert Cole then proposed that the Committee adopt a policy on legislation. Any legislation of importance should be developed previous to a Legislative Session in time for careful discussion and evaluation by the Committee prior to the Administrator giving support to it on behalf of the Committee.

Mr. Lofgren asked Mr. Francis and IAC staff to search out those formal motions and actions that the Committee has taken in previous years concerning the Administrator's activities in the legislative field; outline the current operating difficulties in meeting these requests of the Committee; and submit to the Committee those actions the Administrator would like to see as his responsibilities during legislative sessions. The Committee will then respond to Mr. Francis and include suggestions that it would like to incorporate into the legislative procedures.

However, Mr. Ward felt since the IAC was a small committee of 12, it would be well for the Administrator to correspond with all members of the total committee as to total input into proposed bills he is desirous of supporting; then use the Legislative Sub-Committee of IAC as a study committee should there be a diversified opinion stressed by the Committee. At that point, he said, the Sub-Committee could explore the matter in more detail and present decisions to the entire Committee. Mr. Lofgren agreed that the Legislative Sub-Committee of IAC had been created to assist the Administrator during the Session, and that it was not easy for it to react quickly to a given situation involving the entire Committee. MR. ANDREWS MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR IN BEHALF OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE CONTINUE HIS SUPPORT OF HOUSE BILL 87.

Mr. Rottler reiterated his concern that the user group might be in opposition to the bill and therefore he could not go along with the endorsement.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION. MR. ROTTLER VOTED IN THE NEGATIVE AND THE MOTION PASSED BY MAJORITY VOTE.

The Chairman mentioned two bills on coastal oil spills in which the Department of Ecology was interested and suggested the members of the Committee might wish to follow the progress of those particular bills: SB 2403 and HB 283.

PUBLIC HEARING - Procedural Guidelines - 1973

III E. Public Hearing - Proposed Procedural Guidelines 1973: At 3:15 p.m., the Chairman announced opening of the Public Hearing concerning the 1973 proposed Interagency Committee Procedural Guidelines. Mr. Odegaard stated since copies of the Proposed Procedural Guidelines had been received by Committee members only within the last few days, he had not had opportunity to review them fully. Since others had also not had sufficient time for review of changes made recently in the proposed guidelines, MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. ANDREWS, THAT THE OPEN HEARING ON THE PROPOSED PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES AND ADOPTION OF THE

the Procedural Guidelines were available for review and where they would be able to read a copy in their area. Mr. Bert Cole complimented the staff on writing the new proposed Guidelines, compiling them, and the hours of review which went into the entire program. It was recognized by the Committee there had been a considerable amount of work involved in bringing the Guidelines up-to-date and in the form presented to the Committee at the meeting.

III D. Project Change Requests - Committee Action:

- (1) Port of Everett, Watergate Park - had been deleted.
- (2) County of King - Seahurst Park Development: Mr. Roger Syverson referred to memorandum of staff and gave an explanation of the request for change in project scope concerning Seahurst Park. Mr. Bert Cole asked if the change in scope met with the provisions of the Shorelines Management Act. Staff reviewed the Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order of the hearing held in King County and stated that the redesign met all of the criteria within the Court Order. Mr. Webster, Supt., Parks and Recreation, King County, in response to questions of Mr. Biggs stated King County was presently in the process of reapplying for the Shoreline Management Permit as it related to the south half of the project. Fred Wagner was asked to give a slide presentation on the project and explain to the Committee all of the changes being proposed.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. CROUSE, THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE BE AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THE NECESSARY PROJECT AGREEMENT AMENDMENTS TO THE KING COUNTY, SEAHURST PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, IAC #71-044D, TO INCLUDE THE PROJECT AS REDESIGNED FOLLOWING THE MAJOR CHANGES INDICATED WITHIN MEMORANDUM TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE OF FEBRUARY 27, 1973. FUNDING FOR THE SEAHURST PROJECT WILL BE AS FOLLOWS:

TOTAL COST	\$ 1,184,600	
REFERENDUM 18	75%	\$ 888,450
KING COUNTY	25%	296,150

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

- (3) Town of Milton - Milton Town Park: Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, outlining the need for a cost increase in the project due to re-appraisals. Original funding of the project called for total cost of \$42,175; formal MAI appraisals substantiated a value of \$69,557. Court awards on certain parcels brought the Town's total acquisition cost to in excess of \$75,000 and the Town is willing and able to pay the costs in excess of the appraisal value and carry the project through to a timely completion. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BIGGS, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE, THAT

WHEREAS, THE TOWN OF MILTON HAS REQUESTED AN INCREASE IN THE TOTAL COST OF THE MILTON TOWN PARK PROJECT TO A NEW AMOUNT OF \$69,557, AND

WHEREAS, THIS AMOUNT HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIATED THROUGH THE SUBMITTAL OF CURRENT APPRAISALS,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE DOES HEREBY GRANT AN INCREASE IN THE TOTAL COST OF THIS PROJECT FROM THE ORIGINAL APPROVED

AMOUNT OF \$42,175 TO A NEW AMOUNT OF \$69,557, AND THAT THE IAC ADMINISTRATOR IS DIRECTED TO INITIATE AND PROCESS THE NECESSARY PROJECT AGREEMENT AMENDMENT TO EFFECT THE SAME. IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE IAC SHARE OF THIS PROJECT AMOUNTS TO \$52,167.75.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

(4) City of Seattle, Magnolia Tidelands, IAC #66-024A; Explanation of the City of Seattle's request for the withdrawal of the Magnolia Tidelands #1 project was explained by Mr. Syverson. Slides were shown and questions were asked by the Committee. The City requested that in addition to termination of Seattle's responsibility to complete the project, the IAC participate in costs of acquisition of 12 lots which had been under negotiation although none of the property under the court action had ever been acquired (\$62,338). Staff recommended the IAC should not participate in these costs nor the court award fees and interest of \$566,437.

In response to a question of Mr. Biggs, Mr. Dave Towne, Asst. Supt., Parks and Recreation, City of Seattle, stated the City had tried to arrive at an equitable settlement for the property and would continue its efforts. The project might possibly come up for consideration at a later time.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, SECONDED BY MR. WARD THAT,

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF SEATTLE AND THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION DID ON OCTOBER 14, 1966, AND FEBRUARY 11, 1967, RESPECTIVELY, SIGN A PROJECT AGREEMENT COMMITTING BOTH PARTIES TO CARRYING OUT THE MAGNOLIA TIDELANDS #1 ACQUISITION PROJECT (IAC #66-024A), AND

WHEREAS, BOTH ABOVE-MENTIONED PARTIES TO THIS PROJECT AGREEMENT HAVE STRIVED TO FULFILL THE TERMS OF SAID AGREEMENT, AND

WHEREAS, IT NOW APPEARS UNFEASIBLE AND UNREASONABLE FOR EITHER PARTY TO PURSUE PERFORMANCE AND COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENT ANY FURTHER,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THAT THIS PROJECT AGREEMENT (IAC #66-024A) IS NULL AND VOID AND THE COMMITMENT RELATING TO BOTH THE CITY OF SEATTLE AND THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THEREIN ARE DISSOLVED.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT ALL FUNDS ALLOCATED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR USE IN THIS PROJECT (AMOUNTING TO \$106,250) SHALL BECOME AVAILABLE IN THE LOCAL AGENCY SHARE OF UNENCUMBERED FUNDS IN THE STATE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

The Chairman introduced the following persons in attendance:

Mr. Maurice A. Lundy, Regional Director of the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation
Seattle Regional Office

Mr. Thomas Wimmer, Washington State Environmental Council, formerly a
member of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

(5) City of Yakima, Washington Park Redevelopment (#72-058D): Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, which outlined cost increase request for the City of Yakima's, Washington Park Redevelopment project, due to disapproval of a proportionate funding from the Housing and Urban Development Department. HUD is unable to finance outdoor recreation facilities as it has in the past because of a severe reduction in its previous funding program. The City requested an increase of \$86,756 from the Outdoor Recreation Account.

MR. BERT COLE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD THAT THE CITY OF YAKIMA RECEIVE AN INCREASE OF \$86,756 FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT FOR THE WASHINGTON PARK REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (#72-058D), WITH FUNDING OF 75% FROM REFERENDUM 18 (\$130,134).

Discussion followed. At the request of the Committee, Mr. Syverson showed slides of the existing project and Mr. Hutsinpillar, City of Yakima, commented on the heavy use of the project area. The Committee inquired whether this same type of deficiency situation with HUD funds might not arise in other projects. Mr. Martin replied he had not yet had opportunity to analyze this situation, but that at this time this was the only such project wherein HUD funds were not approved. Mr. Syverson stated there were few IAC projects involved in HUD funding. The Committee then asked Mr. Bohn, HUD representative, whether he was aware of any projects falling into this category. Mr. Bohn stated there were none. He then explained the financial situation of HUD and stated there was no possibility of HUD money subsequently becoming available which could be used for this or any other project. The HUD Open Space Land program is being phased out and funds will apparently be placed into the Revenue Sharing program, thus HUD will not be in the type of program it has had over the past few years for assisting in the funding of recreational facilities through the IAC. Mr. Crouse asked staff if the project would have been recommended for funding had HUD money not been involved. Staff agreed the project was of high priority and had met proper evaluation criteria at the time it was brought to the Committee for consideration. Therefore, it would have been on the list of recommended projects regardless of HUD funding.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED. MR. BELL ASKED THAT HIS VOTE BE RECORDED AS IN OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION.

There followed considerable discussion by the Committee members on the new Revenue Sharing program passed by the Congress. Mr. Martin commented on his recent efforts to obtain information on this program.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MR. BIGGS THAT

THE IAC STAFF EVALUATE THE AVAILABILITY OF THE NEW REVENUE SHARING FUNDS PASSED BY CONGRESS TO BE USED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES (CITIES/TOWNS/COUNTIES) AS ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF MATCHING MONEY FOR THE IAC GRANT-IN-AID PROGRAM.

Mr. Odegard amended the motion TO INCLUDE STATE AGENCIES.

The following motion was PASSED by the Committee:

WHEREAS, NEW REVENUE SHARING FUNDS PASSED BY CONGRESS HAVE BEEN DISBURSED TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, AND

WHEREAS, SOME OF THESE FUNDS COULD LOGICALLY BE USED AS MATCHING MONEY FOR PROPOSED IAC GRANT-IN-AID PROJECTS;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE REQUESTS THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE STAFF TO EVALUATE THE AVAILABILITY OF THE NEW REVENUE SHARING FUNDS PASSED BY CONGRESS TO BE USED BY ELIGIBLE STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES AS ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF MATCHING MONEY FOR THE IAC GRANT-IN-AID PROGRAM, AND TO RECOMMEND TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE AT ITS MAY, 1973 MEETING A PROPOSED COURSE OF ACTION REGARDING REVENUE SHARING MONIES.

Mr. Ward suggested if revenue sharing monies do become available for an IAC project after the Committee has already funded the project 75% IAC and 25% local, that the local agency ought to come back to the IAC with a proposal for 50% funding from its Revenue Sharing program and return of that portion of the IAC funds (25%) for use in other projects. Mr. Biggs agreed this point was valid, but that municipalities are reluctant to enter into this type of arrangement because they have no assurance that their locality will at some future time get back the IAC monies they have returned for use in another project. The Chairman asked Mr. Martin to pursue this aspect in the overall recommendation to the IAC at the May 1973 meeting as implied in the motion passed by the Committee on Revenue Sharing matters.

(6) Dept. of Natural Resources, Sultan-Pilchuck Multiple-Use Area, Sultan Basin Road, Request for Withdrawal: Mr. Glenn Moore reviewed the history of the approved Sultan-Pilchuck, Sultan Basin Road project #71-701D. Total cost at time of approval in May 1971 was estimated at \$394,600 with the Outdoor Recreation Account contribution being \$225,000. DNR requested the project be withdrawn because recent engineering surveys and reports indicated a new cost estimate for the project in excess of \$750,000 and the agency did not want to commit such a large portion of its allocation of IAC funds to a single project. Staff advised withdrawal of this project would not adversely affect the recreation use of Sultan-Pilchuck Multiple-Use Area. Mr. Crouse stated the withdrawal of the project did not mean that DNR might not come back at some future time for funds within this particular project area.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. CROUSE, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVE THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE SULTAN-BASIN ROAD PROJECT (#71-701D) BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE \$225,000 OF REFERENDUM 18 FUNDS BE IDENTIFIED FOR REAPPROPRIATION IN THE 1973-75 CAPITAL BUDGET AS SUBMITTED BY DNR, SUBJECT TO SUBSEQUENT APPROVAL BY THE IAC OF ANY PROPOSED USE OF THESE FUNDS BY DNR AND THAT DNR SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFY THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THESE FUNDS ARE TO BE USED.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

7. State Parks and Recreation Commission, Steamboat Rock State Park, Request for Cost Increase, IAC #69-571D: Mr. Moore referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973 concerning the request for a cost increase on development of Steamboat Rock State Park. The lowest construction bid received totaled \$924,000, which was \$193,900 over the total cost approved November 24, 1969. A cost

Increase request by State Parks of \$200,000 for the project was reviewed by staff and was recommended for approval.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MR. BELL, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVE THE COST INCREASE OF \$200,000 FOR THE STEAMBOAT ROCK STATE PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECT WITH FUNDING AS FOLLOWS:

TOTAL COST:	\$930,100	REF. 18	\$ 465,050
		LWCF	465,050

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV A. Local Agency Project Presentations - LWCF Contingency Demonstration Projects:
Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, concerning two LWCF Contingency Demonstration Development Projects:

1. King County, Sacajawea Community Park
2. Spokane County, Valley Mission Park

Slides were shown of both projects and explanation was given of the funding information contained on the resumes.

Spokane County, Valley Mission Park involves reclamation of an abandoned gravel pit for recreational development. Approximately 22 acres will be reclaimed through this application and be developed as a community park with a variety of facilities. The site is adjacent to and on the south side of Interstate 90 approximately four miles to the east of the City of Spokane. \$ 253,420 total cost.

King County, Sacajawea Community Park involves reclamation of an abandoned county gravel pit also for recreational development. The site is located in southwest King County and will serve approximately 19,600 persons living in a two mile radius of the area. Development will be oriented to the more active types of recreational use. \$ 600,000 total cost.

These two projects were approved by the Committee in a telephone conference call on February 2, 1973 with all available IAC members. The Bureau of Outdoor Recreation had contacted IAC staff on January 18, 1973, concerning the possibility of the State receiving funds from the Secretary of Interior's Contingency Fund for demonstration mining reclamation projects. A deadline of February 7, 1973 had been set for submittal of any such project proposals to the BOR in final application form. The motion approved by the Committee on February 2, 1973 read as follows:

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, SECONDED BY MR. ANDREWS THAT THE INTER-AGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION THROUGH ACTION TAKEN BY CONFERENCE CALL ON FEBRUARY 2, 1973, 2:00 P.M., HEREBY APPROVES THE TWO LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND CONTINGENCY DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS (ONE FOR KING COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$600,000, AND ONE FOR SPOKANE COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$253,420, TOTALING \$853,420) TO BE FUNDED AS FOLLOWS:

25% CONTINGENCY; 25% STATE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND;
25% REFERENDUM 18 AND 25% LOCAL - SUBJECT TO REFERENDUM 18 AND
LWCF FUNDS BEING AVAILABLE.

AND HEREBY AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN BOTH THE STATE AND BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION AGREEMENTS AND FORWARD SAME TO THE RESPECTIVE PARTIES SUBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THIS COMMITTEE ACTION AT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEETING TO BE HELD ON FEBRUARY 26-27, 1973, AT RENTON, WASHINGTON. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Those Committee members voting at that time were:

Lewis A. Bell; Omar Lofgren; Jack Rottler; Carl Crouse; Bert Cole; George Andrews; Thor Tollefson; and Dan Ward

Committee members unavailable were:

Warren Bishop, Madeline Lemere, Charles H. Odegaard, and John Biggs

Following Mr. Syverson's report on the two proposed projects, IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. COLE THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE KING COUNTY, SACAJAWEA COMMUNITY PARK AND SPOKANE COUNTY, VALLEY MISSION PARK PROPOSALS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969; AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THAT THE COUNTIES OF KING AND SPOKANE HAVE COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE, AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE TO MEET IAC ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, RCW 43.99, AS AMENDED), AND

WHEREAS, BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL ON FEBRUARY 2, 1973, THE COMMITTEE BY UNANIMOUS VOTE OF EIGHT MEMBERS AVAILABLE FOR THE CONFERENCE CALL APPROVED THE SUBMISSION OF THE KING COUNTY, SACAJAWEA COMMUNITY PARK AND SPOKANE COUNTY, VALLEY MISSION PARK PROPOSALS TO THE BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION, WASHINGTON, D.C., FOR FUNDING ASSISTANCE FROM THE SECRETARY'S CONTINGENCY FUND AND REGULAR APPORTIONMENT;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE CONFIRMS THE APPROVAL GIVEN FEBRUARY 2, 1973, BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL TO SUBMIT THE ABOVE PROJECT PROPOSALS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$853,420 TO THE BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION FOR FUNDING ASSISTANCE FROM THE REGULAR APPORTIONMENT AND FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S CONTINGENCY FUND.

FUNDING OF THE PROJECTS IS AS FOLLOWS:

SPOKANE COUNTY, VALLEY MISSION PARK	IAC #73-060D	REF. 18	\$ 63,355)	
		LWCF (local)	63,355)	
		CONTINGENCY	63,355)	\$253,420
		Local agency	63,355)	

KING COUNTY, SACAJAWEA COMMUNITY PARK IAC #73-061D	REF. 18	\$ 150,000)	
	LWCF (local)	150,000)	
	CONTINGENCY	150,000)	\$600,000
	LOCAL AGENCY	150,000)	

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV B. State Agency Project Presentations.

1. State Parks and Recreation Commission: Mr. Glenn Moore presented the proposed projects for the Parks and Recreation Commission. Memorandum dated February 26, 1973 and resumes for each project were referred to by Mr. Moore in his overall presentation. Slides of the projects were shown:

(a) Green River Gorge - Flaming Geyser (Harland Bull site): Acquisition of a 1.65 acre site within the Flaming Geyser State Park, King County, at total cost of \$29,500 with funding from Referendum 18.

(b) Twin Harbors State Park acquisition: Four parcels adjoining the Twin Harbors State Park of approximately 37.43 acres will allow for expansion of existing facilities and preserve natural beach areas for the public. Total cost \$315,635; with \$157,817.50 from Ref. 18 and \$157,817.50 from LWCF.

Mr. Andrews inquired concerning the cross traffic at the camp ground site. Mr. Odegaard asked Mr. Moore to identify on the slide the area west of Highway 105 and stated it was planned to reduce some of the camp sites in the present area and put in some additional parking spaces.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. CROUSE, THAT

THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT AGREEMENT INSTRUMENT WITH THE LISTED PROJECT'S SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

GREEN RIVER GORGE	REF. 18	\$ 29,500)	
)	
TWIN HARBOR STATE PARK	REF. 18	157,817.50)	\$ 345,145
	LWCF	157,817.50)	

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV B. 2. Department of Game: Mr. Moore referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, and resumes for each project to be considered for the Department of Game. Slides were shown.

- (a) Johns River, WRA, Acquisition: Acquisition of 140 acres located at mouth of the Johns River, Grays Harbor Bay, Grays Harbor County, \$25,300, with funding Ref. 18 \$12,650 and LWCF \$12,650. This acquisition will complete the acquisitions contemplated for the Johns River Wildlife Recreation Area.
- (b) Wenatchee River, Chelan County, Spiess Acquisition: Project is for the acquisition of 1,050 lineal feet easement along the Wenatchee River for public fishing and pedestrian use. \$1,050 Ref. 18.
- (c) Wenatchee River, Chelan, Day Acquisition: This project calls for acquisition of a 2,100 foot easement along Wenatchee River for public fishing and pedestrian use, the site being located approximately two miles upriver from the City of Wenatchee. \$2,100 Ref. 18.
- (d) Crab Creek, Wildlife Rec. Area, Campbell Acquisition: Acquisition of an 164.13 acre in-holding within the Crab Creek WRA, Grant County, at a cost of \$20,500; with \$10,250 Ref. 18 funds and \$10,250 LWCF.
- (e) Little Spokane River, Spokane County, Acquisition: This project is for the acquisition of a 66-acre parcel located 3 miles north of the City of Spokane, along the Little Spokane River, \$67,000; with \$33,500 Ref. 18 funds and \$33,500 LWCF.
- (f) Grande Ronde and Snake River Acquisition, Asotin County: Acquisition of 52 acres with 1,300 feet on Snake River and 3,200 feet on Grande Ronde River, Asotin Co. \$52,000 total cost; \$26,000 Init. 215; and \$26,000 LWCF.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT

THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT AGREEMENT WITH INSTRUMENT WITH THE LISTED PROJECT'S SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

DEPARTMENT OF GAME

JOHNS RIVER WRA	\$ 12,650 REF. 18	\$ 12,650 LWCF	\$ 25,300
WENATCHEE RIVER (EASEMENT)	2,100 " "		2,100
WENATCHEE RIVER (EASEMENT)	1,050 " "		1,050
CRAB CREEK WRA	10,250 " "	10,250 LWCF	20,500
LITTLE SPOKANE RIVER	33,500 " "	33,500 LWCF	67,000
GRANDE RONDE-SNAKE RIVER	26,000 INIT. 215	26,000 LWCF	<u>52,000</u>
		TOTAL	\$ 167,950

MOTION WAS CARRIED

IV B. 3. Department of Natural Resources: Mr. Moore referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, and resumes' of each project to be considered for the Department of Natural Resources. Slides of the project areas were shown.

(a) Sultan-Pilchuck - 4 sites: Acquisition of 4 recreation sites via 50-year lease located in Sultan-Pilchuck Multiple-Use Area to be developed for public recreation purposes: Upper Ashland Lake; Lower Ashland Lake; Beaver Plant Lake; and Cutthroat Lake. \$7,500 Ref. 18 funds.

(b) Sultan-Pilchuck - Reflection Pond: Acquisition of 12 acres in the Sultan-Pilchuck WRA. This site is a substitute for the Elk Creek site as originally proposed in the 1971-73 Capital Budget of DNR and is located approximately 1/2 mile west of that site. \$5,000 Ref. 18.

Mr. Bert Cole and Mr. Odegaard discussed the possibilities of DNR acquiring the entire land area around the pond. Mr. Cole explained at this time only a portion of the land surrounding the lake will be on a lease basis for 50 years; however, the entire pond area will be utilized by the public. Mr. Moore explained the lakes which had been viewed were in an Upper Resource protected zone.

(c) Toats Junction Camp - Okanogan County: Stage one of the development of a 15 acre camp and picnic area in the Loomis Loup-Loup Multiple-Use Area in north-central Okanogan County at \$16,290 - \$8,145 Ref. 18 and \$8,145 LWCF.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MR. BELL THAT

THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT AGREEMENT INSTRUMENT WITH THE LISTED PROJECT'S SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

SULTAN-PILCHUK - 4 SITES	\$ 7,500	REF. 18	\$ 7,500
SULTAN-PILCHUCK - REFLECTION POND	5,000	" "	5,000
TOATS JUNCTION	8,145	" " \$ 8,145 LWCF	16,290
		TOTAL	\$ 28,790

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Bert Cole commented it was becoming extremely difficult to maintain the primitive type camps developed by DNR, especially in view of vandalism which is prevalent.

At this point, Chairman Lofgren introduced the following IAC staff: Mrs. Patti Freested, Clerk-Typist III and Mrs. Norma Roll, Clerk-Typist.

VIII Location and Date of May, 1973 IAC meetings and Others for the Biennium:
Mr. Martin reported Mr. Ken Hertz, Director, Parks and Recreation, Whatcom County, would be setting up a tour program in connection with the July meeting in Bellingham for the Committee members. In October, the Committee will view EXPO-74 in Spokane. To comply with the Open Public Meetings Law, Local Agency Coordination, State Agency Fiscal Timetable, and the Legislative Timetable, a schedule of meetings for the biennium as set forth in memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, was reviewed by Mr. Martin.

Following his explanation, IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. ANDREWS THAT THE FOLLOWING IAC MEETING SCHEDULE BE ADOPTED FOR THE REMAINDER OF FY 71-73 AND FOR FY 1974-75, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE COMMITTEE AT ITS DISCRETION MAY CHANGE THE DATES OF A MEETING DUE TO ANY UNFORESEEN CONFLICTS WITH OFFICIAL HOLIDAYS OR OTHER MEETINGS WITH WHICH THE IAC IS AFFILIATED, KEEPING IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE OPEN PUBLIC MEETING LAW REGULATIONS:

<u>DATE</u>		<u>PLACE</u>
July 23 - Monday and 24 Tuesday	1973	Bellingham
Oct. 23 - Tuesday and 24 Weds.	1973	Spokane
Jan. 28 - Monday and 29 Tuesday	1974	to be determined
April 22 - Monday and 23 Tuesday	1974	to be determined
July 22 - Monday and 23 Tuesday	1974	to be determined
Oct. 29 - Tuesday and 30 Weds.	1974	to be determined
Jan. 27 - Monday and 28 Tuesday	1975	to be determined
April 28 - Monday and 29 Tuesday	1975	to be determined

Mr. Martin advised the Committee the May meeting would take place in Pullman, on May 29-30, 1973 (Tuesday-Wednesday).

VI. Administrator's Report: Due to the absence of the Administrator from the afternoon meeting (attending Olympia Legislative hearing), there was no report given.

VII. Committee Members' Reports: None.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. BELL, THAT THE IAC FEBRUARY 26, 1973 MEETING ADJOURN AT 5:20 P.M. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

RATIFIED BY THE COMMITTEE *as amended 5/29/73*

5-29-73
Omar Lofgren
OMAR LOFGREN, CHAIRMAN

PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES FOR 1973 UNDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT BE TABLED UNTIL THE MAY, 1973 MEETING OF THE IAC IN ORDER THAT ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE AND THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MIGHT HAVE OPPORTUNITY FOR A MORE THOROUGH REVIEW OF THE CONTENTS.

Mr. Biggs agreed with Mr. Odegaard stating the Guidelines were highly involved and complex and that members of the Committee should have opportunity for review. However, he asked that the Committee hear some brief explanation of the quasi-legal responsibilities under APA that will be coming before the Committee for approval in May, 1973. He suggested that Marianne Holifield, Assistant Attorney General to the IAC, give this explanation. Mrs. Holifield briefly reviewed WAC Chapter 286-04, outlining the definitions, organization and operations, goals and objectives of the IAC, as well as the application procedures and funding of projects.

Following her review, Mr. Andrews suggested: (1) Prior to the May meeting before final adoption of the Procedural Guidelines and the APA rules of the IAC, that the Technical Advisory Committee be given opportunity to carefully review both documents; (2) Staff should outline any changes in policy in the Procedural Guidelines -- those which have not been brought to the Committee's attention -- by underlining or underscoring so that the changes may be easily reviewed and the new procedures adopted by the Committee with full knowledge of their intent and applicability; (3) Within the goals and objectives, there should be a consistency between WAC Chapter 286-04-030 goals and objectives of the IAC and those within the Statewide Outdoor Recreation and Open Space Plan adopted by the Committee.

At the suggestion of Mr. Crouse, the Chairman asked Mr. Martin to mail the Procedural Guidelines to all Committee members by May 1st for review.

Mr. Martin felt the local agencies should be contacting those members of the Technical Advisory Committee who represent them in the various areas of the state to make their input to the Guidelines. Mrs. Mylroie suggested that the Regional Councils, such as Puget Sound Governmental Conference, Thurston County and Spokane County regional councils, etc., contact the Technical Advisory Committee representative of their area to make their input. Regional meetings were then suggested by Mr. Fearn, Director, Spokane Park and Recreation Department. Mr. Martin stated these could be arranged. He stressed the need, however, for the cities, counties, school districts, and those agencies eligible for IAC grant-in-aid funds to contact the Technical Advisory Committee member of their particular area. The TAC member, in turn, would then bring suggested changes to the attention of the full TAC for discussion and possible revision.

Mr. Don Clark, City of Olympia, Park and Recreation Department, asked that the Committee establish a rapport with the local agencies and notify them of the IAC meetings. Mr. Lofgren replied IAC meetings are announced in local papers and the Committee also adopts a schedule for the full year. The mailing list for notice of meetings and news releases (approximately 120 agencies, individuals) was explained by Mr. Martin, who also noted agenda Item VIII would include a schedule of meeting dates for the biennium to be considered by the Committee. Mr. Martin stated he would be coordinating with Willa Mylroie the mechanics of notifying cities, towns, counties, school districts and all other governmental agencies eligible for grant-in-aid monies from the Interagency Committee, when

the Procedural Guidelines were available for review and where they would be able to read a copy in their area. Mr. Bert Cole complimented the staff on writing the new proposed Guidelines, compiling them, and the hours of review which went into the entire program. It was recognized by the Committee there had been a considerable amount of work involved in bringing the Guidelines up-to-date and in the form presented to the Committee at the meeting.

III D. Project Change Requests - Committee Action:

(1) Port of Everett, Watergate Park - had been deleted.

(2) County of King - Seahurst Park Development: Mr. Roger Syverson referred to memorandum of staff and gave an explanation of the request for change in project scope concerning Seahurst Park. Mr. Bert Cole asked if the change in scope met with the provisions of the Shorelines Management Act. Staff reviewed the Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order of the hearing held in King County and stated that the redesign met all of the criteria within the Court Order. Mr. Webster, Supt., Parks and Recreation, King County, in response to questions of Mr. Biggs stated King County was presently in the process of reapplying for the Shoreline Management Permit as it related to the south half of the project. Fred Wagner was asked to give a slide presentation on the project and explain to the Committee all of the changes being proposed.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. CROUSE, THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE BE AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THE NECESSARY PROJECT AGREEMENT AMENDMENTS TO THE KING COUNTY, SEAHURST PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, IAC #71-044D, TO INCLUDE THE PROJECT AS REDESIGNED FOLLOWING THE MAJOR CHANGES INDICATED WITHIN MEMORANDUM TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE OF FEBRUARY 27, 1973. FUNDING FOR THE SEAHURST PROJECT WILL BE AS FOLLOWS:

TOTAL COST		\$ 1,184,600	
REFERENDUM 18	75%	\$ 888,450	
KING COUNTY	25%	296,150	

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

(3) Town of Milton - Milton Town Park: Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, outlining the need for a cost increase in the project due to re-appraisals. Original funding of the project called for total cost of \$42,175; formal MAI appraisals substantiated a value of \$69,557. Court awards on certain parcels brought the Town's total acquisition cost to in excess of \$75,000 and the Town is willing and able to pay the costs in excess of the appraisal value and carry the project through to a timely completion. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BIGGS, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE, THAT

WHEREAS, THE TOWN OF MILTON HAS REQUESTED AN INCREASE IN THE TOTAL COST OF THE MILTON TOWN PARK PROJECT TO A NEW AMOUNT OF \$69,557, AND

WHEREAS, THIS AMOUNT HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIATED THROUGH THE SUBMITTAL OF CURRENT APPRAISALS,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE DOES HEREBY GRANT AN INCREASE IN THE TOTAL COST OF THIS PROJECT FROM THE ORIGINAL APPROVED

AMOUNT OF \$42,175 TO A NEW AMOUNT OF \$69,557, AND THAT THE IAC ADMINISTRATOR IS DIRECTED TO INITIATE AND PROCESS THE NECESSARY PROJECT AGREEMENT AMENDMENT TO EFFECT THE SAME. IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE IAC SHARE OF THIS PROJECT AMOUNTS TO \$52,167.75.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

(4) City of Seattle, Magnolia Tidelands, IAC #66-024A; Explanation of the City of Seattle's request for the withdrawal of the Magnolia Tidelands #1 project was explained by Mr. Syverson. Slides were shown and questions were asked by the Committee. The City requested that in addition to termination of Seattle's responsibility to complete the project, the IAC participate in costs of acquisition of 12 lots which had been under negotiation although none of the property under the court action had ever been acquired (\$62,338). Staff recommended the IAC should not participate in these costs nor the court award fees and interest of \$566,437.

In response to a question of Mr. Biggs, Mr. Dave Towne, Asst. Supt., Parks and Recreation, City of Seattle, stated the City had tried to arrive at an equitable settlement for the property and would continue its efforts. The project might possibly come up for consideration at a later time.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, SECONDED BY MR. WARD THAT,

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF SEATTLE AND THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION DID ON OCTOBER 14, 1966, AND FEBRUARY 11, 1967, RESPECTIVELY, SIGN A PROJECT AGREEMENT COMMITTING BOTH PARTIES TO CARRYING OUT THE MAGNOLIA TIDELANDS #1 ACQUISITION PROJECT (IAC #66-024A), AND

WHEREAS, BOTH ABOVE-MENTIONED PARTIES TO THIS PROJECT AGREEMENT HAVE STRIVED TO FULFILL THE TERMS OF SAID AGREEMENT, AND

WHEREAS, IT NOW APPEARS UNFEASIBLE AND UNREASONABLE FOR EITHER PARTY TO PURSUE PERFORMANCE AND COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENT ANY FURTHER,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THAT THIS PROJECT AGREEMENT (IAC #66-024A) IS NULL AND VOID AND THE COMMITMENT RELATING TO BOTH THE CITY OF SEATTLE AND THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THEREIN ARE DISSOLVED.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT ALL FUNDS ALLOCATED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR USE IN THIS PROJECT (AMOUNTING TO \$106,250) SHALL BECOME AVAILABLE IN THE LOCAL AGENCY SHARE OF UNENCUMBERED FUNDS IN THE STATE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

The Chairman introduced the following persons in attendance:

Mr. Maurice A. Lundy, Regional Director of the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation
Seattle Regional Office

Mr. Thomas Wimmer, Washington State Environmental Council, formerly a
member of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

(5) City of Yakima, Washington Park Redevelopment (#72-058D): Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, which outlined cost increase request for the City of Yakima's, Washington Park Redevelopment project, due to disapproval of a proportionate funding from the Housing and Urban Development Department. HUD is unable to finance outdoor recreation facilities as it has in the past because of a severe reduction in its previous funding program. The City requested an increase of \$86,756 from the Outdoor Recreation Account.

MR. BERT COLE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD THAT THE CITY OF YAKIMA RECEIVE AN INCREASE OF \$86,756 FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT FOR THE WASHINGTON PARK REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (#72-058D), WITH FUNDING OF 75% FROM REFERENDUM 18 (\$130,134).

Discussion followed. At the request of the Committee, Mr. Syverson showed slides of the existing project and Mr. Hutsinpillar, City of Yakima, commented on the heavy use of the project area. The Committee inquired whether this same type of deficiency situation with HUD funds might not arise in other projects. Mr. Martin replied he had not yet had opportunity to analyze this situation, but that at this time this was the only such project wherein HUD funds were not approved. Mr. Syverson stated there were few IAC projects involved in HUD funding. The Committee then asked Mr. Bohn, HUD representative, whether he was aware of any projects falling into this category. Mr. Bohn stated there were none. He then explained the financial situation of HUD and stated there was no possibility of HUD money subsequently becoming available which could be used for this or any other project. The HUD Open Space Land program is being phased out and funds will apparently be placed into the Revenue Sharing program, thus HUD will not be in the type of program it has had over the past few years for assisting in the funding of recreational facilities through the IAC. Mr. Crouse asked staff if the project would have been recommended for funding had HUD money not been involved. Staff agreed the project was of high priority and had met proper evaluation criteria at the time it was brought to the Committee for consideration. Therefore, it would have been on the list of recommended projects regardless of HUD funding.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED. MR. BELL ASKED THAT HIS VOTE BE RECORDED AS IN OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION.

There followed considerable discussion by the Committee members on the new Revenue Sharing program passed by the Congress. Mr. Martin commented on his recent efforts to obtain information on this program.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MR. BIGGS THAT

THE IAC STAFF EVALUATE THE AVAILABILITY OF THE NEW REVENUE SHARING FUNDS PASSED BY CONGRESS TO BE USED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES (CITIES/TOWNS/COUNTIES) AS ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF MATCHING MONEY FOR THE IAC GRANT-IN-AID PROGRAM.

Mr. Odegaard amended the motion TO INCLUDE STATE AGENCIES.

The following motion was PASSED by the Committee:

WHEREAS, NEW REVENUE SHARING FUNDS PASSED BY CONGRESS HAVE BEEN DISBURSED TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, AND

WHEREAS, SOME OF THESE FUNDS COULD LOGICALLY BE USED AS MATCHING MONEY FOR PROPOSED IAC GRANT-IN-AID PROJECTS;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE REQUESTS THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE STAFF TO EVALUATE THE AVAILABILITY OF THE NEW REVENUE SHARING FUNDS PASSED BY CONGRESS TO BE USED BY ELIGIBLE STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES AS ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF MATCHING MONEY FOR THE IAC GRANT-IN-AID PROGRAM, AND TO RECOMMEND TO THE INTER-AGENCY COMMITTEE AT ITS MAY, 1973 MEETING A PROPOSED COURSE OF ACTION REGARDING REVENUE SHARING MONIES.

Mr. Ward suggested if revenue sharing monies do become available for an IAC project after the Committee has already funded the project 75% IAC and 25% local, that the local agency ought to come back to the IAC with a proposal for 50% funding from its Revenue Sharing program and return of that portion of the IAC funds (25%) for use in other projects. Mr. Biggs agreed this point was valid, but that municipalities are reluctant to enter into this type of arrangement because they have no assurance that their locality will at some future time get back the IAC monies they have returned for use in another project. The Chairman asked Mr. Martin to pursue this aspect in the overall recommendation to the IAC at the May 1973 meeting as implied in the motion passed by the Committee on Revenue Sharing matters.

(6) Dept. of Natural Resources, Sultan-Pilchuck Multiple-Use Area, Sultan Basin Road, Request for Withdrawal: Mr. Glenn Moore reviewed the history of the approved Sultan-Pilchuck, Sultan Basin Road project #71-701D. Total cost at time of approval in May 1971 was estimated at \$394,600 with the Outdoor Recreation Account contribution being \$225,000. DNR requested the project be withdrawn because recent engineering surveys and reports indicated a new cost estimate for the project in excess of \$750,000 and the agency did not want to commit such a large portion of its allocation of IAC funds to a single project. Staff advised withdrawal of this project would not adversely affect the recreation use of Sultan-Pilchuck Multiple-Use Area. Mr. Crouse stated the withdrawal of the project did not mean that DNR might not come back at some future time for funds within this particular project area.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. CROUSE, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVE THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE SULTAN-BASIN ROAD PROJECT (#71-701D) BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE \$225,000 OF REFERENDUM 18 FUNDS BE IDENTIFIED FOR REAPPROPRIATION IN THE 1973-75 CAPITAL BUDGET AS SUBMITTED BY DNR, SUBJECT TO SUBSEQUENT APPROVAL BY THE IAC OF ANY PROPOSED USE OF THESE FUNDS BY DNR AND THAT DNR SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFY THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THESE FUNDS ARE TO BE USED.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

7. State Parks and Recreation Commission, Steamboat Rock State Park, Request for Cost Increase, IAC #69-571D: Mr. Moore referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973 concerning the request for a cost increase on development of Steamboat Rock State Park. The lowest construction bid received totaled \$924,000, which was \$193,900 over the total cost approved November 24, 1969. A cost

Increase request by State Parks of \$200,000 for the project was reviewed by staff and was recommended for approval.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MR. BELL, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVE THE COST INCREASE OF \$200,000 FOR THE STEAMBOAT ROCK STATE PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECT WITH FUNDING AS FOLLOWS:

TOTAL COST:	\$930,100	REF. 18	\$ 465,050
		LWCF	465,050

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV A. Local Agency Project Presentations - LWCF Contingency Demonstration Projects:
Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, concerning two LWCF Contingency Demonstration Development Projects:

1. King County, Sacajawea Community Park
2. Spokane County, Valley Mission Park

Slides were shown of both projects and explanation was given of the funding information contained on the resumes.

Spokane County, Valley Mission Park involves reclamation of an abandoned gravel pit for recreational development. Approximately 22 acres will be reclaimed through this application and be developed as a community park with a variety of facilities. The site is adjacent to and on the south side of Interstate 90 approximately four miles to the east of the City of Spokane. \$ 253,420 total cost.

King County, Sacajawea Community Park involves reclamation of an abandoned county gravel pit also for recreational development. The site is located in southwest King County and will serve approximately 19,600 persons living in a two mile radius of the area. Development will be oriented to the more active types of recreational use. \$ 600,000 total cost.

These two projects were approved by the Committee in a telephone conference call on February 2, 1973 with all available IAC members. The Bureau of Outdoor Recreation had contacted IAC staff on January 18, 1973, concerning the possibility of the State receiving funds from the Secretary of Interior's Contingency Fund for demonstration mining reclamation projects. A deadline of February 7, 1973 had been set for submittal of any such project proposals to the BOR in final application form. The motion approved by the Committee on February 2, 1973 read as follows:

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, SECONDED BY MR. ANDREWS THAT THE INTER-AGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION THROUGH ACTION TAKEN BY CONFERENCE CALL ON FEBRUARY 2, 1973, 2:00 P.M., HEREBY APPROVES THE TWO LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND CONTINGENCY DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS (ONE FOR KING COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$600,000, AND ONE FOR SPOKANE COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$253,420, TOTALING \$853,420) TO BE FUNDED AS FOLLOWS:

25% CONTINGENCY; 25% STATE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND;
25% REFERENDUM 18 AND 25% LOCAL - SUBJECT TO REFERENDUM 18 AND
LWCF FUNDS BEING AVAILABLE.

AND HEREBY AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN BOTH THE STATE AND BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION AGREEMENTS AND FORWARD SAME TO THE RESPECTIVE PARTIES SUBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THIS COMMITTEE ACTION AT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEETING TO BE HELD ON FEBRUARY 26-27, 1973, AT RENTON, WASHINGTON. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Those Committee members voting at that time were:

Lewis A. Bell; Omar Lofgren; Jack Rottler; Carl Crouse; Bert Cole; George Andrews; Thor Tollefson; and Dan Ward

Committee members unavailable were:

Warren Bishop, Madeline Lemere, Charles H. Odegaard, and John Biggs

Following Mr. Syverson's report on the two proposed projects, IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. COLE THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE KING COUNTY, SACAJAWEA COMMUNITY PARK AND SPOKANE COUNTY, VALLEY MISSION PARK PROPOSALS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969; AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THAT THE COUNTIES OF KING AND SPOKANE HAVE COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE, AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE TO MEET IAC ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, RCW 43.99, AS AMENDED), AND

WHEREAS, BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL ON FEBRUARY 2, 1973, THE COMMITTEE BY UNANIMOUS VOTE OF EIGHT MEMBERS AVAILABLE FOR THE CONFERENCE CALL APPROVED THE SUBMISSION OF THE KING COUNTY, SACAJAWEA COMMUNITY PARK AND SPOKANE COUNTY, VALLEY MISSION PARK PROPOSALS TO THE BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION, WASHINGTON, D.C., FOR FUNDING ASSISTANCE FROM THE SECRETARY'S CONTINGENCY FUND AND REGULAR APPORTIONMENT;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE CONFIRMS THE APPROVAL GIVEN FEBRUARY 2, 1973, BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL TO SUBMIT THE ABOVE PROJECT PROPOSALS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$853,420 TO THE BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION FOR FUNDING ASSISTANCE FROM THE REGULAR APPORTIONMENT AND FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S CONTINGENCY FUND.

FUNDING OF THE PROJECTS IS AS FOLLOWS:

SPOKANE COUNTY, VALLEY MISSION PARK	IAC #73-060D	REF. 18	\$ 63,355.)	
		LWCF (local)	63,355)	
		CONTINGENCY	63,355)	\$253,420
		Local agency	63,355)	

KING COUNTY, SACAJAWEA COMMUNITY PARK IAC #73-061D	REF. 18	\$ 150,000)	
	LWCF (local)	150,000)	
	CONTINGENCY	150,000)	\$600,000
	LOCAL AGENCY	150,000)	

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV B. State Agency Project Presentations.

1. State Parks and Recreation Commission: Mr. Glenn Moore presented the proposed projects for the Parks and Recreation Commission. Memorandum dated February 26, 1973 and resumes for each project were referred to by Mr. Moore in his overall presentation. Slides of the projects were shown:

(a) Green River Gorge - Flaming Geyser (Harland Bull site): Acquisition of a 1.65 acre site within the Flaming Geyser State Park, King County, at total cost of \$29,500 with funding from Referendum 18.

(b) Twin Harbors State Park acquisition: Four parcels adjoining the Twin Harbors State Park of approximately 37.43 acres will allow for expansion of existing facilities and preserve natural beach areas for the public. Total cost \$315,635; with \$157,817.50 from Ref. 18 and \$157,817.50 from LWCF.

Mr. Andrews inquired concerning the cross traffic at the camp ground site. Mr. Odegaard asked Mr. Moore to identify on the slide the area west of Highway 105 and stated it was planned to reduce some of the camp sites in the present area and put in some additional parking spaces.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. CROUSE, THAT

THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT AGREEMENT INSTRUMENT WITH THE LISTED PROJECT'S SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

GREEN RIVER GORGE	REF. 18	\$ 29,500)	
)	
TWIN HARBOR STATE PARK	REF. 18	157,817.50)	\$ 345,135 (Amended)
	LWCF	157,817.50)	

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV B. 2. Department of Game: Mr. Moore referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, and resumes for each project to be considered for the Department of Game. Slides were shown.

- (a) Johns River, WRA, Acquisition: Acquisition of 140 acres located at mouth of the Johns River, Grays Harbor Bay, Grays Harbor County, \$25,300, with funding Ref. 18 \$12,650 and LWCF \$12,650. This acquisition will complete the acquisitions contemplated for the Johns River Wildlife Recreation Area.
- (b) Wenatchee River, Chelan County, Spiess Acquisition: Project is for the acquisition of 1,050 lineal feet easement along the Wenatchee River for public fishing and pedestrian use. \$1,050 Ref. 18.
- (c) Wenatchee River, Chelan, Day Acquisition: This project calls for acquisition of a 2,100 foot easement along Wenatchee River for public fishing and pedestrian use, the site being located approximately two miles upriver from the City of Wenathcee. \$2,100 Ref. 18.
- (d) Crab Creek, Wildlife Rec. Area, Campbell Acquisition: Acquisition of an 164.13 acre in-holding within the Crab Creek WRA, Grant County, at a cost of \$20,500; with \$10,250 Ref. 18 funds and \$10,250 LWCF.
- (e) Little Spokane River, Spokane County, Acquisition: This project is for the acquisition of a 66-acre parcel located 3 miles north of the City of Spokane, along the Little Spokane River, \$67,000; with \$33,500 Ref. 18 funds and \$33,500 LWCF.
- (f) Grande Ronde and Snake River Acquisition, Asotin County: Acquisition of 52 acres with 1,300 feet on Snake River and 3,200 feet on Grande Ronde River, Asotin Co. \$52,000 total cost; \$26,000 Init. 215; and \$26,000 LWCF.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT

THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT AGREEMENT WITH INSTRUMENT WITH THE LISTED PROJECT'S SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

DEPARTMENT OF GAME

JOHNS RIVER WRA	\$ 12,650 REF. 18	\$ 12,650 LWCF	\$ 25,300
WENATCHEE RIVER (EASEMENT)	2,100 " "		2,100
WENATCHEE RIVER (EASEMENT)	1,050 " "		1,050
CRAB CREEK WRA	10,250 " "	10,250 LWCF	20,500
LITTLE SPOKANE RIVER	33,500 " "	33,500 LWCF	67,000
GRANDE RONDE-SNAKE RIVER	26,000 INIT. 215	26,000 LWCF	<u>52,000</u>

TOTAL

\$ 167,950

MOTION WAS CARRIED

IV B. 3. Department of Natural Resources: Mr. Moore referred to memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, and resumes¹ of each project to be considered for the Department of Natural Resources. Slides of the project areas were shown.

(a) Sultan-Pilchuck - 4 sites: Acquisition of 4 recreation sites via 50-year lease located in Sultan-Pilchuck Multiple-Use Area to be developed for public recreation purposes: Upper Ashland Lake; Lower Ashland Lake; Beaver Plant Lake; and Cutthroat Lake. \$7,500 Ref. 18 funds.

(b) Sultan-Pilchuck - Reflection Pond: Acquisition of 12 acres in the Sultan-Pilchuck WRA. This site is a substitute for the Elk Creek site as originally proposed in the 1971-73 Capital Budget of DNR and is located approximately 1/2 mile west of that site. \$5,000 Ref. 18.

Mr. Bert Cole and Mr. Odegaard discussed the possibilities of DNR acquiring the entire land area around the pond. Mr. Cole explained at this time only a portion of the land surrounding the lake will be on a lease basis for 50 years; however, the entire pond area will be utilized by the public. Mr. Moore explained the lakes which had been viewed were in an Upper Resource protected zone.

(c) Toats Junction Camp - Okanogan County: Stage one of the development of a 15 acre camp and picnic area in the Loomis Loup-Loup Multiple-Use Area in north-central Okanogan County at \$16,290 - \$8,145 Ref. 18 and \$8,145 LWCF.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MR. BELL THAT

THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT AGREEMENT INSTRUMENT WITH THE LISTED PROJECT'S SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

SULTAN-PILCHUK - 4 SITES	\$ 7,500	REF. 18	\$ 7,500
SULTAN-PILCHUK - REFLECTION POND	5,000	" "	5,000
TOATS JUNCTION	8,145	" " \$ 8,145 LWCF	<u>16,290</u>
		TOTAL	\$ 28,790

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Bert Cole commented it was becoming extremely difficult to maintain the primitive type camps developed by DNR, especially in view of vandalism which is prevalent.

At this point, Chairman Lofgren introduced the following IAC staff: Mrs. Patti Freested, Clerk-Typist III and Mrs. Norma Roll, Clerk-Typist.

VIII Location and Date of May, 1973 IAC meetings and Others for the Biennium:
Mr. Martin reported Mr. Ken Hertz, Director, Parks and Recreation, Whatcom County, would be setting up a tour program in connection with the July meeting in Bellingham for the Committee members. In October, the Committee will view EXPO-74 in Spokane. To comply with the Open Public Meetings Law, Local Agency Coordination, State Agency Fiscal Timetable, and the Legislative Timetable, a schedule of meetings for the biennium as set forth in memorandum of staff dated February 26, 1973, was reviewed by Mr. Martin.

Following his explanation, IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. ANDREWS, THAT THE FOLLOWING IAC MEETING SCHEDULE BE ADOPTED FOR THE REMAINDER OF FY 71-73 AND FOR FY 1974-75, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE COMMITTEE AT ITS DISCRETION MAY CHANGE THE DATES OF A MEETING DUE TO ANY UNFORESEEN CONFLICTS WITH OFFICIAL HOLIDAYS OR OTHER MEETINGS WITH WHICH THE IAC IS AFFILIATED, KEEPING IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE OPEN PUBLIC MEETING LAW REGULATIONS:

<u>DATE</u>		<u>PLACE</u>
July 23 - Monday and 24 Tuesday	1973	Bellingham
Oct. 23 - Tuesday and 24 Weds.	1973	Spokane
Jan. 28 - Monday and 29 Tuesday	1974	to be determined
April 22 - Monday and 23 Tuesday	1974	to be determined
July 22 - Monday and 23 Tuesday	1974	to be determined
Oct. 29 - Tuesday and 30 Weds.	1974	to be determined
Jan. 27 - Monday and 28 Tuesday	1975	to be determined
April 28 - Monday and 29 Tuesday	1975	to be determined

Mr. Martin advised the Committee the May meeting would take place in Pullman, on May 29-30, 1973 (Tuesday-Wednesday).

VI. Administrator's Report: Due to the absence of the Administrator from the afternoon meeting (attending Olympia Legislative hearing), there was no report given.

VII. Committee Members' Reports: None.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. BELL, THAT THE IAC FEBRUARY 26, 1973 MEETING ADJOURN AT 5:20 P.M. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

RATIFIED BY THE COMMITTEE

May 29 1973
Omair Lofgren
OMAIR LOFGREN, CHAIRMAN