

**INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING**

DATE:	<i>September 9 & 10, 2004</i>	PLACE: <i>NRB Rooms</i>
	<i>175a& b</i>	
TIME:	<i>10:00 a.m.</i>	<i>Olympia, Washington</i>

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER	3
CONSENT CALENDAR	3
MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORT.....	3
BOATING INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT (BIG) PROGRAM.....	5
WASHINGTON WILDLIFE AND RECREATION PROGRAM (WWRP) GRANTS.....	6
LEGISLATIVE ISSUES DISCUSSION	12
PROJECT CHANGES.....	14
AQUATIC LANDS ENHANCMENT ACCOUNT (ALEA) GRANTS	14
NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM (NRTP) GRANTS.....	15
NONHIGHWAY OFF-ROAD VEHICLE ACCOUNT (NOVA) PROGRAM MANUALS	15
WAC PROPOSALS.....	16
40 TH ANNIVERSARY PLANNING	17
LETTER TO CHELAN COUNTY PUD	17
RECOGNITION OF PAST STAFF MEMBER.....	18
GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.....	18

EXECUTIVE SESSION 18

PRESENTATION ON PARTNER AGENCY STRATEGIC AND LANDSCAPE-
SCALE PLANS 20

IAC STRATEGIC PLANNING 22

**INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING**

DATE: September 9 & 10, 2004
TIME: 8:15 a.m.

PLACE: NRB Rooms 175a & b
Olympia, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Bob Parlette	Wenatchee
Yvonne Yokota	Sequim
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Chair Val Ogden called the meeting to order at 8:16 a.m.

She welcomed everyone and asked committee members to introduce themselves.

The agenda was reviewed and approved after adjusting agenda items #11 and #12. Item #12 – Planning for IAC's 40th Anniversary and the Public Comment period will be heard prior to the Executive Session, which is item #11.

CONSENT CALENDAR

See notebook item #1 for details.

Craig Partridge requested one change to the July Meeting Minutes. On page 8, he asked to add "partnership in" to the sentence concerning the ecoregional assessment project.

Yvonne Yokota **MOVED** adoption of Consent Agenda Resolution #2004-23 as amended. Larry Fairleigh **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-23 as amended.

MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORT

Director's Report

Director Laura Johnson reported on staff activities since the last meeting. (See agenda item #2a for details.)

Laura provided this agenda item highlighting:

- Work done by the IAC staff since the last meeting. This is the big grant-making meeting and staff spent most of the summer getting ready for this meeting.

- Introduced new agency staff:
 - Assistant Director for Grants and Projects, Neil Aaland
 - Assistant Director for Administrative Services, Rob Kirkwood
 - Communication Manager, Susan Zemek
- Staff recognition:
 - The Washington Recreation and Parks Association gave Darrell Jennings the “Young Professional Award”. Brit Kramer, WRPA Executive Director, presented this award. Brit highlighted Darrell’s work with the WRPA.
 - Debra Wilhelmi will be here at 4:00 p.m. to receive board recognition of her work with the IAC.
- Director Johnson noted a cost increase that she approved even though it was a small amount over the 10% limit. Since the request was so close to the 10% limit she believed it was more efficient to approve without bringing to the board but she wanted to make sure this approval was announced to the board.
- The 2005 Meeting Schedule will be discussed after this meeting.
- The Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB) will be meeting with the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) on Tuesday, September 14. IAC Chair Ogden will be attending this meeting. Laura noted that other board members are also welcome to attend.

Financial Report

Rob Kirkwood provided this report to the Board. (See notebook item #2b for details.)

- Rob noted that a revised attachment 2 was handed out to the board.
- Rob went through the attachments and explained the budget status.

Chair Ogden asked if this is the typical budget status at this point in the biennium. Director Johnson explained that it is close to normal but staff needs to look at a four-year cycle for the projects. She hopes to have a new attachment for the next meeting that shows the projects on a four-year cycle.

Karen Daubert discussed adding a line to show the goal or to display the numbers in a way that shows we are on track because the way it is currently it doesn’t look like we are on track.

- Neil Aaland and Rob will be working on better ways to display this information at future meetings, possibly in graphic form.
- Rob also explained the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP) grants.

Mark Quinn asked about the row that reports cost for “Lands Inventory.” Director Johnson explained this was funding from the previous public lands inventory project document – PLIP.

Planning Related Status Report

Greg Lovelady provided this report to the Board. (See notebook item #2c for details.)

Greg highlighted the Trails Conference which will be held on October 8 and 9, 2004, at Snoqualmie Pass. IAC members Rex Derr and Bob Parlette are both on the agenda.

Project Services Report

Marguerite Austin provided this report to the Board. (See notebook item 2d for details.)

Neil Aaland will present this agenda item in the future.

The director recognized the work that Marguerite and her staff have done over the last five years.

Marguerite highlighted:

- Project evaluation meetings have been held over the last few weeks. Citizen and partner volunteers are very important to the project evaluation process. Marguerite acknowledged the good work being done by these people.
- WWRP expenditures and returned funds – agencies have been working very hard with staff to look at projects. Agencies are trying to move forward and complete projects that they can within the four-year timeframe. Agencies are returning the funds on the projects that they won't be able to get to before the four-year timeframe runs out. Alternate project sponsors are very happy to receive the returned funds for their projects.

Chair Ogden would like an official letter of thanks from her to go to the volunteers in the future.

Boating evaluation meetings will be coming up later this month. Director Johnson encouraged board members to attend at least a portion of these evaluation meetings, if possible.

2005 IAC Meeting Schedule

Tammy Owings presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #2e for details.)

The proposed schedule had been distributed to board members earlier. Original schedule proposal was for Tuesday-Wednesday meetings but found that members prefer a Thursday-Friday schedule. Bob Parlette asked for an earlier meeting date in July due to the cherry season. With schedule conflicts, decided on a one-day meeting on Tuesday, November 15. The board would like more tours and proposed travel meetings in July and September, which include a tour.

Verbal approval of the schedule was given at this meeting. The board will adopt the 2005 meeting schedule by resolution at the November meeting.

Tammy will continue to work with everyone's schedules for a May and/or October IAC/SRFB joint workshop and tour in 2005.

BOATING INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT (BIG) PROGRAM

Marguerite presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3 for details.)

Marguerite provided the background on this grant program. This program is different from other IAC grant programs in that these projects are evaluated in a federal evaluation process.

Seven BIG program projects requesting nearly \$4.5 million are submitted for federal fiscal year 2005 funding consideration. Although the Boating Advisory Committee has reviewed these projects, the Tier 1 and 2 projects being presented to the board today have not been ranked.

Staff recommends approval of Resolution #2004-24 and the Table 1 list of projects.

Board Discussion:

Mark Quinn asked Marguerite how much money is available nationwide for the BIG program.

Tier 1: \$100,000 is given to most states that ask for it; it is not too competitive. Tier 2 is very competitive. In the first year \$9 million was available nationwide and 30 projects were funded. Last year only \$3 million was available and only two projects were funded.

Chair Ogden asked the source of funds for this program.

Director Johnson reported that these are Federal aquatic resource trust funds, a subset of Wallop-Breaux, through US Fish and Wildlife.

Bob Parlette asked if these are funds that come from the BIG boat owners.

Director Johnson will need to confirm but thinks it is the equivalent.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

Resolution #2004-24

Bob Parlette **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-24 as presented. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-24 as presented.

WASHINGTON WILDLIFE AND RECREATION PROGRAM (WWRP) GRANTS

Jim Fox and Marguerite Austin presented this portion of the agenda. (See notebook item #4 for details.)

Marguerite and Jim provided the board with an overview of the WWRP program and the Habitat Conservation Account category projects and guidelines.

#4a – Habitat Conservation Account - Critical habitat

Leslie Ryan-Connelly presented this portion of the agenda providing the board with category specific guidelines. (See agenda item #4a for details.)

Leslie presented the top two ranked projects in this category:

#04-1284 Tieton River Canyon Phase 2, Department of Fish and Wildlife

#04-1285 Reardan's Audubon Lake, Department of Fish and Wildlife

A total of ten Critical Habitat projects requesting \$19,215,493 in funding were presented for approval on Table 1 of Resolution #2004-25. Staff recommends approval of Resolution #2004-25 and table 1.

Board Discussion:

Karen Daubert asked about the number of acres being requested for acquisition on the Methow Watershed Phase 4 project.

Leslie reported that there are 2560 acres.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

Resolution #2004-25

Larry Fairleigh **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-25 as presented. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-25 as presented.

#4b – Habitat Conservation Account – Natural Area Projects

Scott Chapman presented this agenda item providing the board with category specific guidelines and definition of Natural Resource Conservation Area (NRCA) and Natural Area Preserve (NAP) acronyms. (See notebook item #4b for details.)

Scott presented the top two ranked projects in this category:

#04-1362 Golden Paintbrush Preserve, Department of Natural Resources

#04-1278 Washougal Oaks NAP/NRCA, Department of Natural Resources

A total of eleven Natural Area projects requesting \$11,329,525 in funding were presented for approval on Table 1 of Resolution #2004-26.

Board Discussion:

Mark Quinn, regarding the Golden Paintbrush project, asked about the money that was raised by the land trust - what percentage came a county conservation futures tax.

Scott reported that the funds were federal funds that came through the Department of Natural Resources from the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

Karen would like to see the number of acres added to acquisition descriptions, in the future.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

Resolution #2004-26

Mark Quinn **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-26 as presented. Craig Partridge **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-26 as presented.

#4c Habitat Conservation Account – Urban Wildlife Habitat

Marguerite Austin presented this agenda item providing the board with category specific guidelines. (See notebook item #4c for details.)

Scott Chapman presented the top two ranked projects in this category:

#04-1281 Stavis NRCA/Kitsap Forest NAP, Department of Natural Resources

#04-1331 Woodland Bay NRCA, Department of Natural Resources

A total of ten Urban Wildlife Habitat projects requesting \$16,192,537 in funding were presented for approval on Table 1 of Resolution #2004-27.

Board Discussion:

Bob Parlette asked if federal property is removed from the calculations of population density criteria. Marguerite Austin reported that federal acres are left in the urban density calculation but this is a board policy and the board may want to reconsider this policy in the future.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

Resolution #2004-27

Craig Partridge **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-27 as presented. Yvonne Yokota **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-27 as presented.

Marguerite provided an overview of the Outdoor Recreation Account category projects and guidelines.

#4d Outdoor Recreation Account – Local Parks

Dan Haws presented this agenda item providing the board with category specific guidelines. (See notebook item #4d for details.)

Dan presented the top two ranked projects:

- #04-1298 Bremerton Skate Park, Bremerton Parks & Recreation Department
- #04-1449 Cusick Community Park, Town of Cusick

A total of forty-eight projects requesting \$11,112,708 in funding were presented for approval on Table 1 of Resolution #2004-28.

Board Discussion:

Karen Daubert asked where the cutoff line would be on the project list.

Marguerite responded that this is a very hard question to answer since staff won't know the total funding level until after the Legislature approves the budget.

Public Testimony:

Director Johnson noted several comment letters in the board packets.

Letters received from:

- o Dee Frankfourth on behalf of the Seattle Art Museum concerning the Myrtle Edwards Park project.
- o Rose Corso, city of Tonasket, concerning the B3 Skate and Bike Park project.
- o David Davidson, city of Sumas, concerning the Bowen Field Expansion project.

Jerone Kok, Vancouver Parks and Recreation Department, discussed the financial challenges faced by local communities. Demand for parks and recreation activities continue to rise. He wanted to thank the IAC, Director Johnson and staff for continued support.

Lori Flemm, city of Kent Parks and Recreation Department, also wanted to thank the board and staff for funding and help. She also wants to know where the line will be drawn since there are three projects from Kent. Will be working through the legislative process to get funding for this program and hope the level of funding will reach the three

city of Kent projects: East Hill Skate Park Expansion, West Hill Park Development, and Eagle Creek Park Development.

Matthew Lee Johnston, Puget Sound Skate Park Association, supporting funding for the Lower Woodland Skate Park. He is concerned about its ranking. "This park is critical to Seattle park system." It is important to have a place to skate board; this is phase 1 of a larger project. He would like to see the project ranking raised.

Micah Shapiro, Puget Sound Skate Park Association, concurred with Mr. Johnston that the need for more skate parks in Seattle is very large.

Larry Fairleigh asked what this association is doing to help this effort.

Micah reported that the association was formed to help promote skate parks in the Pacific Northwest region. They have started fund raising but no matching funds were provided for this project.

Chris Branch, Planner and Community Development Director for cities of Tonasket and Oroville. There is a property owner near the location who had concern with the siting of the Tonasket Skate Park but he believes the concerns are being addressed and will be satisfied prior to the project being implemented.

Susan Golub, Strategic Advisor for Seattle Parks and Recreation Department, is also supporting the Lower Woodland Skate Board Park. This is a very important and needed skateboard park and there is much support for this park

Bob Parlette has seen the need for these parks rise in the past few years. He would much rather see a kid at a skateboard park than at home on their computer or causing trouble. Bob suggested they contact a writer to write an article on this need to try to raise corporate funds.

Mark Quinn asked if the project sponsors were aware why the project scored so low. Susan replied that one of the items they scored low on was support. The letters of support came in the day after the evaluation meetings. They also scored low on location but she's not sure why this ranked so low.

Mark replied that given the circumstances around this project, is there a weighting on the need?

Marguerite showed the evaluation sheet and how the park scored 8 out of 15 and could be that other communities that scored higher since they didn't have any parks where Seattle does have two other parks. May not have demonstrated enough need. Seattle automatically receives 5 points for population.

Bob asked about the evaluation scores.

Marguerite explained the scoring process and the evaluation criteria.

Karen noted that given the credibility of the evaluation process, this is not the time to move a project up in the ranking but she would like discussion at the November meeting when the unallocated funds are discussed.

Bob Parlette encouraged the Skate Park group to keep working for this project.

Bill Blair, Acquisition Planner for city of Seattle, thanked the board for approving funding of the Puget Creek project during the consent calendar on reallocated projects. Today's approval will help with the acquisition of this property. As for local parks, he believes the Myrtle Edwards Park will be an amazing project. The twenty-eighth ranked project, Ercolini Park Acquisition, applied last year but didn't get funded. Project sponsors worked hard on this project application and got more points this year. They are still hoping to get funded this year.

Larry Fairleigh asked for clarification of funding lines related to \$6 million 50% to acquisition and 50% to development.

Marguerite cautioned about the combination projects where sometimes the acquisition portion would get funded but the development portion may not get funded or vice versa.

Bob asked if these percentage requirements are for the other programs also.

Marguerite said there are percentage requirements for most of the categories but a little different for each.

Jim Fox reminded the board that the percentage requirements are not in place for the unallocated funds and will be decided at the November Board meeting.

Resolution #2004-28

Karen Daubert **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-28 as presented. Mark Quinn **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-28 as presented.

#4e - Outdoor Recreation Account – State Parks

Kammie Bunes presented this agenda item providing the board with category specific guidelines. (See notebook item #4e for details.)

Kammie presented the top two ranked projects:

#04-1234 Deception Pass - Sunrise Resort Phase 2

#04-1240 Kanasket-Palmer - Campground Expansion

A total of ten State Parks projects requesting \$11,524,989 in funding were presented for approval on Table 2 of Resolution #2004-29.

Board Discussion:

Larry Fairleigh reported that the State Parks Commission considered this list carefully and kept changes to a minimum.

Bob Parlette asked if State Parks would prefer a straight allocation of funds and not go through this process.

Larry responded that State Parks would like to keep the process as is since it provides a high quality list for the Commission to work from.

Public Testimony:

No public Testimony

Resolution #2004-29

Craig Partridge **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-29 as presented. Yvonne Yokota **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-29 as presented.

#4f - Outdoor Recreation Account – Trails

Myra Barker presented this agenda item providing the board with category specific guidelines. (See notebook item #4f for details.)

Myra presented the top two ranked projects:

- #04-1415 Olympia Woodland Trail Phase 1 Development, city of Olympia
- #04-1441 Similkameen Connector Trail Phase 1, Okanogan County

A total of twenty-three Natural Area projects requesting \$11,551,244 in funding were presented for approval on Table 1 of Resolution #2004-30.

Board Discussion:

Bob Parlette asked about scoring on projects that have been funded in the past but not completed.

Marguerite responded that there is an evaluation question that asks about actions that happened to get the project this far and need, whether permits have been acquired, funds raised, etc. Sometimes when a project has been funded in the past but not acted on, it brings up more questions by the reviewers.

Bob doesn't believe this is fair when there has been previous support between the state agency and the local government. This is a policy issue that should be looked at.

Karen Daubert is very excited about the top two projects and can't wait to see these projects in place.

Laura reported that she facilitated this category's evaluation process. It was a very difficult decision process and the evaluation team worked very hard. They emphasized "connecting" trails. There weren't any bad trail projects.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony

Resolution #2004-30

Karen Daubert **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-30 as presented. Mark Quinn **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-30 as presented.

#4g - Outdoor Recreation Account – Water Access

Darrell Jennings presented this agenda item providing the board with category specific guidelines. (See notebook item #4g for details.)

Scott Chapman and Darrell presented the top two ranked projects:

- #04-1205 Clinton Beach, Port of South Whidbey Island
- #04-1325 Fisherman Bay Spit Acquisition and Development, Sand Juan County Land Bank

A total of ten Water Access projects requesting \$4,932,113 in funding were presented for approval on Table 1 of Resolution #2004-31.

Board Discussion:

Chair Ogden asked if some of these projects would also qualify for ALEA funding.

Darrell replied that yes they would, but applications need to be submitted in just one category. This is where IAC staff assists project sponsors in applying to the best program for funding.

Chair Ogden asked if there are erosion problems with the sand spit project. Darrell didn't believe there was a problem with erosion on this property.

Director Johnson noted that in 1964 Spencer Spit State Park was purchased with a \$200,000 grant. Now this sand spit project, for less property, costs three times as much. This shows how much the cost has increased and the need to protect properties as they become available.

Public Testimony
No public testimony

Resolution #2004-31

Craig Partridge **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-31 as presented. Bob Parlette **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-31 as presented.

LEGISLATIVE ISSUES DISCUSSION

Chair Ogden introduced this discussion.

Jim Fox presented this agenda item. After today's meeting, staff will start to gather stakeholder input, then discuss again at the November board meeting deciding whether to pursue introduction of legislation and, if so, whether to pre-file it in December or not.

From discussion at the July meeting and staff suggestions, fourteen items were listed as options for legislative action. (See notebook item #5 for details.)

The subcommittee, consisting of Val Ogden, Karen Daubert, and Mark Quinn, recommended follow-up on five items:

- #4, Allow funding in the state parks category, and perhaps the trails category, to be used for renovation,
- #7, Provide a funding category for passive recreation projects in a natural setting. This would combine recreation and habitat values in a single category,
- #8, Provide grants specifically for project design and permitting,
- #9, Make local agencies more competitive in the urban wildlife habitat category, and
- #13, Reduce the acquisition/development ratio in the local parks category.

Craig Partridge asked why the five items were selected and why item number 14 wasn't chosen.

Jim reported that most of these items are in the Coalition's bill and will be put forward through the Coalition. The items chosen were items the subcommittee felt more appropriate to come through the IAC.

Bob Parlette asked where administration money comes from.

Director Johnson noted that IAC administrative funds come from the Boating and Salmon programs along with some federal money but none comes from the biggest grant program, WWRP.

Mike Ryherd and Brit Kramer joined the board in this discussion.

Mike is the lobbyist for the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Coalition (WWRC) and introduced Marsha Fromhold who will be assisting him in lobbying duties for the WWRC.

At the time the WWRC was redrafting the bill they first looked at many policy changes along with some smaller technical changes. Felt that this program administration should not be funded through the boating program. Chose 3% as an overhead figure which is a pretty standard fee; over the two years they have been working on this legislation no legislators have mentioned this amount of administrative fees as inappropriate.

The WWRC will be amending the legislation to include renovation in all the categories.

Mike will follow-up with something in writing once the changes are adopted by the Coalition.

Chair Ogden asked if the IAC could do a pilot project with the unallocated funds. Director Johnson noted that basically this morning the board approved this biennium's projects and they didn't have a category for open-spaces so she doesn't think it can be done in this cycle.

Subcommittee believes match is very important for local projects

Brit Kramer complimented the staff and willingness to adjust as things change. Washington Recreation and Parks Association (WRPA) supports the ideas presented although some individual members may have some issues with the suggestions. After this meeting, Brit will be getting these recommendations out to members for feedback and information. Whatever changes the IAC puts in their legislation, the WRPA will add to their platform. It is appropriate for the IAC to advocate legislation for technical changes to the process where the WWRC will advocate for funding issues.

Mike would like the IAC to lobby for changes in the program and administrative process and looks forward to this.

Other Public Testimony:

Lori Flemm, city of Kent, appreciates that there will be time on the November meeting agenda for more discussion. Lori discussed city of Kent's recommendations and noted that she will write up the recommendations and send them to the board through the mayor. Thanked the board for letting her give input on this subject.

Mararet Macleod, city of Issaquah, thanked the board for passing the consent item. She presented an Urban Wildlife Habitat category project. During the evaluation meetings she saw the excellent projects that the state agencies were presenting and her project wasn't as excellent. She would like to see if there is a way to make local entities more competitive.

Jeroen Kok, Vancouver city parks, appreciates staff and committees time in discussing changes to the Urban Wildlife Habitat program and will be submitting a written response to the suggestions.

Charles Ng, Seattle Parks and Recreation Department, discussed the Seattle Parks and Recreation Department recommendations and will also submit a written comments for the board.

Tom Koney, Assistant Director King County Parks, discussed #7 recreation habitat values. They have limited staff and budget so didn't present their project since didn't think it would rank very high. The legislative draft levels the playing field and he appreciates the efforts to improve the process.

Chair Ogden asked Jim Fox to combine written comments with today's discussion and then convene a meeting of the subcommittee to develop recommendations to bring to the November meeting. At the November meeting the board will decide what items to take to the governor's office for possible legislative action.

PROJECT CHANGES

King County Multiple Property Conversion

Darrell Jennings presented this agenda item providing the Board with the background and history of this request. (See notebook item #6 for details.)

Board Discussion:

No questions.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony.

Resolution #2004-32

Larry Fairleigh **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-32 as presented. Mark Quinn **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-32 as presented.

Director Johnson noted that staff worked very hard with the county and found good replacements in this case. She asked if the board would be comfortable with a similar process for conversions even if they may be a little more controversial.

AQUATIC LANDS ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (ALEA) GRANTS

This is the first time the IAC has approved ALEA grants.

Marguerite Austin presented this agenda item providing the board with category specific guidelines. (See notebook item #7 for details.)

Darrell Jennings presented the top two ranked projects:

#04-1504 Inspiration Point/Chuckanut Bay Acquisition, Bellingham Parks and Recreation Department

#04-1507 Lower Tolt River Floodplain Reconnect, King County DNR and Parks

A total of 17 Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) projects requesting \$6.4 million in funding were presented for approval on Table 1 of Resolution #2004-33.

Board Discussion:

Chair Ogden asked about the amount of money going toward these projects. Craig explained the ALEA funding process.

Public Testimony

Lori Flemm, city of Kent, commended the board for adopting the changes in policy and much appreciated. The change has been very smooth.

Craig Partridge thanked staff for the smooth transition and how well this has worked. The addition of a public access requirement was good.

Resolution #2004-33

Craig Partridge **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-33 as presented. Larry Fairleigh **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-33 as presented.

NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM (NRTP) GRANTS

Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item providing the board with program policies and procedures. (See notebook item #8 for details.)

A total of fifty-nine NRTP projects (44 general category, 15 education category) requesting \$1.7 million in funding were presented. There is \$1,177,367 in NRTP funds available for projects in these two categories.

Scott Chapman and Greg presented the top ranked projects in each category:

#1 overall and #1 non-motorized single use - #1063 Wilderness M&O 2005-2006

#5 overall and #1 multiple use - #1092 White River/Snoqualmie Trail
Maintenance

#14 overall and #1 non-motorized - #1110 Dorothy Lake Trail Reconstruction

#18 overall and #1 in motorized multiple use - #1133 Priest Lake Trail
Maintenance

#19 overall and #1 trail - #1066 Jolly Mountain Trail Reconstruction

#1 safety education overall - #1067 Cle Elum Winter Trail Patrols

Advisory Committee and staff recommend board adoption of Resolution #2004-34 and Table 1 list.

Board Discussion:

No questions.

Public Testimony

Jonathan Guzzo had asked to testify but due to scheduling conflicts had to leave but will be sending written comments for the board.

Resolution #2004-34

Bob Parlette **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-34 as presented. Yvonne Yokota **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-34 as presented.

NONHIGHWAY OFF-ROAD VEHICLE ACCOUNT (NOVA) PROGRAM MANUALS

Greg Lovelady and Scott Chapman presented this agenda item. (See agenda item #9 for details.)

Two policy manuals (#13 and #14) are being brought to the board for revision approval.

Two changes are being proposed for manual #13:

- Allocation of funds
- Eligible activities

Three changes being proposed for both manual #13 and manual #14:

- Equipment liquidation
- Project Review and Evaluations
- Evaluation questions

Six changes being proposed for manual #14:

- NOVA plan
- Funding category
- Fund allocation
- Funding process
- SEPA-NEPA
- Evaluation question 4. Stewardship

Board discussion:

No questions.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony.

Resolution #2004-35

Craig Partridge **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-35 as presented. Yvonne Yokota **SECONDED**.

Discussion:

Craig commented on the manual changes. On page 7, Non-highway Road says "immediately adjacent" but in other places in the manual uses "adjacent." He does not want to see this as an interpretation of the legislative findings.

Greg replied that the wording "immediately adjacent" is for the kinds of uses that would be on non-highway roads.

Craig believes that we may see projects that are worded as adjacent or immediately adjacent and it may cause problems.

Greg agreed that staff will remove "immediately adjacent."

Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-35 as presented.

WAC PROPOSALS

Greg Lovelady and Scott Chapman presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #10 for details.)

This will be the first WACs ever filed for the ALEA program. Greg reviewed the proposed ALEA WACs.

Greg went over proposed changes to the NOVA WACs.

Larry Fairleigh asked why the programs need to have WACs filed when the policy manuals are so detailed.

Greg responded that, although IAC hasn't been challenged on the policies, staff wants to make sure the key items are in permanent WACs and clearly defined.

Greg expects to file the WAC change requests soon and IAC will have the WAC hearing at the November board meeting.

40TH ANNIVERSARY PLANNING

Director Johnson presented this agenda item.

She provided an article by National Parks Director Fran Mainella stating that the Federal Land and Water program is also celebrating its 40th anniversary. She also noted that one of the founding fathers of the LWCF program, Laurance Rockefeller, recently passed away.

November 2004 is the IAC's 40th Anniversary. Staff suggestion is to have a small celebration at the November meeting, invite past members and staff, pull out pictures and possible reception but then wait for a recreation congress in 2005 or 2006. IAC wouldn't do this alone but with other agencies, to talk about the future. Could be a recreation and tourism event or a recreation and habitat focus. Chair Ogden likes the idea of a conference.

Get comments and ideas into the director. We will need a subcommittee to work on this event.

Bob Parlette thinks this is a wonderful idea but doesn't want to hold it in Olympia. He suggested a walk with the governor leading the walk to start the event. Don't just have a meeting – get people outside.

Larry Fairleigh believes the State Parks Commission would be happy to support this effort.

Bob discussed the Trails Conference that was held at Fort Worden State Park and mentioned what a nice location this is for a conference.

LETTER TO CHELAN COUNTY PUD

Director Johnson presented the final draft of the letter to the Chelan County PUD Commissioners.

Bob Parlette read the letter for board approval at this meeting. Bob provided the board with an update on the PUD's proposal.

Staff will finalize the letter and provide the final letter to chair Ogden for signature during the second day of this meeting.

RECOGNITION OF PAST STAFF MEMBER

Director Johnson read the recognition letter to Debra Wilhelmi and presented the resolution and plaque with Rollie Geppert's photo of a river scene to Debra.

Debra thanked the board and staff and talked about her sense that staff at the natural resource agencies care a lot about their work.

Bob Parlette commended Debra on perfect financial books while she was on staff.

Craig Partridge thanked Debra for the great work she did working with other agencies' staff.

Director Johnson introduced Rollie Geppert, Salmon Projects Manager and in-house professional photographer. Rollie has provided wonderful pictures for other recognition plaques, documents, and the large photos in the IAC reception area are Rollie's photos.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

No general public comment

EXECUTIVE SESSION (Thurston County ORV Sports Park)

Recessed for executive session at 4:30

Reconvened from executive session at 5:07 p.m.

Director Johnson read the consensus of the board's direction.

Staff's recommendation is the board should formally authorize IAC's attorney to seek legal determinations (lawsuit) on the agreements between Thurston County and IAC.

Bob Parlette **MOVED** to approve the board's direction to staff. Yvonne Yokota **SECONDED** the motion.

Board Discussion:

Director Johnson responded that this is a recommendation that the staff does not take lightly.

Bob noted that if the board approves this recommendation, it needs to be viewed as a friendly way to continue to be good stewards of the grant funds. We want an answer and hope that Thurston and Grays Harbor Counties see this as such.

Chair Ogden voiced that the whole board feels a real responsibility to protect these funds.

Craig asked staff to communicate with the counties so they know what the IAC has decided.

Motion **APPROVED** by board.

Recess for the evening at 5:14 p.m.

Day 2

DATE: September 9 & 10, 2004
TIME: 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: NRB Rooms 175a& b
Olympia, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Bob Parlette	Wenatchee
Yvonne Yokota	Sequim
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

Meeting reconvened at 8:20 a.m.

PRESENTATION ON PARTNER AGENCY STRATEGIC AND LANDSCAPE-SCALE PLANS

Ecoregional Planning

Mark Quinn introduced the WDFW presentation on Ecoregional and discussed WDFW's role in 6242, and the agency's new strategic plan.

George Whilhere, WDFW, and John Flowberg, TNC, provided a presentation on Ecoregional efforts.

George explained what Eco-regions are and how and why they are being used. They are:

- A guide to informed decision-making
- One means to coordinate conservation across jurisdictions
- A big picture of conservation

John Flowberg thanked the board for the ability to present and appreciates the partnerships with WDFW and DNR. The Nature Conservancy started working on ecoregional planning about 10 years ago.

Chair Ogden asked when the Washington data will be complete and where can people access this information.

Washington is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2005. There will be a web site for cities and counties and there will be workshops on how to use this information.

Karen Daubert asked about how useful the data is in urban areas. Response was that this data is not as useful in an urban area.

Bob Parlette didn't hear anything about education plans in the presentation. You can't protect it all but need to let the landowners know what they can do to help protect the sensitive areas.

TNC has hired someone to do the public outreach in the Okanogan area. Once data is completed there will be more outreach.

Director Johnson asked about coordination with other efforts.

George reported that in the beginning they didn't coordinate with the salmon groups but now have someone in the WDFW fish program working on eco-regional planning.

John reported that Washington State was hailed as the best in partnership efforts and found that everyone needs to be involved. There is still more work to be done.

Natural Heritage Program

Craig Partridge explained how DNR is involved in the Eco-regional planning and then introduced John Gamon for presentation of the natural heritage program information.

John explained the Natural Heritage program and how this planning fits in with the Eco regional planning. This effort provides data on rare plants and common plants. It is an information database that is available to everyone and anyone.

Director Johnson asked how the private landowner knows if they are within a sensitive area?

John reported that the group asks permission before inventorying land if they are doing the surveys. Some information they get from other sources and they don't know if the private landowner has been informed. The information doesn't go out to anyone without permission.

The Director asked if there are any links to stewardship programs?

John reported that the nature area preservation program is one but it hasn't been too active in the last few years.

Elisabeth Rodrick, WDFW, noted that a number of grant programs that provide funding to farmers to enhance their property, are now combining heritage sites in the criteria for the grant funds.

Craig reported that besides the heritage program, NAP, and NRP the DNR has a pretty broad conservation mission and comprehensive long-term multi-species plan. Conservation and recreation are both in DNR's plan.

Parks

Larry Fairleigh discussed State Parks' past and future. In January 2003 the Parks Commission adopted its vision. Larry reviewed the Centennial 2013 brochure highlighting that Parks is more than picnic tables and outhouses. Their goal is to figure out how to get people to stay one more night at the facilities.

All State Parks have been evaluated and need to be part of the vision to continue to be State Parks. Some current State Parks will be transferred to other ownership such as cities or counties. Some may be sold.

Chair Ogden discussed the 60's and the book *Silent Spring* and the impact on everyone. Great strides were made environmentally at that time nationally, recently the national effort has slowed but she is glad to see the state efforts going forward.

IAC STRATEGIC PLANNING

Two principal items were discussed: IAC's approach to implementing the legislative study in SSB 6242, and IAC's overall strategic vision and goals.

IAC'S APPROACH TO IMPLEMENTING SSB 6242

Laura Johnson and Jim Fox provided a brief refresher of the requirements in SSB 6242. Jim Fox outlined the proposed structure for organizing the project to achieve the Legislature's mandates by next June. That structure includes these elements:

- A new full-time project manager to oversee the implementation of the legislation. A new full-time project manager will be hired soon. The most important qualification is someone who understands policy, the working of state government, and the complexity of both the legislation and the tasks ahead.
- Subgroups [advisory committees] will be established on a) habitat, b) recreation, c) the inventory, and d) the impact of lost tax revenues. Each subgroup will consist of representatives of state agencies and key stakeholders.
- A steering committee will be recruited whose composition will mirror those of the subgroups.

As a result of Jim's briefing and our discussion, we agreed on an approach to implementing SSB 6242. That approach includes these actions:

- To achieve "the letter of the law," IAC staff will complete the inventory of recent habitat and recreational land acquisitions required by the legislation by June 30, 2005.
- To lay the foundation for the statewide strategy for coordination of future acquisitions, and for the evolution of IAC into a leadership position in outdoor recreation and habitat protection and preservation, the Board will participate in determining what policies, goals and priorities should be part of that statewide strategy for land acquisition.
- To develop the policies, goals, and priorities, Board members encouraged the new IAC project manager for implementation of SSB 6242 to work with the three state agency representatives on the Board.
- The Board also indicated that it expects this process to be interactive. Between now and next June the Board will be periodically briefed and provided an opportunity to discuss the emerging policies, goals and priorities. The Board delegated to staff the responsibility to determine what structure to use, including how to best involve constituents and stakeholders, to achieve this goal.

- Beyond our work together in this endeavor, it is the task of individual board members to determine how they would like to be involved. Board members who wish to serve on the advisory subcommittees, which will be created, should contact Jim Fox or Laura Johnson to indicate such interest.

During the discussion of implementing SSB 6242, the group also agreed on five main points. They are:

1. SSB 6242 represents a “global opportunity to paint a long-term vision” for outdoor recreation and habitat protection in Washington State.
2. 6242 also represents an opportunity for the IAC to become more of a leader in achieving that vision. Much like State Parks is reinventing itself, IAC should capitalize on the opportunities afforded by 6242 to help “merge all the planning that is being done” by numerous state and non-profit agencies into a coordinated statewide strategy on outdoor recreation and habitat protection. Thus, IAC intends to build on its tremendous credibility and the information at its disposal to “springboard to bigger things.”
3. As IAC assumes more of a leadership role, it will not necessarily become more of an advocate. IAC should “acknowledge the competing interests and goals of numerous agencies and groups, and help facilitate or mediate agreement among them so that they can move together in one clear direction.” In other words, IAC can “bring people with us” by providing this kind of leadership.
4. Implementing 6242 is also an opportunity to send a message to the Legislature and public that there is accountability for the funds provided for recreation and habitat protection, and that the expenditure of even more public funding in this arena is justified.
5. The implementation of 6242 also requires the active involvement of the public, particularly key stakeholders, such as the Association of Washington Counties (AWC), who worked hard for passage of the bill.

A STRATEGIC PLAN FOR IAC

As a result of the afternoon discussion, we agreed that a mission statement for the IAC should be broader than a recreation-specific focus, and include health, economic development and ecosystems. Bob Parlette offered the following draft mission statement that incorporates these concepts:

“To measure and meet the needs for recreational facilities (opportunities) and wildlife habitat through efficiently run programs that lead to healthful lifestyles, ecology and a robust tourism–recreation industry in the State of Washington.”

Following a brief discussion, the following process for reaching a consensus of the Board on a mission statement was agreed upon:

1. Staff was authorized to refine this proposed language, if necessary, and submit it to the Board members by late October.

2. Board members will review the proposed mission statement and provide any edits to staff for assembly of all comments.
3. Staff will try to incorporate the suggested edits, and send to the Board a recommended mission statement before the November meeting.
4. At the November meeting, the mission statement will be approved by the Board. It is expected that because of the opportunities for input by everyone before the meeting, the discussion of the mission statement at the meeting will be brief, and that the mission will be adopted without additional "wordsmithing."

The group also agreed that developing a full-scale strategic plan for IAC is likely to be useful and necessary. But there was some sentiment to hold off on developing it, at least until: (1) the Committee reviews the Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP), and other agency planning documents *and* (2) until development of the initial policies, goals and priorities that will frame the statewide coordinated strategy called for in 6242.

Whether or not a full-scale strategic plan is developed, Board members identified their hopes for the IAC in the future. The suggestion was made that many of these ideas could be refined into five-year goals for the agency. The discussion list of goals included:

- A principal industry of the State is tourism/recreation. A spin-off of this is more healthy Washington State citizens. Elected officials make decisions geared toward this outcome, and the citizenry understands and supports their efforts.
- There is increased ecological diversity within our state, and it is maintained into perpetuity.
- The vision and definition of recreation are expanded to include agri-tourism.
- The vision and definition of recreation are also expanded to include trails. One possible result of broadening the definition is a statewide trails plan with a string of connected trails across the State. To achieve this, the State Legislature passes legislation eliminating the liability that property owners fear in having a trail pass through or adjacent to their lands.
- Twenty editorials appear every year in newspapers across the State linking recreation and public health.
- The IAC and others host a 2005 Recreation Congress focusing on that linkage.
- Economic development and recreation are more closely linked, with the economic benefits to the State and localities from recreation clearly demonstrated and appreciated.
- IAC takes a lead role in facilitating a dialogue about whether or not we want a state games movement.
- A public education plan helps educate citizens about how important public lands are to the quality of life in Washington State.

- IAC perfects its program delivery. One suggested element of this goal is to “cap” acquisitions to \$1 million per acquisition.
- A small grant program is established, for example, with one hundred grants of \$10,000 or fifty of \$20,000 to local groups.
- Conversions of recreation and habitat lands to other uses are minimized.
- IAC’s grants budget, and that of recreation generally, are increased over today’s levels.
- A communications plan with strategic messages that advance our vision and goals is in place, with each of us taking responsibility to implement it.
- At every groundbreaking, local support for these programs is evidenced by the appearance of elected officials and key local groups.
- Develop a brochure that contains the vision statement agreed-on, similar to State Parks’ brochure.
- We have taken concrete steps to break down the barriers between the various pots of money because synthesis reflects realities of society or the land. Example: The ban on mixing dollars for habitat and recreation.
- We focus on “strategic investments”. IAC now funds the best applications received; need to make sure the applications are the most strategic.
- The Board delegates more to the staff, freeing up its time to focus on policy.
- The staff continues and enhances its role of “consultants” to other state and local agencies.
- As the mission and role of IAC evolve, the staff and Board continue to ask “Are we doing the right things given our changing mission and role?”

Finally, as the meeting came to a conclusion, the November agenda was briefly discussed. Besides adopting a mission statement for the IAC, Board members also expressed interest in these items for the November meeting: a) legislative proposals for the 2005 Session, and what should be IAC’s role in the upcoming Session; b) an update on the communications plan; c) additional discussion about five-year goals; and d) a proposal from staff regarding what additional duties the Board should delegate to the Director, to allow time for more focus on policy.

The Meeting adjourned at 3:20 p.m.



 Val Ogden, Chair

Next Meeting: November 18 & 19, 2004
 NRB Room 175 a & b
 Olympia, Washington

RESOLUTION #2004-23
September 2004 Consent Agenda

BE IT RESOLVED, that the following September 2004, Consent Agenda items are approved:

- a) Approval of IAC Minutes – July 8 & 9, 2004, as amended,
- b) Time Extensions,
- c) Conversions, and
- d) Reallocations.

Moved by: Yvonne Yokota

Seconded by: Larry Fairleigh

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline result)

Date: September 9, 2004

Attachment A
TIME EXTENSION REQUESTS FOR BOARD APPROVAL
Consent Calendar - Resolution #2004-23

PROJECT #	SPONSOR NAME	PROJECT NAME	GRANT PROGRAM	DATE BOARD FUNDED	EXTENSION REQUESTED	Circumstance or reasons for delay
96-1102N	State Parks	Camano Island – Boat Launch & Parking	BFP - State	7/18/97	12/31/04	The consulting firm hired by State Parks has completed all biological assessments required for the project and was on track to get final permit packages submitted by the deadline. However, the County has requested Parks move the location of the restroom further from the shoreline. This will not require changes to the restroom design, but does require a small amount of additional work to ensure an accessible route is in place to comply with ADA (2 nd Request)
98-1044D	Redmond Parks & Recreation Dept	Bear-Evans Creek Trail	WWRP - Trails	7/18/99	12/31/04	The City of Redmond experienced numerous delays and setbacks with this proposal - many of which were related to permitting and ESA listings. The most recent delay had to do with escalating construction costs due to the lengthy permitting process. The city solicited bids earlier this summer and due to the increased costs of steel chose to not accept any of those bids. They re-scoped their bid package and advertised again for bids. They have now selected a contractor and awarded a contract with a 90-day construction period. The project will be complete by December 31, 2004.
98-1257D	King County Parks & Recreation	Soos Creek Regional Trail Dev Phase 4	WWRP Trails	7/18/99	4/30/05	King County has grappled with design modifications and unexpected costs and has asked to reduce the scope of this project. The scope has been modified and construction is now underway for the sections approved in the permitting process. The County is requesting an extension to allow flexibility during the construction phase, since progress is somewhat dependent on permit and weather restrictions.

**Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
Distribution of Returned Funds
Attachment A - 2004-23**

Habitat Conservation Account							
Fiscal Year	IAC Number	Funds from Previous Biennia	Funds Available	Fiscal Year	Category	IAC Number	Funds to Succeeding Biennia or Other ORA Category
Critical Habitat							
FY02	00-1348A	Natural Resources, Trout Lake	\$ 619,433	to FY02	Natural Areas	00-1419A	Natural Resources, Cypress Island Nat Area
		Sub-Total	\$619,433				
Natural Areas							
FY00	98-1035A	Natural Resources, Northern Shrub Steppe	\$150,000	to FY04	Natural Areas	02-1090A	Natural Resources, Bone River and Niawiakum River NAPs
FY00	98-1035A	Natural Resources, Northern Shrub Steppe	\$155,077	to FY04	UWH	02-1313A	King County, Issaquah Creek Log Cabin,
FY00	98-1035A	Natural Resources, Northern Shrub Steppe	\$120,634	to FY04	UWH	02-1352A	Kitsap County, Lost Continent of Illahee Watershed
FY02	00-1338A	Natural Resources, Northern Shrub Steppe NAPs	\$328,125	to FY02	Natural Areas	00-1419A	Natural Resources, Cypress Island Nat Area
		Sub-Total	\$753,836				
Urban Wildlife Habitat							
FY97	97-032A	King County, Green River Waterway	\$50,000	to FY97	UWH	97-140A	Seattle Parks & Rec, Puget Creek
FY97	97-032A	King County, Green River Waterway	\$230,250	to FY98	UWH	96-1112A	Issaquah, S Issaquah Creek Greenway
FY97	97-032A	King County, Green River Waterway	\$74,923	to FY04	UWH	02-1313A	King County, Issaquah Creek – Log Cabin
FY00	98-1157C	Natural Resources, Woodard Bay NRCA	\$500,000	to FY04	UWH	02-1352A	Kitsap County, Lost Continent of Illahee Watershed
		Sub-Total	\$855,173				
		TOTAL HCA Funds	\$2,228,442				

Outdoor Recreation Account							
Fiscal Year	IAC Number	Funds from Previous Biennia	Funds Available	Fiscal Year	Category	IAC Number	Funds to Succeeding Biennia or Other ORA Category
State Parks							
FY98	96-1024D	State Parks, Kanaskat-Palmer – 50 Unit	\$62,452	To FY04	State Parks	02-1139A	State Parks, Fort Canby - Seaview Dunes
FY98	96-1024D	State Parks, Kanaskat-Palmer – 50 Unit	\$400,263	To FY04	State Parks	02-1239A	State Parks, Fort Canby - Realvest Upland
FY98	96-1024D	State Parks, Kanaskat-Palmer – 50 Unit	\$283,449	To FY02	State Parks	00-1437D	State Parks, Sun Lakes Dry Falls Ph 1 Dev
		Sub-Total	\$746,164				
Trails							
FY04	02-1292C	Larry Scott Trail Dev. Segments 3 & 4	\$109,139	To FY04	Trails	02-1176D	Edmonds Parks, Interurban Trail
FY04	02-1292C	Larry Scott Trail Dev. Segments 3 & 4	\$228,285	To FY04	Trails	02-1068A	Kitsap Co., Coulter Creek Heritage Park Trail
		Sub-Total	\$337,424				
		TOTAL ORA Funds	\$1,083,588				

RESOLUTION #2004-24
Boating Infrastructure Grant Program
Federal Fiscal Year 2005

WHEREAS, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is accepting grant applications for the Boating Infrastructure Grant Program, and

WHEREAS, for federal fiscal year 2005, seven projects are eligible for funding consideration in the Boating Infrastructure Grant Program, and

WHEREAS, all projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #5i, *Boating Infrastructure Grant Program: Policies* and rules established in the *Code of Federal Regulations*

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation hereby approves the list of BIG-Tier 2 projects to be submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for evaluation and funding consideration, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board delegates authority to the Director for final approval of the State's submission for a BIG-Tier 1 project and is authorized to execute any and all agreements for implementation of these projects if federal funding is approved.

Resolution moved by: Bob Parlette

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



Resolution: 2004-24

**Table 1
Projects In Application Complete Status(es)
Federal Fiscal Year 2005**

Project #	Primary Sponsor	Project Name	This Program Amt	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt
Program: BIG - Tier 1			2 Projects		
04-1436 D	Clarkston Port of	Clarkston Recreational Dock	\$75,879	\$19,729	\$95,608
04-1609 D	State Parks	Blake Island Float Electrification	\$95,000	\$50,000	\$145,000
BIG - Tier 1 Total:			\$170,879	\$69,729	\$240,608
Program: BIG - Tier 2			5 Projects		
04-1182 D	Everett Port of	12th St Marina Guest Moorage	\$990,000	\$1,130,000	\$2,120,000
04-1150 D	Grays Harbor Historical SA	Seaport Landing	\$994,795	\$406,520	\$1,401,315
04-1086 D	Poulsbo Port of	Liberty Bay Breakwater Replacement	\$1,353,375	\$451,125	\$1,804,500
04-1126 D	Seattle Port of	Shilshole Bay Marina Transient Moorage	\$780,000	\$783,350	\$1,563,350
04-1152 D	Wahkiakum County #1 Port of	Elochoman Slough Marina	\$197,712	\$111,213	\$308,925
BIG - Tier 2 Total:			\$4,315,882	\$2,882,208	\$7,198,090
Grand Total:			\$4,486,761	\$2,951,937	\$7,438,698

Note: Projects are listed in alphabetical order by sponsor.

Estimated funds available are currently unknown. \$95,000 is expected for Tier 1 grants.

RESOLUTION #2004-25
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
Critical Habitat Category - Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, ten Critical Habitat category projects are eligible for funding from the Habitat Conservation Account of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and

WHEREAS, these ten Critical Habitat category projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all ten Critical Habitat category projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #10, *Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 1 – *WWRP, Critical Habitat Ranked List of Projects, FY2006 (2004-25)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor the ranked list of Critical Habitat category projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Larry Fairleigh

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



**Table 1
Washington Wildlife Recreation Program - Critical Habitat
State Fiscal Year 2006**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Cum Amt
1 of 10	43.500	04-1284 A	Tieton River Canyon - Phase 2	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,806,650		\$2,806,650	\$2,806,650
2 of 10	43.125	04-1285 C	Reardan's Audubon Lake	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$555,721		\$555,721	\$3,362,371
3 of 10	40.250	04-1395 A	Trout Lake Wetlands NAP	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,454,565		\$1,454,565	\$4,816,936
4 of 10	37.875	04-1289 A	Sharp-tailed Grouse 2004	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,472,120		\$2,472,120	\$7,289,056
5 of 10	36.875	04-1286 A	Methow Watershed Phase 4	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,839,200		\$2,839,200	\$10,128,256
6 of 10	35.500	04-1287 A	Cowiche Watershed - Phase 2	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,267,685		\$2,267,685	\$12,395,941
7 of 10	35.250	04-1283 A	Skookumchuck Watershed Phase 1	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$4,638,742		\$4,638,742	\$17,034,683
7 of 10	35.250	04-1428 A	Upper Yakima River Floodplain	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$753,245	\$752,245	\$1,505,490	\$17,787,928
9 of 10	32.875	04-1275 A	Western Gray Squirrel	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$673,365		\$673,365	\$18,461,293
10 of 10	24.500	04-1290 A	Asotin Creek 2004	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$754,200		\$754,200	\$19,215,493
					\$19,215,493	\$752,245	\$19,967,738	

NOTE: Funds available unknown

Prepared: 8/19/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-26
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
Natural Areas Category - Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, eleven Natural Areas category projects are eligible for funding from the Habitat Conservation Account of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and

WHEREAS, these eleven Natural Areas category projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all eleven Natural Areas category projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #10, *Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 1 – *WWRP, Natural Areas Ranked List of Projects, FY2006 (2004-26)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor the ranked list of Natural Areas category projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Mark Quinn

Resolution seconded by: Craig Partridge

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



Table 1
Washington Wildlife Recreation Program - Natural Areas
State Fiscal Year 2006

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Total Amt	Cum Amt
1 of 11	41.875	04-1362 A	Golden Paintbrush Preserve	Natural Resources Dept of	\$437,850	\$437,850	\$437,850
2 of 11	37.375	04-1278 A	Washougal Oaks NAP/NRCA	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,333,762	\$1,333,762	\$1,771,612
3 of 11	36.500	04-1328 A	Bone River & Nlawiakum River NAPs	Natural Resources Dept of	\$845,250	\$845,250	\$2,616,862
4 of 11	35.875	04-1291 A	Lummi Island Natural Area Phase I	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$875,096	\$875,096	\$3,491,958
5 of 11	35.250	04-1327 A	Methow Rapids NAP	Natural Resources Dept of	\$878,325	\$878,325	\$4,370,283
6 of 11	35.125	04-1329 A	Dyer Haystacks and Two Steppe NAPs	Natural Resources Dept of	\$543,690	\$543,690	\$4,913,973
7 of 11	34.875	04-1326 A	Kennedy Creek NAP	Natural Resources Dept of	\$664,807	\$664,807	\$5,578,780
8 of 11	34.750	04-1416 A	Ink Blot and Shumocher Creek NAPs	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,307,460	\$1,307,460	\$6,886,240
9 of 11	34.625	04-1378 A	Carlisle Bog NAP	Natural Resources Dept of	\$246,330	\$246,330	\$7,132,570
10 of 11	28.500	04-1376 A	Selah Cliffs NAP	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,085,490	\$1,085,490	\$8,218,060
11 of 11	25.500	04-1330 A	Cypress Island Natural Area	Natural Resources Dept of	\$3,111,465	\$3,111,465	\$11,329,525
					\$11,329,525	\$11,329,525	

NOTE: Funds available unknown

Prepared: 8/19/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-27
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
Urban Wildlife Habitat Category - Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, ten Urban Wildlife Habitat category projects are eligible for funding from the Habitat Conservation Account of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and

WHEREAS, these ten Urban Wildlife Habitat category projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all ten Urban Wildlife Habitat category projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #10, *Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 1 – *WWRP, Urban Wildlife Habitat Ranked List of Projects, FY2006 (2004-27)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor the ranked list of Urban Wildlife Habitat category projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Craig Partridge

Resolution seconded by: Yvonne Yokota

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



Table 1
Washington Wildlife Recreation Program - Urban Wildlife Habitat
State Fiscal Year 2006

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Cum Amt
1 of 10	51.375	04-1281 A	Stavis NRCA / Kitsap Forest NAP	Natural Resources Dept of	\$2,669,703		\$2,669,703	\$2,669,703
2 of 10	45.875	04-1331 C	Woodard Bay NRCA	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,540,267		\$1,540,267	\$4,209,970
3 of 10	42.000	04-1457 A	Central Kitsap Greenway	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$755,902	\$755,903	\$1,511,805	\$4,965,872
4 of 10	40.750	04-1409 A	Whipple Creek	Clark County Parks Dept	\$1,020,920	\$1,020,920	\$2,041,840	\$5,986,792
4 of 10	40.750	04-1294 A	Nookachamps Creek	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$1,838,000		\$1,838,000	\$7,824,792
6 of 10	38.750	04-1365 A	Icy Creek	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,074,800		\$2,074,800	\$9,899,592
7 of 10	38.250	04-1349 A	West Tiger Mountain NRCA	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,830,885		\$1,830,885	\$11,730,477
8 of 10	37.625	04-1293 A	Vancouver Lowlands Phase 2	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,855,370		\$2,855,370	\$14,585,847
9 of 10	35.250	04-1418 A	Gazzam, Phase II	Bainbridge Island Park Dist	\$1,000,000	\$2,972,500	\$3,972,500	\$15,585,847
10 of 10	32.625	04-1292 A	Lake Terrell Wildlife Area Inholding	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$606,690		\$606,690	\$16,192,537
					\$16,192,537	\$4,749,323	\$20,941,860	

NOTE: Funds available unknown

Prepared: 8/19/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-28
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
Local Parks Category - Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, 48 Local Parks category projects are eligible for funding from the Outdoor Recreation Account of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and

WHEREAS, these 48 Local Parks category projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all 48 Local Parks category projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #10, *Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 1 – *WWRP, Local Parks Ranked List of Projects, FY2006 (2004-28)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor the ranked list of Local Parks category projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Mark Quinn

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



**Table 1
Washington Wildlife & Recreation Program - Local Parks
State Fiscal Year 2006**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Cumulative Amt
1 of 48	53.111	04-1298 D	Bremerton Skatepark	Bremerton Parks & Rec Dept	\$140,000	\$150,080	\$290,080	\$140,000
2 of 48	52.667	04-1449 D	Cusick Community Park	Cusick Town of	\$189,802	\$192,658	\$382,460	\$329,802
3 of 48	52.444	04-1207 D	Myrtle Edwards Park/OSP Expansion	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$300,000	\$3,124,055	\$3,424,055	\$629,802
4 of 48	52.000	04-1174 D	Oroville's Eastlake Ballfields	Oroville City of	\$30,380	\$30,380	\$60,760	\$660,182
5 of 48	51.500	04-1444 D	Squalicum Fields Development Phase 1	Bellingham Parks & Rec Dept	\$300,000	\$692,063	\$992,063	\$960,182
6 of 48	51.167	04-1433 D	Bradley Lake Park Phase III	Puyallup City of	\$300,000	\$391,646	\$691,646	\$1,260,182
7 of 48	50.889	04-1044 D	Regional Athletic Complex Field Lighting	Lacey Parks & Rec Dept	\$240,000	\$363,016	\$603,016	\$1,500,182
8 of 48	50.667	04-1419 C	East Hill Skatepark Expansion	Kent Parks, Rec & Comm Serv	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$150,000	\$1,575,182
9 of 48	50.444	04-1393 C	Bowen Field Expansion	Sumas City of	\$499,855	\$1,050,306	\$1,550,161	\$2,075,037
10 of 48	50.111	04-1279 A	Rhododendron Park Acquisition	Burien Parks & Recreation	\$421,350	\$421,350	\$842,700	\$2,496,387
11 of 48	50.000	04-1269 D	Rotary Park Expansion	Wenatchee City of	\$198,500	\$205,000	\$403,500	\$2,694,887
12 of 48	49.444	04-1137 D	Tonasket B3 Skate and Bike Park	Tonasket Town of	\$129,000	\$129,000	\$258,000	\$2,823,887
13 of 48	49.389	04-1341 A	Mount Vista Park	Vancouver Parks & Rec Dept	\$294,828	\$294,828	\$589,656	\$3,118,715
14 of 48	49.167	04-1417 C	Hockinson Meadows	Clark County Parks Dept	\$500,000	\$4,980,754	\$5,480,754	\$3,618,715
15 of 48	49.111	04-1359 C	Creston Multi-Sport Complex	Creston City of	\$84,669	\$84,897	\$169,566	\$3,703,384
15 of 48	49.111	04-1391 D	Steven J Underwood Ballfield Lighting	Des Moines Parks & Rec Dept	\$177,071	\$177,954	\$355,025	\$3,880,455
17 of 48	49.000	04-1355 C	Pioneer Park Expansion	Connell City of	\$329,660	\$338,840	\$668,500	\$4,210,115
18 of 48	48.833	04-1332 A	Lakeshore Park	Vancouver Parks & Rec Dept	\$300,173	\$300,173	\$600,346	\$4,510,288
19 of 48	48.389	04-1381 D	Thea Foss Waterway - 21st Street Park	Tacoma Public Works	\$300,000	\$688,800	\$988,800	\$4,810,288
20 of 48	47.778	04-1214 D	Klemgard Park Renovation	Whitman County Parks & Rec	\$96,500	\$96,500	\$193,000	\$4,906,788
21 of 48	47.333	04-1322 D	Barbour Recreation Complex Expansion	Chewelah City of	\$99,847	\$107,923	\$207,770	\$5,006,635
22 of 48	47.222	04-1124 D	Downtown Pond Park Improvements	Springdale Town of	\$54,980	\$55,207	\$110,187	\$5,061,615
23 of 48	46.778	04-1276 D	Maritime Park & Amphitheater	Everett Port of	\$300,000	\$1,000,385	\$1,300,385	\$5,361,615
24 of 48	46.611	04-1408 D	East Mill Plain	Vancouver Parks & Rec Dept	\$191,527	\$191,527	\$383,054	\$5,553,142
25 of 48	46.278	04-1202 D	Col. Park Regional Youth Skate/Bike Park	Kennewick Parks & Rec Dept	\$175,000	\$175,000	\$350,000	\$5,728,142
26 of 48	46.222	04-1320 D	SunnyView Skatepark	Sunnyside Parks & Rec Dept	\$51,850	\$51,900	\$103,750	\$5,779,992
27 of 48	45.722	04-1406 D	Pacific Community Park	Clark County Parks Dept	\$300,000	\$4,557,033	\$4,857,033	\$6,079,992
28 of 48	45.111	04-1204 D	Lower Woodland Skate Park - Phase I	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$300,000	\$330,000	\$630,000	\$6,379,992
28 of 48	45.111	04-1060 A	Ercolini Park Acquisition	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$245,000	\$610,000	\$855,000	\$6,624,992
30 of 48	45.056	04-1385 D	Hansen Park - Amenities & Development	Kennewick Parks & Rec Dept	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$600,000	\$6,924,992

31 of 48	44.444	04-1451 D	Pend Oreille County Park - Phase 1	Pend Oreille County of	\$110,775	\$117,819	\$228,594	\$7,035,767
32 of 48	43.556	04-1280 D	North Ambaum Park Development	Burien Parks & Recreation	\$300,000	\$446,006	\$746,006	\$7,335,767
33 of 48	43.111	04-1382 D	Eagle Creek Neighborhood Park	Kent Parks, Rec & Comm Serv	\$120,924	\$120,925	\$241,849	\$7,456,691
34 of 48	42.778	04-1344 D	Downtown Water/Skate Park	Kettle Falls City of	\$103,325	\$104,000	\$207,325	\$7,560,016
35 of 48	41.722	04-1363 D	Wedge Park	Fife Parks & Recreation	\$70,775	\$80,775	\$151,550	\$7,630,791
36 of 48	41.667	04-1271 D	Spokane County Aquatic Facility	Spokane County Parks & Rec	\$74,913	\$74,913	\$149,826	\$7,705,704
37 of 48	40.667	04-1364 D	West Hill Park Development	Kent Parks, Rec & Comm Serv	\$300,000	\$400,000	\$700,000	\$8,005,704
38 of 48	40.556	04-1394 D	Grass Lake Refuge Phase I Development	Olympia Parks, Arts & Rec	\$250,000	\$265,290	\$515,290	\$8,255,704
39 of 48	40.278	04-1404 A	Skansie Brothers Park Phase II	Gig Harbor City of	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$8,755,704
40 of 48	39.000	04-1297 D	Fairfield Skatepark	Fairfield City of	\$37,918	\$37,918	\$75,836	\$8,793,622
41 of 48	38.222	04-1435 D	Community Field Improvement	Chelan City of	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$180,000	\$8,883,622
42 of 48	37.944	04-1368 D	Whitehorse Community Park Ballfields	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$300,000	\$307,000	\$607,000	\$9,183,622
43 of 48	37.556	04-1334 D	Paine Field Park Development	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$300,000	\$548,608	\$848,608	\$9,483,622
44 of 48	37.500	04-1336 A	West Monroe Sports Facility	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$150,000	\$195,000	\$345,000	\$9,633,622
45 of 48	36.000	04-1392 D	Coney Island Park - Phase 3	Medical Lake City of	\$47,335	\$47,335	\$94,670	\$9,680,957
46 of 48	32.833	04-1456 A	North Kitsap Heritage Park	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$500,000	\$1,414,835	\$1,914,835	\$10,180,957
47 of 48	29.556	04-1420 A	Burlington Northern Landing Acquisition	Burlington City of	\$444,329	\$444,329	\$888,658	\$10,625,286
48 of 48	27.056	04-1383 A	North County Ballfields	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$487,422	\$487,422	\$974,844	\$11,112,708
					\$11,112,708	\$26,848,450	\$37,961,158	

NOTE: Funds available to be determined.

No less than 50% of the funds allocated in this category must be used for acquisition.

Table 1

Washington Wildlife & Recreation Program - Local Parks
State Fiscal Year 2006

Prepared: 8/24/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-29
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
State Parks Category - Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, ten State Parks category projects are eligible for funding from the Outdoor Recreation Account of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and

WHEREAS, these ten State Parks category projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all ten State Parks category projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #10, *Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program*, and

WHEREAS, on August 12, 2004 the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission adopted a revised ranked list shown in Table 2 – *WWRP, State Parks Ranked List of Projects, FY2006*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 2 – *WWRP, State Parks Ranked List of Projects, FY2006, (2004-29)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor this ranked list of State Parks category projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Craig Partridge

Resolution seconded by: Yvonne Yokota

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



Resolution: 2004-29

Table 2
Washington Wildlife & Recreation Program - State Parks
State Fiscal Year 2006

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Total Amt	Cum Amt
1 of 10	52.250	04-1234 A	Deception Pass - Sunrise Resort Ph. 2	State Parks	\$1,103,125	\$1,103,125	\$1,103,125
2 of 10	51.375	04-1240 D	Kanaskat-Palmer - Campground Expansion	State Parks	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	\$2,503,125
3 of 10	50.625	04-1235 A	Inholdings & Adjacent Properties - 2006	State Parks	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$3,003,125
4 of 10	50.500	04-1270 D	Cape Disappointment Multi-Use Trail	State Parks	\$1,800,000	\$1,800,000	\$4,803,125
5 of 10	49.625	04-1198 A	Pearrygin Lake Shoreline Acquisition	State Parks	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$6,103,125
6 of 10	50.000	04-1268 A	Green River Gorge - 05-07 Acquisitions	State Parks	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$7,603,125
7 of 10	48.875	04-1253 D	D Pass - Cornet Bay / Hoypus Pt. Day Use	State Parks	\$392,466	\$392,466	\$7,995,591
8 of 10	42.875	04-1237 A	Cape Disappointment - Seaview Dunes Ph.2	State Parks	\$981,235	\$981,235	\$8,976,826
9 of 10	41.000	04-1339 A	Cape Disappointment - Gateway Center	State Parks	\$419,750	\$419,750	\$9,396,576
10 of 10	33.875	04-1256 A	Rockport - Trillum Property Acquisition	State Parks	\$1,400,105	\$1,400,105	\$10,796,681
					\$10,796,681	\$10,796,681	

NOTE: Funds available to be determined.
 No less than 50% of the funds allocated in this category must be used for acquisition.

Prepared: 8/24/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-30
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
Trails Category - Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, 23 Trails category projects are eligible for funding from the Outdoor Recreation Account of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and

WHEREAS, these 23 Trails category projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all 23 Trails category projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #10, *Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 1 – *WWRP, Trails Ranked List of Projects, FY2006 (2004-30)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor the ranked list of Trails category projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Mark Quinn

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



Table 1
Washington Wildlife Recreation Program - Trails
State Fiscal Year 2006

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Cum Amt
1 of 23	62.556	04-1415 D	Olympia Woodland Trail Phase I Developme	Olympia Parks, Arts & Rec	\$300,000	\$762,303	\$1,062,303	\$300,000
2 of 23	60.000	04-1441 C	Similkameen Connector Trail Phase I	Okanogan County Public Works	\$688,666	\$688,670	\$1,377,336	\$988,666
3 of 23	59.444	04-1241 A	Bear Creek Trail, the Missing Link	Redmond Parks & Rec Dept	\$181,525	\$181,525	\$363,050	\$1,170,191
4 of 23	59.222	04-1211 D	Interurban Trail - North Central Segment	Shoreline Parks & Rec Dept	\$1,215,000	\$1,215,000	\$2,430,000	\$2,385,191
5 of 23	57.722	04-1440 D	Buckley Foothills Trail Extension	Buckley City of	\$123,442	\$123,442	\$246,884	\$2,508,633
6 of 23	57.500	04-1340 D	Centennial Trail - Arlington North	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$1,750,000	\$2,382,000	\$4,132,000	\$4,258,633
7 of 23	57.444	04-1446 C	Heron Trail - Phase 1 Boardwalk	Moses Lake City of	\$342,318	\$342,319	\$684,637	\$4,600,951
8 of 23	56.833	04-1458 A	Hansville Greenway Phase 2	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$639,200	\$639,200	\$1,278,400	\$5,240,151
8 of 23	56.833	04-1194 D	Cushman Trail	Pierce County Parks & Rec	\$300,000	\$470,400	\$770,400	\$5,540,151
10 of 23	56.778	04-1422 D	ODT: Jamestown S'Klallam Tribal Segment	Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe	\$131,033	\$131,033	\$262,066	\$5,671,184
11 of 23	55.222	04-1101 D	Issaquah-High Pt Regional Trail Connector	Issaquah Parks & Rec Dept	\$450,000	\$950,000	\$1,400,000	\$6,121,184
12 of 23	54.944	04-1317 C	Larry Scott Memorial Trail Segment 3	Jefferson Co Public Works	\$343,061	\$393,062	\$736,123	\$6,464,245
13 of 23	54.667	04-1243 D	Chehalis River Walkway	Aberdeen Parks & Rec Dept	\$213,754	\$218,504	\$432,258	\$6,677,999
14 of 23	53.222	04-1258 D	Willapa Hills Trail - Phase 2	State Parks	\$1,348,894	\$825,000	\$2,173,894	\$8,026,893
15 of 23	51.944	04-1403 C	Interurban Trail Extension & Trailhead	Edgewood City of	\$654,731	\$654,732	\$1,309,463	\$8,681,624
16 of 23	51.111	04-1425 A	Cross Island Trail Phase III	Bainbridge Island Park Dist	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$150,000	\$8,756,624
17 of 23	50.778	04-1199 D	Columbia Plateau Trail - Phase 3 Devel.	State Parks	\$1,027,720	\$150,000	\$1,177,720	\$9,784,344
18 of 23	50.667	04-1197 D	Westlake Trail	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$227,976	\$30,000	\$257,976	\$10,012,320
19 of 23	49.667	04-1443 D	North Creek Trail - 9th Avenue Segment	Mill Creek City of	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$300,000	\$10,162,320
20 of 23	49.000	04-1201 D	Rocky Reach Trail - Trail Development	State Parks	\$852,272	\$850,000	\$1,702,272	\$11,014,592
21 of 23	47.000	04-1006 A	Iron Horse - JWPT - Elensburg Bypass	State Parks	\$264,199		\$264,199	\$11,278,791
22 of 23	44.222	04-1305 D	Sprague Lake Trail - Phase 3	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$172,453		\$172,453	\$11,451,244
23 of 23	39.167	04-1149 A	Sedro-Woolley Regional Trail Connection	Sedro Woolley City of	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$11,551,244
					\$11,551,244	\$11,332,190	\$22,883,434	

NOTE: Funds available unknown

Prepared: 8/18/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-31
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program
Water Access Category - Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, ten Water Access category projects are eligible for funding from the Outdoor Recreation Account of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and

WHEREAS, these ten Water Access category projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all ten Water Access category projects meet program requirements as stipulated in IAC Manual #10, *Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 1 – *WWRP, Water Access Ranked List of Projects, FY2006 (2004-31)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor the ranked list of Water Access category projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Craig Partridge

Resolution seconded by: Bob Parlette

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



Table 1
Washington Wildlife Recreation Program - Water Access
State Fiscal Year 2006

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Cum Amt
1 of 10	50.375	04-1205 C	Clinton Beach	South Whidbey Island Port of	\$576,177	\$576,178	\$1,152,355	\$576,177
2 of 10	48.250	04-1325 C	Fisherman Bay Spit Acq. & Development	San Juan County Land Bank	\$1,178,000	\$2,186,127	\$3,364,127	\$1,754,177
3 of 10	47.875	04-1058 A	Newman Lake Acquisition	Spokane County Parks & Rec	\$500,000	\$532,527	\$1,032,527	\$2,254,177
4 of 10	44.750	04-1370 D	Chinese Reconciliation Park Phase 1	Tacoma City of	\$554,221	\$554,402	\$1,108,623	\$2,808,398
5 of 10	44.500	04-1424 D	Blakely Harbor Project, Phase 1	Bainbridge Island Park Dist	\$141,018	\$143,856	\$284,874	\$2,949,416
5 of 10	44.500	04-1208 D	South Lake Union Park dev.Ph I	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$300,000	\$500,000	\$800,000	\$3,249,416
7 of 10	43.500	04-1309 D	Tim's Pond Public Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$245,715		\$245,715	\$3,495,131
8 of 10	40.875	04-1353 A	Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Acquisition	Bellevue Parks & Comm Serv	\$1,000,000	\$5,425,000	\$6,425,000	\$4,495,131
9 of 10	39.125	04-1351 A	Rockaway Beach	Bainbridge Island Park Dist	\$262,360	\$262,360	\$524,720	\$4,757,491
10 of 10	31.375	04-1310 D	Stillaguamish River Public Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$174,622		\$174,622	\$4,932,113
					\$4,932,113	\$10,180,450	\$15,112,563	

NOTE: Funds available unknown
 No less than 75% of the funds allocated in this category must be used for acquisition.

Prepared: 8/18/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-32
King County
Conversion Request of Multiple Properties

WHEREAS, King County has received various grants from IAC for the acquisition and development of park and recreation facilities; and

WHEREAS, King County is faced with conversion issues of varying degrees on multiple properties; and

WHEREAS, King County has evaluated alternatives to converting the IAC assisted properties and determined that converting is the best alternative, and

WHEREAS, King County has identified several replacement properties to meet the obligation for converting real property, and

WHEREAS, that the replacement properties have been reviewed by IAC staff and meet the eligibility requirements established by IAC Board policy, and

WHEREAS, King County is committed to following the acquisition policies found in Manual #3: Acquiring Land: Policies and conversion policies found in Manual #7: Funded Projects: Policies and the Project Agreement for acquiring replacement properties, and

WHEREAS, the replacement properties meet the county's goals and mission for providing regional trail and park facilities;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the conversion request by King County is hereby approved, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the IAC Director is hereby authorized to execute the necessary amendments associated with this conversion request.

Resolution moved by: _____ Larry Fairleigh _____

Resolution seconded by: _____ Mark Quinn _____

Adopted / Defeated (circle one)

Date: September 9, 2004

Attachment A
Summary of Affected Properties

Converted Properties:

Property Name	Acres	Trail Miles	Value
Sixty Acres South	34.25		\$ 800,000
Hmong Farm	18.32		\$ 230,000
Auburn Narrows	55.92		\$ 800,000
Green to Cedar	0.16	120 linear feet	\$ 27,596
Various Road Projects	To be determined		\$ 245,000 estimate
Total Conversion	~108.65	120 linear feet	\$2,102,596

Replacement Properties:

Property Name	Acres	Trail Miles	Value
Moss Lake	55.00		\$ 500,000
W Sammamish Trail	0.18	0.08	\$ 115,328
Cedar – Sammamish Trail	15.47	2.45	\$ 250,000
Redmond – Redmond Trail	18.63	1.56	\$ 285,000
Green River Trail 4	7.58	1.25	\$ 500,000 estimate
Landsburg – Kanasket Trail	103.00	8.5 – 9.0	\$ 900,000 estimate
Total Replacement	199.86	13.84 – 14.34	\$2,550,328 estimate

RESOLUTION #2004-33
Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account
Fiscal Year 2006
Ranked List of Projects

WHEREAS, for fiscal year 2006 of the 2005-2007 biennium, seventeen projects are eligible for funding from the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account, and

WHEREAS, these seventeen projects were evaluated using evaluation criteria approved by IAC Board Members, and

WHEREAS, these evaluations occurred in an open public meeting, and

WHEREAS, all seventeen projects meet program requirements outlined in IAC Manual #21, *Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account: Policies & Project Selection*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects depicted in Table 1 – *ALEA Ranked List of Projects, FY2006 (2004-33)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that IAC hereby recommends to the Governor the ranked list of ALEA projects for further consideration.

Resolution moved by: Craig Partridge

Resolution seconded by: Larry Fairleigh

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 9, 2004



Table 1
Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA)
State Fiscal Year 2006

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amt	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Cumulative Amt
1 of 17	53.273	04-1504 A	Inspiration Point/Chuckanut Bay Acq.	Bellingham Parks & Rec Dept	\$600,000	\$1,252,650	\$1,852,650	\$600,000
2 of 17	50.273	04-1507 R	Lower Tolt River Floodplain Reconnect	King County DNR & Parks	\$500,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,500,000	\$1,100,000
3 of 17	49.727	04-1259 D	Bottle Beach - Development	State Parks	\$246,244	\$246,244	\$492,488	\$1,346,244
4 of 17	49.182	04-1484 A	Hansville Waterfront Park	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$1,000,000	\$1,133,915	\$2,133,915	\$2,346,244
4 of 17	49.182	04-1490 A	Skagit Riverwalk South Gateway	Skagit County Dike Dist #12	\$354,758	\$354,758	\$709,516	\$2,701,002
6 of 17	49.000	04-1266 R	Myrtle Edwards Park Beach Restoration	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$2,015,173	\$2,515,173	\$3,201,002
7 of 17	48.455	04-1249 D	Riverview Park Development	Kent Parks, Rec & Comm Serv	\$498,836	\$593,108	\$1,091,944	\$3,699,838
8 of 17	47.364	04-1277 A	Waterway Park	Tacoma Public Works	\$390,000	\$390,000	\$780,000	\$4,089,838
9 of 17	44.455	04-1450 D	Dogfish Creek Restoration & Development	Poulsbo City of	\$261,492	\$261,909	\$523,401	\$4,351,330
10 of 17	43.546	04-1427 A	Union River Estuary	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$87,283	\$87,284	\$174,567	\$4,438,613
11 of 17	40.909	04-1462 D	Shilshole Bay North End Sailing Center	Seattle Port of	\$290,000	\$295,000	\$585,000	\$4,728,613
12 of 17	40.000	04-1374 D	Chinese Reconciliation Pk-Shoreline	Tacoma City of	\$478,099	\$485,277	\$963,376	\$5,206,712
13 of 17	39.546	04-1483 D	Cap Sante Public Access Improvements	Anacortes Port of	\$308,787	\$308,787	\$617,574	\$5,515,499
13 of 17	39.546	04-1502 D	Downtown Waterfront Access Restoration	Port Townsend City of	\$299,571	\$299,572	\$599,143	\$5,815,070
15 of 17	39.364	04-1468 D	Seabeck Center & Beach Access	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$280,748	\$280,750	\$561,498	\$6,095,818
16 of 17	39.182	04-1493 D	Padilla Bay Trail Interpretive Signs	Padilla Bay Reserve	\$26,400	\$26,400	\$52,800	\$6,122,218
17 of 17	36.273	04-1499 D	Yakima Delta Habitat Restore & Access	Richland City of	\$300,000	\$1,820,938	\$2,120,938	\$6,422,218
					\$6,422,218	\$11,851,765	\$18,273,983	

Prepared: 08/18/04

RESOLUTION #2004-34

2004 NRTP Project Funding

September 9, 2004

WHEREAS, through the early months of 2004, until the April application deadline, the staff of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) provided publications, website updates, public workshops, and other outreach opportunities to communicate to interested parties the benefits and application procedures for the National Recreational Trails Program (NRTP); and

WHEREAS, in answer to these efforts, 59 eligible responses were scored by IAC's advisory/evaluation committee using IAC-approved criteria; and

WHEREAS, the result of this evaluation is a set of recommendations that meets both federal and state program criteria; and

WHEREAS, \$1,177,367 in federal Recreational Trails Program funds is now available for distribution to these projects;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ranked project listing depicted in Table 1, dated August 19, 2004, is approved and staff is directed to take appropriate steps leading to contracting for and implementation of funding for these projects; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC hereby exercises its prerogative to waive the federal recommendation that 30 percent of this year's NRTP funds be dedicated to the *Motorized Assured Access* category, due primarily to insufficient applications received.

Resolution moved by: _____ Bob Parlette _____

Resolution seconded by: _____ Yvonne Yokota _____

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (circle)



Table 1 - NRTP Projects Funding Recommendations State FY 2005

IAC Amount
10/1/04 - 9/30/05

Rank	Score	Proj#	Sponsor	Project Name	NMSU Amount	NMMU Amount	Compatlb Amount	MMU Amount	MSU Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount
1	64.667	04-1063M	USFS WNF Cle Elum RD	Wilderness M&O 2005-2006		40,000				40,000	40,000
2	64.333	04-1055M	USFS WNF Naches RD	Norse Peak/Goats Rocks Wilderne		20,880				20,880	60,880
3	63.733	04-1098M	USFS WNF Entiat RD	Entiat's Non-Motorized Trail Mainte		40,360				40,360	101,240
4	63.667	04-1180D	USFS MBNF Darrington RD	Suiattle Trail Flood Repairs		50,000				50,000	151,240
5	63.600	04-1092M	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	White River/Snoqualmie Trail Maint			35,000			35,000	186,240
6	63.067	04-1136M	Mount Tahoma Trails Assn	Snow Vehicles Project		50,000				50,000	236,240
7	62.600	04-1062D	USFS MBNF Mt Baker RD	Mt. Baker RD Trail Restoration		50,000				50,000	286,240
8	62.333	04-1192M	Pacific Northwest Trail Assn	Cascade NW Crew 2005		20,905				20,905	307,145
9	60.933	04-1088M	WA Trails Association	WTA 2005 Volunteer Trail Maintena		50,000				50,000	357,145
10	60.867	04-1106M	USFS WNF Leavenworth/Lk Wen F	Wilderness Trail Maintenance		40,500				40,500	397,645
11	60.667	04-1057D	USFS WNF Chelan RD	Lakeshore Trail Bridge Replacemer		50,000				50,000	447,645
12	60.533	04-1091M	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	Alpine Lakes Trail Maintenance Cre		50,000				50,000	497,645
13	59.333	04-1089M	WA Trails Association	WTA 2005 Backcountry Trail Team		50,000				50,000	547,645
14	58.467	04-1110D	USFS MBNF Skykomish RD	Dorothy Lake Trail Reconstruction	50,000					50,000	597,645
15	58.067	04-1121M	USFS MBNF Skykomish RD	Henry M. Jackson Wilderness Trail		32,821				32,821	630,466
16	57.800	04-1042M	Backcountry Horsemen of WA	BCHW 2005 Volunteer Trail Mainte		50,000				50,000	680,466
17	57.400	04-1134D	State Parks	Willapa Hills Trail Bridge Decking		40,742				40,742	721,208
18	56.800	04-1133M	USFS IPNF	Priest Lake Trail Maintenance					32,980	32,980	754,188
19	56.733	04-1066D	USFS WNF Cle Elum RD	Jolly Mtn. Trail Reconstruction				9,125		9,125	763,313
20	55.800	04-1095M	Mountain Trails Grooming Assn	Planer/Compactor			22,000			22,000	785,313
20	55.800	04-1096M	Mountain Trails Grooming Assn	SnoCat Groomer			50,000			50,000	835,313
22	55.133	04-1108D	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Lake Creek Trail		40,785				40,785	876,098
23	54.667	04-1078D	Natural Resources Dept of	Mt. Si Trail - Phase 2	50,000					17,476	926,098
24	53.933	04-1045M	USFS WNF Naches RD	Naches Nordic/Mtn Bike Trail Maint		9,109				9,109	935,207
25	53.467	04-1074M	Natural Resources Dept of	West Tiger Mt. NRCA Trails Mainte	38,000					38,000	973,207
26	53.267	04-1112D	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Diamond Jack Trail		22,485				22,485	995,692
27	53.133	04-1141D	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	McClellan Butte Trail Reconstructio		50,000				50,000	1,045,692
28	52.667	04-1103D	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	Pyramid Creek Parking Area				31,437		31,437	1,077,129
41	45.800	04-1065D	USFS WNF Cle Elum RD	Shoestring Jeep Trail Puncheon				37,500		37,500	1,114,629
1	20.600	04-1067E	USFS WNF Cle Elum RD	Cle Elum Winter Trail Patrols					9,700	9,700	1,124,329
2	20.267	04-1151E	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	Alpine Lakes Volunteer Ranger Progrt		10,000				10,000	1,134,329
3	19.600	04-1107E	USFS WNF Leavenworth/Lk Wen RD	Volunteer Wilderness Rangers		7,500				7,500	1,141,829
4	19.133	04-1049E	USFS WNF Naches RD	Naches Snow Rangers					8,880	8,880	1,150,709
5	19.000	04-1052E	USFS WNF Entiat RD	Lake Wen. Entiat Snow Rangers 04-05					9,910	9,910	1,160,619
6	18.400	04-1046E	USFS WNF Chelan RD	Chelan Snowmobile Ranger 04-05					10,000	10,000	1,170,619
*7	18.200	04-1093E	USFS GPNF Mt Adams RD	Gifford Pinchot Snow Ranger 04-05					6,748	6,748	1,177,367
FUNDING LINE (\$1,177,367 is available, including \$45,831 carryover)											
										1,177,367	

					NMSU	NMMU	Compatib	MMU	MSU	Total	Cumulative
											1,177,367
FUNDING LINE (\$1,177,367 is available, including \$45,831 carryover)											1,177,367
29	52.000	04-1111D	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Crystal Lake Trail		49,405				49,405	1,226,772
30	51.933	04-1145D	State Parks	Crystal Springs Multi-Use Groomer			40,000			40,000	1,266,772
31	51.733	04-1184M	EarthCorps	2005 Backcountry Trail Maintenance		39,760				39,760	1,306,532
32	51.067	04-1105M	Loup Loup Ski Education	South Summit Trail Maintenance		50,000				50,000	1,356,532
33	49.600	04-1100D	State Parks	Twin Falls Trail Renovation	20,000					20,000	1,376,532
34	49.267	04-1080D	Natural Resources Dept of	Rattlesnake Mountain Trail Constru	50,000					50,000	1,426,532
34	49.267	04-1094D	State Parks	South Mountain Trails Renovation		40,000				40,000	1,466,532
36	48.533	04-1119D	Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe	Footbridge Access to Elwha River E		32,000				32,000	1,498,532
37	48.133	04-1153M	USFS WNF Leavenworth/Lk Wen F	Freund Canyon Loop Trail Rehab		11,150				11,150	1,509,682
38	47.933	04-1148M	USFS GPNF Cowlitz Valley RD	Cowlitz Valley Wilderness Trail Sup		41,100				41,100	1,550,782
39	47.133	04-1120D	Willapa Nat'l Wildlife Refuge	Willapa NWR Salmon Interpretive T	40,000					40,000	1,590,782
40	46.600	04-1072D	USFS GPNF Cowlitz Valley RD	Woods Creek Watchable Wildlife B	18,000					18,000	1,608,782
42	41.467	04-1085M	Snohomish County Parks Dept	Lord Hill Trail Maintenance Project		48,000				48,000	1,656,782
42	41.467	04-1090M	Snohomish County Parks Dept	Robe Canyon Trail Maintenance Pr		32,750				32,750	1,689,532
44	38.333	04-1161D	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	Lonesome Lake Picnic Shelter		10,000				10,000	1,699,532
											1,699,532
*7	18.200	04-1093E	USFS GPNF Mt Adams RD	Gifford Pinchot Snow Ranger 04-05					2,602	9,350	1,702,134
8	18.067	04-1028E	Backcountry Horsemen of WA	Leave No Trace 2005		10,000				10,000	1,712,134
9	17.667	04-1050E	USFS WNF Naches RD	Naches R.D. Wilderness Education Rai		8,863				8,863	1,720,997
10	17.133	04-1117E	USFS OKNF Methow RD	MVRD Snow Ranger					10,000	10,000	1,730,997
11	16.800	04-1475E	Jamestown SKlallam Tribe	Dungeness Sensitive Habitat Protectio		7,440				7,440	1,738,437
12	16.667	04-1104E	WA Water Trails Association	Water Trail Kayak Rangers		10,000				10,000	1,748,437
13	15.933	04-1075E	USFS GPNF Cowlitz Valley RD	Goat Rocks Wilderness Education		9,000				9,000	1,757,437
14	15.333	04-1142E	Mt. Baker Snoq. Natl Forest	Heather Meadows Education Project	10,000					10,000	1,767,437
15	14.933	04-1146E	USFS GPNF Cowlitz Valley RD	CVRD Wilderness Education		9,000				9,000	1,776,437

All proposals total = \$276,000 \$1,184,555 \$147,000 \$78,062 \$90,820 1,776,437

Above funding line total = \$138,000 \$776,087 \$107,000 \$78,062 \$78,218

Notes:

- \$1,131,536 New 2004 funding
- \$45,831 Carry over funding (\$41,961 to Gen. Recreation)
- \$1,177,367 Total 2004 funding available
- \$0 Remainder above recommended funding line
- Projects with italicized text (above) are "education"
- \$58,868 is the 5% maximum allowed for "education" ('04)
- \$3,870 Add to education from \$45,831 carry over
- \$62,738 total of "above line" education projects
- * Asterisk means project is partly above and below funding line

	Above line	\$961,149		
	40% is	\$452,614		
Above line	\$914,087		Above line	\$156,280
30% is	\$339,461		30% is	\$339,461
Difference	(\$574,626)		Difference	\$183,181

