

**INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING**

<i>DATE:</i>	<i>November 18 & 19, 2004</i>	<i>PLACE: Room 175 a & b</i>
<i>TIME:</i>	<i>10:00 a.m.</i>	<i>Olympia, Washington</i>

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER.....	1
WAC HEARING.....	1
MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORT.....	2
CONSENT CALENDAR.....	5
2005 IAC MEETING SCHEDULE.....	5
WWRP OVERVIEW.....	6
WWRP HCA UNALLOCATED.....	6
40 TH ANNIVERSARY CELEBRATION.....	9
BOATING FACILITIES PROGRAM (BFP) STATE FUNDING.....	9
BFP LOCAL FUNDING.....	10
WWRP ORA UNALLOCATED AND 2 ND YEAR SET-ASIDE.....	11
EXECUTIVE SESSION.....	14
PROPOSED CHANGES TO BFP EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	14
WWRP UNALLOCATED DISCUSSION CONTINUED.....	15
WWRP REQUEST LEGISLATION.....	18
SSB 6242 UPDATE.....	20
STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION.....	20

**INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING**

DATE: November 18 & 19, 2004
TIME: 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 175 a & b
Olympia, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Bob Parlette	Wenatchee
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Bill Chapman	Mercer Island (first meeting)
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Chair Val Ogden called the meeting to order at 10:03 a.m. and welcomed new board member Bill Chapman to his first board meeting.

Changes to the agenda were reviewed:

- Removed changes to manual #3 from consent calendar,
- Added a January meeting to meeting schedule,
- Switched the order of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP) unallocated discussion,
- Added afternoon event for 40th anniversary celebration,
- Added short executive session prior to end of evening to discuss late breaking legal issue and start with executive session on day 2. The regular meeting will reconvene at 9:30 a.m., and
- May need to have another short executive session before the end of the meeting.

WAC HEARING

Director Laura Johnson conducted the hearing acting as the administrative hearings officer. Greg Lovelady assisted Director Johnson during this hearing. (See notebook item #2 for details.)

On November 18, 2004, the IAC held two adoption hearings, one on the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) program and one on the Nonhighway Off-road Vehicle Account (NOVA) program.

Greg presented the proposed WACs and outlined the adoption process for the board and audience.

Board Discussion:

Karen Daubert asked about the 30-year date in memorandum versus the 25-year in the presentation. Greg reported that the 30-year date was incorrect and should have been 25-years all along.

Bill Chapman asked where the WAC fit in with the policy guidelines and manuals. Greg explained the hierarchy of RCWs, WACs, and agency manuals.

Bob Parlette asked about the ALEA program and how it fits into the WWRP program and if these two programs could be combined.

Director Johnson reported that it would take legislative changes but may be something to look into in the future.

Public Testimony:

Greg received additional written comment this morning and reported to the board that it was received from a Department of Natural Resources (DNR) employee who had similar concerns to those already listed in the notebook. No other public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

Resolution #2004-36 – ALEA Program WAC

Larry Fairleigh **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-36 as presented. Bob Parlette **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-36 as presented.

Resolution #2004-37- NOVA Program WAC

Karen Daubert **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-37 as presented. Mark Quinn **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-37 as presented.

MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORT

Director's Report

Director Laura Johnson reported on staff activities since the last meeting. (See notebook item #3a for details.)

- Complimented Greg on another successful Trails Conference. This was the 6th Annual Trails Conference. Greg received an award of merit from the organizing committee for his efforts on these conferences.
- Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB) staff has been busy. Chair Ogden attended a SRFB meeting that was a joint meeting with the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) group. The IAC and SRFB will be having a joint meeting in 2005 to work on issues that are pertinent to both boards.

- Budget process is proceeding. Governor Locke used the Priorities of Government (POG) process again this year to prioritize the budget requests. Staff will keep the board updated on the governor's budget which should be released around December 18.
- The board will be celebrating IAC's 40th anniversary this afternoon.
- Director Johnson noted two Executive Orders (EO) from the governor. The first EO created the Governor's Monitoring Forum, which is administered by the IAC. The second created the Biodiversity Council, the IAC is currently housing the staff working on this effort. This may change once the Council identifies the executive director for this effort.

Financial Report

Rob Kirkwood presented this report to the board. (See notebook item #3b for details.)

Rob noted that he is still working on a new format for the budget report. Director Johnson noted that Rob is also working on a report for both the IAC and the SRFB providing answers to frequently asked budget questions so everyone will have the same data to answer questions.

Rob explained the status of the IAC budget and how much is currently committed and how much is still available to be awarded in grants. All uncommitted funds will need to be committed by the end of the biennium.

Rob also reviewed the historical perspective of the WWRP program.

Planning Related Status Report

Greg Lovelady provided this report to the board. (See notebook item #3c for details.)

Greg highlighted one item not mentioned in the notebook memorandum – how important the advisory committees are to the success of IAC. These are usually unpaid volunteers who spend many hours providing review, comment, and input to the board. The IAC has one advisory committee for each grant program.

Chair Ogden asked how the volunteers are recognized. Greg explained the resolution recognition process.

She then asked about a volunteer recognition ceremony.

Bob Parlette asked about the possibility of having a letter sent from the Chair each year to thank all the volunteers. The board liked this idea.

Director Johnson noted that another way to recognize the volunteers is when board members are available to stop into evaluation meetings and give a personal thank you. Staff will let board members know when these meetings will be held and where.

Greg reported that another spot providing recognition is on the IAC Web page.

Karen Daubert was pleased to see the letter to the Chelan PUD and wondered if there were other projects that the board should be helping with.

Jim Eychaner reported that there really aren't any current relicensing projects needing additional support.

Karen would like staff to keep the board updated on these items.

Bob reported that Chelan elections voted in two new commissioners that are very supportive of recreation.

Mark Quinn asked if IAC is automatically added to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) relicensing process or by invitation only. The question was in reference to the Priest River relicensing project. Jim reported that he has been involved in this for about five years although he hasn't heard from them on recreation specific issues yet.

The Puget Sound Energy agreement was approved on November 17th – Jim's efforts were well worth it on the recreational side of this project.

Larry Fairleigh reported that Jim has been very helpful. State Parks doesn't have any staff assigned to this effort and depends on Jim to represent the state's recreational interests.

Project Services Report

Neil Aaland provided this report to the board. (See notebook item #3d for details.)

Neil highlighted two projects:

- The Burnt Bridge Creek Greenways and Trails groundbreaking ceremony was held recently. This project will complete an 8-mile regional trail system. Chair Ogden was present for this groundbreaking and provided a little more detail on the ceremony. The project should be finished by next summer.
- The State Parks Sandfur Memorial Bridge was recently completed. This is a project that has been worked on for many years. Larry Fairleigh reported that this has been one of the most difficult projects State Parks has ever done. It connects east and west Spokane and is part of the Centennial Trail.

Communication Report

Susan Zemek provided this agenda item. (See notebook item #3e for more details.)

Susan is starting a new process where she will be sending news releases as soon as projects have been funded. This is one more step in the plan to publicize what the agency is doing.

Karen Daubert asked for a detailed report on Susan's communication audit once it has been completed.

CONSENT CALENDAR

See notebook item #4 for details.

Chair Ogden noted her appreciation of the thorough meeting minutes and encouraged board members to read through the minutes as a reminder of what was done at the last meeting.

Resolution #2004-38

Mark Quinn **MOVED** adoption of Consent Agenda Resolution #2004-38 as presented. Karen Daubert **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-38 as presented.

2005 IAC MEETING SCHEDULE

Director Johnson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #5 for details.)

Ms. Johnson discussed the need for an additional meeting in January. She suggested a half-day meeting without the usual staff reports but focus on two or three topics.

Board Discussion:

Bob Parlette reported that fog is always a consideration in January for flights.

The Legislative session will start on January 10th and Governor will be sworn in on January 12th.

Larry Fairleigh noted that January 12-14th is a Parks Commission meeting.

Chair Ogden suggested either January 26th or 27th late afternoon in the SeaTac area.

Larry would prefer the 27th, however, the 26th would work better for the rest of the board members.

Bob Parlette made a **MOTION** to add a January 26th meeting in the SeaTac area starting at 4:00 p.m. Karen Daubert **SECONDED** the motion. Board **APPROVED**.

Resolution #2004-39

Mark Quinn **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-39 as amended to add January meeting date. Bill Chapman **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-39 as amended to add a January 2005 meeting.

Director Johnson reported that there was a card ready for signature by the board members providing support to citizen member, Yvonne Yokota, and her husband, Steve Tharinger, while Steve is going through chemotherapy treatment.

WWRP OVERVIEW

The board decided to have a brief overview of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP) before breaking for lunch.

Jim Fox provided background information on the decisions to be made during the afternoon session. (See notebook items #6 and #7 for details.)

Jim reviewed the timeline for the WWRP program. At this meeting, the board will decide the WWRP set-aside amount for the Outdoor Recreation Account (ORA) program and unallocated percentages for both the ORA and the Habitat Conservation Account (HCA) program. Final approval of the lists will be at the July 2005 meeting.

Jim discussed the reasoning behind pre-allocating the unallocated funds. This is to provide the Legislature a list of projects proposed for funding at the different funding levels.

Mark Quinn asked what would happen if the Legislature acted on HB 2275 - would this process go away?

Jim responded that it would go away but not during this biennium.

Things the board might want to consider when making these decisions:

- Historic funding,
- Number of requests in each category,
- Total dollar amount requested in each category,
- Geographic distribution of projects,
- Finding and recommendations in IAC plans and needs assessments,
- Break points in evaluation scores,
- Stakeholder input, and
- Ease of explaining how the board made its decision.

As the newest board member, Bill Chapman appreciated the unallocated presentation.

Jim reported that the HCA doesn't have the set-aside issue although the board may want to discuss this in the afternoon session.

He reviewed the HCA amounts and unallocated recommendation by staff. The board may want to consider the same list of criteria as under the ORA program.

WWRP HCA UNALLOCATED

Marguerite Austin and Mark Jarasitis presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #7 and handouts for details.)

Marguerite showed the various outcomes using the different percentages on the project lists.

Board Discussion:

Chair Ogden asked about the score for the Cypress Island Natural Area Project. Marguerite and Craig Partridge explained that the DNR has been working on this project for quite some time and that this is a very desirable acquisition project in total. This may not be the best individual parcel of property but it is needed to complete the total protection package.

Karen Daubert asked about the reasoning behind the recommended percentages. Marguerite explained the percentages used in the past and why staff recommended the percentages they did in this grant cycle.

Mark Quinn explained to the board that when there is a tie between two projects both being from the same agency, staff works with the agency to choose which project to fund.

Bill Chapman explained that having the unallocated funds move around reflects the board's intention that these funds go to the best of the best projects, no matter what category.

Larry Fairleigh asked if the Natural Areas category is currently favoring state agencies.

Marguerite responded that with reduced funding and the quality of habitat, this board convened a panel to assess how projects are evaluated. Through this assessment the criteria changed to focus more on biological and ecological factors. The other change was to the population requirements to include urban growth boundaries instead of just population densities of 5,000.

Larry asked about whether the projects are required to have habitat plans in place. Marguerite noted that questions on the evaluation forms ask if there are stewardship and monitoring plans in place and what resources are available for project upkeep. Director Johnson noted that many times the project sponsor for a project may only be the bookkeeper and that there are additional partnerships working on the project.

Karen summarized the discussions that the IAC's legislative subcommittee has been having. The most participated-in activity is now wildlife viewing and walking and the subcommittee is recommending adding this as a category to WWRP. The subcommittee didn't talk about percentages but proposed 30-30-30-10 for the pilot to fund these projects.

Craig Partridge is very sympathetic to this category type but a policy question he has is, why are we trying to identify habitat funds for this rather than in a recreational category?

Mark said the subcommittee discussed this at their last meeting and believe it is passive recreation in a natural setting. He believes the same as Craig - why would the board take funding from habitat projects rather than use recreational funds?

Bill Chapman asked Marguerite about the weighting changes, did this move the projects out of the urban area? Marguerite responded no, it just broadens the area that is eligible.

The board discussed the policies and reasons for the different percentages and if evaluation questions need to be changed or categories adjusted to get the best projects.

Public Testimony:

Mike Ryherd, Washington Wildlife and Recreation Coalition (WWRC) lobbyist, provided testimony on this agenda item, explaining changes that will be presented in the WWRC legislative bill. He also presented potential pilot projects. Recommendation is to start a pilot project in 2006, bringing funds from both the habitat and recreational categories so that there is habitat to watch and a nice blend.

Bill Chapman presented the WWRC discussions on the proposed legislation and his recollection on urban wildlife projects. Need some balance on the projects but prefers to do this through weighting a portion of the evaluation process.

Larry Fairleigh is less concerned with who the applicant is, but what the outcome is. Is the habitat preservation goal being met or not?

Bill Dygert, Clark County resident, has worked with IAC since the late 1970's and wanted to comment on two points, both connected to the unallocated funding. First, to specifically support a request from Clark County to reach the Whipple Creek project for funding. Second he wanted to speak to the importance of keeping local agencies engaged in the Habitat Conservation Account side of the WWRP. Clark County depends on grant funding to develop habitat projects. They use conservation futures, state and federal grants, and the Urban Wildlife Habitat (UWH) program is a critical program, not only for Clark County but also for other counties around the state. Local agencies are poorly represented on the list – some reasons are in the policies and also the local matching requirements make it harder for the local agencies to develop projects at the same level as the state agency projects. It doesn't mean the projects aren't important to the local communities. Hoping that there will be consideration for how those kinds of projects and how the towns, cities, and counties can engage in the HCA. The 2004 habitat project list has only three local agency projects. These projects ranked third, tied for fourth, and ninth. Due to the cost of the top two state agency projects, the local agencies may be completely removed from this funding cycle. Not here to speak poorly of state agencies but local agencies also need to be included in habitat conservation.

Mr. Dygert then gave the board reasons to provide funding to the Whipple Creek project – this project is very important and is being threatened.

Jeroen Kok, Vancouver-Clark County Parks and Recreation, provided testimony as the Clark County representative. Handed out a letter of support requesting the allocation is at a high enough percentage to fund their acquisition project. Clark County is in support of the pilot project but would not want to see it put in place at this time since then there wouldn't be enough funding to fund their acquisition project this cycle.

Bill Blair, Seattle Parks and Recreation, supports the pilot project. Seattle Parks and Recreation has applied for grants in the past under the urban wildlife category but haven't lately since they haven't ranked very well due to criteria changes.

40TH ANNIVERSARY CELEBRATION

The board took a short break to celebrate the 40th anniversary of the IAC. Several past employees, board members, and interested parties attended the celebration.

BOATING FACILITIES PROGRAM (BFP) STATE FUNDING

Kammie Bunes presented this agenda item. (See agenda item #9 for details.)

Kammie reviewed the program specifics. These requirements pertain to both the state and local boating programs.

Director Johnson noted that, over the past 40 years, 542 projects have been funded through this program for \$82 million with about \$53 million in matching funds.

First two projects were presented:

- #04-1232 Camano Island Boat Launch improvements, State Parks
- #04-1228 Cape Disappointment Boat Launch and Breakwater Phase 2, State Parks

Board Discussion:

Larry Fairleigh reported that the Cape Disappointment project is part of a multi-million dollar renovation for the Lewis and Clark celebration.

Kammie noted an updated Table 1 was handed out for inclusion on Resolution 2004-42.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

Resolution #2004-42

Bob Parlette **MOVED** adoption of Boating Facilities Program – State Agency Projects 2005-2007 Resolution #2004-42 as presented. Karen Daubert **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-42 as presented.

BOATING FACILITIES PROGRAM LOCAL FUNDING

Dan Haws presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #10 for details.)

Top two projects were presented:

- #04-1337 Columbia River Boat Launch Planning – Port of Camas-Washougal
- #04-1316 Crawford Park Boat and Fishing Facility – City of Prosser

Board Discussion:

Bill Chapman asked about the existing homes in the planning of the Columbia River project. Kammie noted that the people have been notified of the plans and so far there have been no complaints about the proposal.

Chair Ogden asked if the planning grant includes all the amenities presented in the Crawford project.

Dan reported that all aspects would be included in the grant.

Public Testimony:

Bonnie Knight, Executive Director of Port of Allyn, appreciates support from IAC. This year they got caught in a Catch 22 situation. They have been trying to redo the dock for many years. They put in for a planning grant in the past and were successful. They then needed to wait to apply for the construction grant as permits weren't in place yet. They finally got the permits in place and submitted the development application this year. They thought they were doing very well during the evaluation process but then the ranking came out and they didn't do as well as they thought they would. If not funded this year they will have wasted \$80,000 and will have to start from square one again.

Ben Meservey, Chairman, Allyn Port Commissioners, appreciates what the IAC does. He was the planning director for Aberdeen when the IAC first started.

Judy Scott, Port of Allyn Commissioner, asked the board to reconsider the funding decision for the Port of Allyn.

Chair Ogden asked when the permits will expire. Bonnie reported that the first permit will expire this year.

Bob Parlette is very sympathetic to her plight and has asked the staff in the past if we could incorporate a process to include a linkage between planning and construction grants. Bob believes the ranking process should take this into account. This is a problem much of the time, especially with water front projects.

Mark Quinn noted that now that the issue has been identified it does need to be fixed. He asked Bonnie if she has exhausted every possibility to extending the permits since the Corps takes so much time to get the permits issued in the first place.

Resolution #2004-43

Bob Parlette **MOVED** adoption of Boating Facilities Program – Local Agency Projects #2004-43 as presented. Bill Chapman **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-43 as presented.

Director Johnson noted that staff is aware of the request from Port of Allyn and Bob Parlette and staff will be looking at changes in the next grant cycle and will bring options to the board for decision-making at a future meeting.

Karen would like to have the funding amounts looked at also.

Director Johnson pointed out the poetry book handed out during the 40th celebration from Bob Wilder and let the board members know that a packet of information will be sent to the board including the first meeting minutes and other historic information.

The board agreed to listen to the rest of the unallocated and set-aside background information and staff recommendations but would like to wait to make their decision until day-two of the meeting.

WWRP ORA UNALLOCATED AND 2ND YEAR SET-ASIDE

Marguerite Austin continued to review the unallocated and set-aside information for board discussion and decision.

Public Testimony:

Suzanne Simmons, Seattle Parks and Recreation, would like to consider a different percentage with the local parks. She would support the historic percentage and the staff recommendation at \$60 million level but would like the board to up percentage to 45% if the funding comes in at \$45 million.

Chair Ogden noted that if the percentage is increased in one category then it would have to be decreased in another category. She asked for justification.

Suzanne noted that a higher percentage of projects are funded in some of the categories and this may be a reason to up the percentage in the local parks since there are so many projects in the local parks category.

Susan Golub, City of Seattle, is also requesting the board increase the unallocated amount to 45% in local parks category.

Chair Ogden asked if the board was ready to make decision or if they needed more information.

Director Johnson clarified that the two categories are split into two Resolutions: #2004-40 for the ORA category, and #2004-41 for the HCA category.

Bob Parlette provided his historic perspective on the reasoning behind the percentages and believes the staff recommendation looks fair and makes sense.

Bill Chapman also believes the staff recommendation looks like it makes sense and that staff did a good job in its recommendation.

Karen strongly disagrees with staff recommendation and believes the percentages should be 40% local parks, 20% state parks, 25% trails, and 15% water access.

Craig Partridge responded that the change in percentages seems to be somewhat arbitrary but by missing the morning discussion he doesn't have all the background. Staff may have a reason for the recommendation.

Karen responded that staff did present the criteria they looked at when making the decision but the percentages could be changed by the board.

Craig asked if the criteria is particularly weighted or not.

Marguerite reviewed the staff process in making the decisions on the percentages.

Bill Chapman has looked at which projects would lose funding in other categories and he didn't see a category that has a project that he would want to not get funding.

Larry supports the staff recommendation and would like to see the \$60 million level of funding but is looking at the lists at the \$45 million level.

Director Johnson pointed out that this discussion highlights the reason that staff believes the unallocated process needs to be changed through legislation.

Karen would have liked to have had the staff recommendation in the packet so she could have reviewed it prior to the meeting.

Discussed a Watchable Wildlife category and whether to put aside some funding for this from both the ORA and HCA categories. The concept is not defined and holding aside this money may cause problems during the legislative session. Several of the board members would rather wait on this and talk to the Legislature about a new category as the board would need to have legislative approval to add this category. There are federal funds for watchable wildlife.

The board decided to table Resolutions #2004-40 and 41 until day-two and will continue discussion in the morning.

Director Johnson suggested beginning tomorrow's meeting with a discussion on legislation.

Staff will calculate the tables using Karen's percentage option and provide this to the board on day two of the meeting.

Director Johnson asked if the board would be available to extend the meeting time until 1:00 p.m. tomorrow. The board approved this request.

Director Johnson recognized Greg Lovelady's 30th anniversary with the IAC.

The meeting recessed at 5:12 for the evening.

Day 2

DATE: November 19, 2004
TIME: 10:30 a.m.

PLACE: Room 175 a & b
Olympia, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Bob Parlette	Wenatchee
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Bill Chapman	Mercer Island (first meeting)
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

The board started day two with an Executive Session.

The regular Meeting reconvened at 10:30 a.m.

Chair Ogden thanked everyone for their patience in waiting for the meeting to begin.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

The chair informed the audience that the Executive Session focused on two issues:

- Setting up personnel evaluation process and for support of director with the new administration, and
- Discussion of filing declaratory judgment against Thurston County concerning the Thurston County ORV Park closure (with counsel present).

Bob Parlette made a **MOTION** to file a declaratory judgment against Thurston County. Mark Quinn **SECONDED** the motion. The board unanimously **APPROVED** to file a declaratory judgment against Thurston County.

Director Johnson informed the board that the declaratory judgment will be filed either the afternoon or on Monday. This document is a public document and a copy can be provided to anyone requesting a copy.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO BFP EVALUATION CRITERIA

Jim Eychaner presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #11 for details.)

Jim reviewed the notebook memorandum and explained the proposed changes to the board.

Board Discussion:

Karen Daubert asked about the situation with the Port of Allyn.

Marguerite Austin responded that no grant is ever guaranteed. There is a question that is asked about readiness to start and Port of Allyn did score the highest in the readiness question but scored lower on other questions. The board may want to look at scoring for planning and readiness. In the past we have not had the problem with a project just getting a one-year permit as with the Port of Allyn. There is also potential for this project to get funding as an alternate.

Bill Chapman noted the value of planning is that you hold the decision on a funding grant until you see the plan. This is the way to make sure it is a quality plan for both recreation and the environment.

Bob Parlette responded that the issue for him is the time when you have gotten the planning grant, as well as the restoration grant, in one cycle then when they come back for a second phase the project is not funded.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

Resolution #2004-44

Bill Chapman **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-44 as presented. Craig Partridge **SECONDED** the motion. Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-44 as presented.

Director Johnson reported that the boating advisory committee did have a discussion about the 50-50 split of funds between state and local boating proposals. Citizen and advisory committee comments didn't reflect the need to change this process during this legislative session. Staff recommends not making any changes to this at this time; the board concurs.

WWRP UNALLOCATED DISCUSSION CONTINUED

Watchable Wildlife

Craig Partridge noted that the discussion held last night was on a new Watchable Wildlife category and he wanted to have the legislative policy discussion prior to finishing the unallocated discussion today.

Chair Ogden felt that yesterday was just the first discussion and that the board isn't ready to make a decision on the topic today. It is something for the board to pursue in the future.

Karen Daubert noted that this might be a discussion topic for the January meeting.

Chair Ogden agreed this is a policy issue the board wants to follow-up on. She would like to have a subcommittee including WWRC, counties, cities, and board representatives to come up with a proposal for the board to work through. She would like the subcommittee to meet in early January with other stakeholders along with those

mentioned and bring a proposal to the board at a future meeting. The board concurred with this suggestion.

HCA Funding

Craig discussed his proposed recommendation for the unallocated funds. He suggested a way to have the locals compete against locals and not compete with the state agencies. He would like the local match issue be brought to the board for more discussion.

Larry believes Craig is on the right track in his proposal.

Bill Chapman likes the orderly approach very much. The example Craig presented has him agreeing to portions and in disagreement on other issues such as landowner problems when an area is urbanizing so that a state agency is the landowner when the area is urbanized. This doesn't usually work very well.

Craig proposed his suggestions on percentages for HCA Unallocated. He would like this process to be as non-arbitrary as possible. He believes consistency with previous experience is a good guide and to focus more on the quality of the project than the quantity of projects funded. Quality is harder to come by and the board should be looking at what is actually getting funded by changing the lists. In looking at the list with a realistic amount of \$45 million, Craig would suggest a 40-40-20 percent split. Craig then went over the list and how the logic behind his proposal fit. He is suggesting picking up the quality projects.

Bill asked how many projects are funded in order and how many drop off the list.

Director Johnson reported that all projects are funded in rank order.

Marguerite Austin noted that we have funded all the alternates on the Urban Wildlife list many times in the past where on the Critical Habitat and Natural Areas lists there are usually one or two projects that drop off the list.

Mark Jarasitis presented to the board what the list would look like with Craig's proposed percentages. Craig would like to fund whole projects as much as possible and the quality projects. Craig's proposal is to not change from what they did from 2003-2005.

Karen suggested allocating 60% to state agencies and 40% to local agencies in the Urban Wildlife category. This would pick up the Kitsap, Clark, and Bainbridge Island projects.

Marguerite provided the board with the definitions of the Natural Areas and Urban Wildlife categories.

Craig noted that his proposal would solve an administrative issue rather than solve the policy issue. He made a **MOTION** to use 40-40-20 for the percentages. Mark Quinn **SECONDED** the motion.

Board Discussion:

Bob Parlette asked if there is a way to go with Karen's proposal.

Chair Ogden responded that there could be an amendment or a separate proposal for Karen's suggestion.

Karen would like to reduce the Urban Wildlife category percentage from the staff recommended 30% to 20%, which loses the Kitsap project.

Marguerite responded that it doesn't eliminate the project but lowers the match.

Larry Fairleigh asked if the board knows enough about this project to know if the project could still happen with the less money or would a lower percentage kill the project.

Karen believes staff's recommendation is the lowest to go with Urban Wildlife. Bill Chapman agrees with Karen and will vote against Craig's proposal.

Vote on the motion to change to a 40-40-20 percent split.

Craig Partridge, Mark Quinn, and Larry Fairleigh voted for the motion. Karen Daubert, Bill Chapman, and Yvonne Yokota voted against the proposal. Chair Ogden broke the tie, voting against the proposal. Motion **FAILED**.

Karen Daubert made a **MOTION** to approve the staff recommendation of a 35-35-30 percent split. Bob Parlette **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED**.

Staff reported that should the funding line fall on one of the tied projects than it will come back to the board to make the decision on which project to fund in the tie.

Craig thanked the board for the discussion and the staff for coming up with the percentage numbers.

Mark Quinn doesn't want the board to forget Director Johnson's discussion yesterday on the need for a larger policy discussion on the unallocated. He also wanted to remind the board the reason for the urban wildlife program was for protecting important habitat around the state.

ORA Unallocated

Karen Daubert would like to address the local parks category recommending two **MOTIONS**; one to abandon the 2nd year set aside amount and two to put this funding into the local parks category only. With these changes, Karen made the **MOTION** to adopt the staff recommendation in terms of allocations of:

- Local Parks Category – 30%
- State Parks Category – 25%
- Trails Category – 30%
- Water Access Category – 15%

Director Johnson reminded the board that the statute that created the WWRP requires a list of projects annually and staff would probably need to make sure it is legal to make

this change. May need to put aside at least a small amount of funds for the second year list to meet the statutory requirements. Wanted the board to be aware of this requirement and the need to get advice from the Attorney General's office on the legality of the proposed change.

Marguerite showed the board what the chart would look like at the \$60 million level. Statutory requirements on acquisitions would get to partial funding of project number 47 (Burlington Northern Landing Acquisition) and on the development side would get to partial funding of project 38 (Grass Lake Refuge Phase 1 Development).

The \$45 million list would get to project 44 on acquisition (West Monroe Sports Facility) and on the development side there would be about \$37,000 for project 30 (Hansen Park – Amenities and Development).

As a friendly amendment, Bill Chapman suggested reserving 5% of the local parks category slated for acquisition in the second year.

Discussed the amount of funding to have reserved for second year set-aside. Bob Parlette believes logic is to separate the set aside amount apart from the unallocated issue. Need to decide on the policy on whether to keep the current set aside amount for second year or to go down to the proposed 5% set aside amount.

Staff reported that with a 5% set aside there would be \$375,000 at the \$60 million funding level and \$281,250 at the \$45 million funding level.

Larry Fairleigh is in favor of Bill's suggestion for a reduction in the percentage amount from 25% to 5%. Amended the **MOTION** to include the holding 5% second year set aside for acquisition. **APPROVED.**

Karen Daubert **MOVED** adoption of Resolution #2004-40 with the following percentages:

- Local Parks Category – 30%
- State Parks Category – 25%
- Trails Category – 30%
- Water Access Category – 15%
- With a 5% set aside second year for acquisition only

SECONDED by Bob Parlette. Resolution #2004-40 **APPROVED** as amended.

WWRP REQUEST LEGISLATION

Chair Ogden introduced this agenda item noting that there was a subcommittee consisting of Mark Quinn, Karen Daubert, and Chair Ogden. This group had two meetings and received a lot of public comment on suggested changes presented at the September IAC meeting.

Jim Fox reviewed the process and proposed changes and comments received. (See notebook item #8 and meeting handouts for details.)

After meetings and comments, the subcommittee recommended not pursuing two items:

- Allow funding in state parks, trails, and water access categories to be used for renovation, and
- Provide grants specifically for project design and permitting.

Chair Ogden noted that the board needs to decide which bills to provide support to.

Jim drafted a bill so if the board decides to file it then it will be ready to go. Until then it is just a working document.

Director Johnson reminded the board that board members are covered under the public disclosure but the agency also needs to file a report on legislative activities. Board members need to let Director Johnson and/or Jim know if you are in town on IAC matters before the Legislature so that this can be recorded in our report.

Director Johnson also discussed the confirmation hearings. Staff has a list of possible questions that will be asked of the board members. Staff will provide this information to the board members before the confirmation hearings.

Jim reported that the new governor will probably have a review process for any legislation.

Chair Ogden let the board know that there are copies of the possible bill for board review.

At the January meeting the board will discuss legislation that the IAC will want to support and which bills to stay neutral on.

Public Testimony:

Bill Robinson, representing WWRC, talked about HB 2275. Bill reviewed two handouts developed by the WWRC and handed out by staff.

Bill will provide the board a copy of the final draft bill. He explained the difference between this bill and the one that will be submitted by Senator Parlette. The difference centers around farmland preservation.

Farmland groups oppose this bill because they oppose any more acquisition of public lands.

Larry asked if a state agency could buy the land and sell it back with conservation easements.

Bill responded that only local agencies would be eligible under this proposal.

Bill Chapman responded that the only farmers that would be part of this process are farmers who want to sell their land or work with a conservation easement.

SSB 6242 UPDATE

Neil Aaland introduced this agenda item and reviewed his handout.

Gary Cooper provided the board with an update on where he is with this project. (See two handouts for details.)

Gary showed the board his proposed process for decision-making.

Chair Ogden was impressed with how much Gary has already prepared in his short time with the agency.

Bob Parlette asked if the tax economic impact group been identified yet. Gary responded that not yet and he may go with a consultant instead of a subcommittee.

Bob suggested including the Association of Counties in this process.

Public Testimony:

No public testimony was presented on this agenda item.

STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION

The Board decided to postpone the major discussion on Item #15 – Strategic Planning Session until the January meeting. They asked for a quick overview of the options on the mission statement so they will be ready to discuss at the January meeting.

Susan Zemek presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #15 for details.)

Chair Ogden asked for a copy of the proposed rewrite to go to the board so they can review before the January meeting.

Karen wants to spend a short time on the mission statement then go straight into the strategic planning session at the January meeting.

Chair Ogden responded that this is the intent of the January meeting.

Bill Chapman will provide his comments to Susan before the January meeting. Words that he would like to see included are "land", "efficiency", and "integrity."

Greg Lovelady provided the board with an outline of the process planned for January. At that time, the board would decide on a strategic plan and/or an event to be held next year.

Susan said the process would be used to decide if the board really wants to do a full strategic plan or if they want to do something else.

The board discussed the need to convene the January meeting earlier than 4:00 p.m. to have enough time for all the issues needing discussion at this meeting. Decided to start sometime after noon either 1:00 or 2:00 p.m. concluding no later than 9:00 p.m. with a working dinner and using a workshop format for this meeting. Chair Ogden and Director Johnson will develop the draft agenda and get it out for board comment prior to finalizing.

Karen Daubert pointed out that the State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP) was a very helpful document when thinking through the process and encouraged other board members to review if they haven't yet.

Meeting adjourned at 2:17 p.m.

Val Ogden, Chair

Next Meeting: January 26, 2005
 DoubleTree Guest Suites
 Tukwila, Washington

Resolution #2004 - 36
ALEA: WAC 286-04, WAC 286-13, WAC 286-42

WHEREAS, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) requires Washington Administrative Codes for the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) Program to accomplish several objectives, including the creation of a directive mandating use of IAC's policy and procedure manuals and to provide project conversion rules; and

WHEREAS, a proposal, herein shown as Attachment 3a, filed with the State Code Reviser on October 5, 2004, has been developed which requires use of appropriate IAC policy and procedure manuals, provides project conversion rules, and other important Program guidance; and

WHEREAS, this proposal is accord with existing agency policies, state law, and the intent to clearly communicate fair and consistent rules; and

WHEREAS, this proposal has been considered in a public review process which included filing with the State Code Reviser, subsequent appearance in the *Washington Register*, and a special mailing to known interested parties and IAC's advisory committees where comments were encouraged;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC directs • the deletion of all provisions (highlighted) addressing "Life Estates" (definition, WAC 286-04-010; rules, 286-42-070) which require further study; • removal of a technical conflict in WAC 286-42-060(2)(b) by deleting the phrase "of the lease" and adding the term "specified"; and • changing the lease period in 286-42-060(2)(d) from 50 years to the more accepted 25 years; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC directs its staff to undertake steps necessary for final filing and implementing the rule changes specified above and in the aforementioned attachment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC also directs its staff to respond to any comments received regarding the adopted proposal with information on how the final adoption reflects IAC's consideration of the comments, or why it fails to do so.

Resolution moved by: _____ Larry Fairleigh _____

Resolution seconded by: _____ Bob Parlette _____

Adopted — Defeated — Deferred (underline)

November 18, 2004

Resolution #2004 - 37
NOVA: WAC 286-13, WAC 286-26

WHEREAS, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation's (IAC) Washington Administrative Codes for the Nonhighway and Off-Road Vehicle Activities (NOVA) Program are in need of updating for several reasons, including to establish consistency with the board's NOVA Plan and to re-organize/update provisions relating to conversions; and

WHEREAS, a proposal, herein shown as Attachment 3b, filed with the State Code Reviser on October 5, 2004, has been developed which accomplishes the above objectives; and

WHEREAS, this proposal is accord with existing agency policies, state law, and the intent to clearly communicate fair and consistent rules; and

WHEREAS, this proposal has been considered in a public review process which included filing with the State Code Reviser, subsequent appearance in the *Washington Register*, and a special mailing to known interested parties and IAC's advisory committees where comments were encouraged;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC directs • the deletion of all provisions (highlighted) addressing "Life Estates" (definition, WAC 286-04-010; rules, 286-26-095) which require further study; • removal of a technical conflict in WAC 286-26-090(2)(b) by deleting the phrase "of the lease" and adding the term "specified"; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC directs its staff to undertake steps necessary for final filing and implementing the rule changes specified above and in the aforementioned attachment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC also directs its staff to respond to any comments received regarding the adopted proposal with information on how the final adoption reflects IAC's consideration of the comments, or why it fails to do so.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Mark Quinn

Adopted — Defeated — Deferred (underline)

November 18, 2004

RESOLUTION #2004-38
November 2004 Consent Agenda

BE IT RESOLVED, that the following November 2004, Consent Agenda items are approved:

- a) Approval of IAC Minutes – September 9 & 10, 2004,
- b) Time Extensions, and
- c) Manual #4 Updates

Moved by: _____ Mark Quinn _____

Seconded by: _____ Karen Daubert _____

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline result)

Date: _____ November 18, 2004 _____

RESOLUTION #2004-39

ADOPTION OF THE 2005 IAC MEETING SCHEDULE

BE IT RESOLVED, the following schedule for 2005 Regular and Special meetings of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation is hereby adopted; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, staff is directed to publish notice in the State Register accordingly.

January 26, 2005	Wednesday	TBD
March 10 & 11, 2005	Thursday and Friday	Olympia or TBD
May 12, 2005 (Combined with SRFB)	Thursday	TBD
July 7 & 8, 2005	Thursday and Friday	TBD
September 15 & 16, 2005	Thursday and Friday	TBD
November 15, 2005	Tuesday	Olympia

Resolution moved by: Bob Parlette

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: November 18, 2004

**RESOLUTION #2004-40
DISTRIBUTION OF 2005-07 ORA FUNDS**

WHEREAS, in addressing use of funds from the Outdoor Recreation Account, RCW 79A.15.050 (2) states "In distributing these funds, the committee retains discretion to meet the most pressing needs for state and local parks, trails, and water access sites... "; and

WHEREAS, RCW 79A.15.050 (1)(d) states that unallocated funds "... shall be used by the committee to fund high priority acquisition and development needs for parks, trails, and water access sites."; and

WHEREAS, the IAC has analyzed the need for funding in the Local Parks, State Parks, Trails, and Water Access categories of the Outdoor Recreation Account; and

WHEREAS, the Local Parks category has an annual funding cycle, necessitating that some ORA funds be set aside for the second year of the biennium;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the IAC set aside 5% of the money appropriated to the Local Parks category of the Outdoor Recreation Account for the second year of the 2005-07 biennium; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the portion of the Outdoor Recreation Account designated as unallocated shall be distributed to categories for the first year of the 2005-07 biennium (FY04) as follows:

Local Parks Category	30%
State Parks Category	25%
Trails Category	30%
Water Access Category	15%

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the funding designated for the second year (FY06) in the Local Parks category is reserved for acquisition projects by local governments; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that after final adoption of the 2005-07 Capital Budget, IAC may adjust the funding lines on ranked project lists in order to meet the mandatory acquisition requirements for the local parks, state parks and water access categories at appropriated funding levels.

Moved by: Karen Daubert

Seconded by: Bob Parlette

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED

Date: November 19, 2005

RESOLUTION #2004-41

DISTRIBUTION OF 2005-07 HCA UNALLOCATED FUNDS

WHEREAS, in addressing use of funds from the Habitat Conservation Account, RCW 79A.15.040 (2) states "In distributing these funds, the committee retains discretion to meet the most pressing needs for critical habitat, natural areas, and urban wildlife habitat... "; and

WHEREAS, RCW 79A.15.040 (1)(d) states that unallocated funds "... shall be used by the committee to fund high priority acquisition and development needs for critical habitat, natural areas, and urban wildlife habitat."; and

WHEREAS, the IAC has analyzed the need for funding in the Critical Habitat, Natural Areas, and Urban Wildlife Habitat categories of the Habitat Conservation Account; and

WHEREAS, the IAC finds that people of the state of Washington desire to engage in passive recreation in natural settings but that these type of projects currently do not compete well in the Urban Wildlife Habitat category;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the portion of the Habitat Conservation Account designated as unallocated shall be distributed to categories for the 2005-07 biennium as follows:

Critical Habitat category	35%
Natural Areas category	35%
Urban Wildlife Habitat category	30%

Moved by: Karen Daubert

Seconded by: Bob Parlette

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED

Date: November 19, 2004

RESOLUTION #2004-42
Boating Facilities Program - State Agency Projects
2005-2007 Biennium

WHEREAS, twelve State Agency Boating Facilities Program projects are submitted for fiscal year 2006 funding consideration by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC), and

WHEREAS, all twelve projects have been evaluated in open public meetings against an evaluation instrument approved by the Board, and

WHEREAS, all projects meet program requirements as stipulated in statute, administrative rule, and policy, and

WHEREAS, allocation of unused BFP-State funds to the Lake Sammamish Handling Piers project will expedite use of existing monies available for BFP-State projects,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects as depicted in Table 1, *Boating Facilities Program – State, Fiscal Year 2006 (2004-42)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all projects shown in Table 1, *Boating Facilities Program – State, Fiscal Year 2006* be forwarded to Governor Locke and the 2005 Legislature for executive and legislative approval, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board approves funding for the projects as specified in Table 1 and the Director be authorized to promptly execute a project agreement for funding of the Lake Sammamish Handling Piers project using available BFP-State funds, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director be authorized to execute any and all project agreements necessary to facilitate prompt project implementation of the remaining FY2006 projects following executive approval and legislative review.

Resolution moved by: *Bob Parlette*

Resolution seconded by: *Karen Daubert*

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: November 18, 2004



Resolution: 2004-42

**Table 1
Boating Facilities Program - State
State Fiscal Year 2006**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Request	Staff Recommends	Cumulative Amt
1 of 12	68.900	04-1232 D	Camano Island - Boat Launch Improvements	State Parks	\$416,360	\$416,360	\$416,360
2 of 12	66.100	04-1228 D	Cape D. Launch and Breakwater Phase 2	State Parks	\$1,731,584	\$1,731,584	\$2,147,944
3 of 12	62.900	04-1377 D	Pleasant Harbor Phase I	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$481,740	\$481,740	\$2,629,684
4 of 12	62.600	04-1229 D	Lake Sammamish Handling Piers	State Parks	\$346,000	\$346,000 (1)	\$2,975,684
5 of 12	60.300	04-1254 N	Misery Point Launch Planning	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$3,175,684
6 of 12	56.400	04-1346 D	Long Lake Public Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$124,650	\$124,650	\$3,300,334
7 of 12	55.500	04-1400 D	Waitts Lake Public Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$289,103	\$289,103	\$3,589,437
8 of 12	55.000	04-1396 D	Silver Lake Public Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$287,427	\$287,427	\$3,876,864
9 of 12	54.200	04-1397 D	Samish Lake Public Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$257,533	\$189,136	\$4,066,000
10 of 12	52.700	04-1398 D	Newman Lake Public Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$185,770	Alternate	
11 of 12	50.800	04-1342 D	Pattison Lake Boat Launch	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$223,351	Alternate	
12 of 12	46.000	04-1248 N	Point No Point Launch Planning	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$258,500	Alternate	
					\$4,802,018		

NOTE: Estimated funds available \$4,066,000 (\$3,700,000 new funds 2005-2007, \$366,000 unexpended funds)

(1) 04-1229 - Direct available unexpended funds to this project. This is the only project with existing legislative authority.

Prepared: 11/12/2004

RESOLUTION #2004-43
Boating Facilities Program - Local Agency Projects
2003-2005 Biennium

WHEREAS, fifteen Local Agency Boating Facilities Program projects are submitted for fiscal year 2005 funding consideration by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC), and

WHEREAS, all fifteen projects have been evaluated against the evaluation instrument approved by the Board, and

WHEREAS, these project evaluations occurred in open public meetings against an evaluation instrument approved by the Board, and

WHEREAS, all projects meet program requirements as stipulated in statute, administrative rule, and policy,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranked list of projects as depicted in Table 1 - *Boating Facilities Program – Local, Fiscal Year 2005 (2004-43)*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves Fiscal Year 2005 funding for projects as depicted in this table, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director is authorized to execute any and all agreements necessary to facilitate prompt project implementation.

Resolution moved by : _____ Bob Parlette _____

Resolution seconded by: _____ Bill Chapman _____

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: November 18, 2004



**Table 1
Boating Facilities Program - Local
State Fiscal Year 2005**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Request	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Staff	
								Recommends	Cumulative A
1 of 15	66.300	04-1337 N	Columbia River Boat Launch - Planning	Camas-Washougal Port of	\$200,000	\$300,000	\$500,000	\$200,000	\$200,0
2 of 15	61.600	04-1316 N	Crawford Park Boat and Fishing Facility	Prosser City of	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$215,0
3 of 15	61.100	04-1367 P	Port Hadlock Planning: Ramp & Parking	Port Townsend Port of	\$96,744	\$36,693	\$133,437	\$96,744	\$311,7
4 of 15	60.300	04-1603 D	Public Floats and Handicap Access	South Bend City of	\$421,611	\$140,537	\$562,148	\$421,611	\$733,3
5 of 15	59.800	04-1191 D	Point Defiance Boat Ramp Expansion	Tacoma City of	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,733,3
6 of 15	58.100	04-1272 D	10th St. Boat Launch Transient Moorage	Everett Port of	\$795,000	\$862,900	\$1,657,900	\$772,127	\$2,505,4
7 of 15	57.900	04-1345 D	Lake Meridian Boat Launch Renovation	Kent Parks, Rec & Comm Serv	\$258,414	\$86,138	\$344,552	Alternate	
8 of 15	56.500	04-1369 P	Wind River Boat Ramp Improvement	Skamania Co Comm Events & Re	\$154,470	\$51,490	\$205,960	Alternate	
9 of 15	56.300	04-1432 C	Allyn Launch Ramp	Allyn Port of	\$130,094	\$52,852	\$182,946	Alternate	
10 of 15	55.600	04-1273 D	Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park Restroom	Bainbridge Island City of	\$204,869	\$68,300	\$273,169	Alternate	
11 of 15	54.800	04-1371 D	Guest Dock Rebuild & Boat Ramp Trail	Iiwaco Port of	\$289,700	\$101,887	\$391,587	Alternate	
12 of 15	45.900	04-1436 D	Port of Clarkston Recreational Dock	Clarkston Port of	\$56,150	\$19,729	\$75,879	Alternate	
13 of 15	43.900	04-1465 D	Taylor Dock Transient Moorage	Bellingham Parks & Rec Dept	\$244,759	\$85,997	\$330,756	Alternate	
14 of 15	42.000	04-1407 D	Thea Foss 16th Street Transient Moorage	Tacoma Public Works	\$650,000	\$317,088	\$967,088	Alternate	
15 of 15	36.500	04-1274 D	Port of Poulsbo Pier F Extension	Poulsbo Port of	\$494,160	\$164,720	\$658,880	Alternate	
					\$5,010,971	\$3,303,331	\$8,314,302		

NOTE: Estimated funds available \$2,505,482

Prepared: 11/1/2004

RESOLUTION 2004-44
Boating Facilities Program
Revisions to Manual 9

Whereas, the Boating Facilities Program (BFP) was created through voter approval of Initiative 215 in 1964, and

Whereas, Initiative 215 has been codified as RCW 79A.25, and authorizes the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation and the recreation resources account now managed as the BFP, and

Whereas, the BFP is guided by the policy plan adopted in 2003, and

Whereas, implementation of the 2003 plan includes use of an evaluation instrument used to help identify those grant-in-aid proposals most consistent with the plan, and

Whereas, the evaluation instrument should be amended to express evaluation efficiencies as well as program priorities,

Now, therefore, let it be resolved, that the proposed 2005 Boating Facilities Program evaluation instrument is adopted for use in project evaluation.

Resolution moved by: Bill Chapman

Resolution seconded by: Craig Partridge

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: November 19, 2004