INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

DATE: September 15 & 16, 2005 *PLACE: Quality Inn Paradise Creek Conference Center*
TIME: 9:09 a.m. *Pullman, Washington*

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER....3

CONSENT CALENDAR....3

MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORTS....4

CONVERSION REQUEST....5

BOATING INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS PROGRAM (BIG)....6

LAND & WATER CONSERVATION FUND (LWCF) PROGRAM....6

NON HIGHWAY AND OFF-ROAD VEHICLE ACTIVITIES (NOVA) PLAN REVISION....7

NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM (NRTP)....8

FUNDING REQUEST, GRAYS HARBOR CO. Re ORV SPORTS PARK....9

MODIFICATION OF RECREATION USES....12

WASHINTON WILDLIFE AND RECREATION COALITION (WWRP) REVISIONS:....17

MATCHING FUNDS REQUIREMENTS....19

NAME CHANGE 20

MEETING DATES 21

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

Day 1

DATE: September 15 & 16, 2005 *PLACE: Quality Inn Paradise Creek Conference Center*
TIME: 9:09 a.m. *Pullman, Washington*

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Bill Chapman	Mercer Island
Jeff Parsons	Leavenworth
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Chair Val Ogden called the meeting to order at 9:09 a.m.

She welcomed everyone and asked committee members to introduce themselves.

The agenda was reviewed and approved as presented.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Reviewed Resolution #2005-17

a. Approval of IAC Minutes- May, June and July 2005 Meetings
b. Time Extensions
c. Project- Type Change (#04-1369 Wind River)
d. Project- Cost Increase (#00-1652 Joemma Beach Marina Facilities)
e. Service Recognition- BFP, FARR, and LWCF

Bill Chapman **MOVED** approval of Resolution #2005-17. Larry Fairleigh **SECONDED**.

Bill had a clarification question on page 5 of the June minutes regarding paragraph three, after discussion he decided not to make any changes.

Karen Daubert discussed the list of time extensions and noted that some of the time extensions were six years old and would like to see fewer time extensions in the future.

Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-17 as presented.

MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORTS

Director's Report

Director Johnson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3a for details.)

- Director Johnson reviewed the meeting agenda and discussed the tour.
- 180 grant requests came in during May.
- November will be a challenging meeting for Board members because it will be a one-day meeting.
- This summer "big check" process was launched and seemed to be a success.
- End of summer brings the beginning of the legislative session. Staff will be involved in two different sessions on Tuesday, September 20.
- Only possible IAC request legislation is the name change.

Bill Chapman returned to a question on the time extensions. Bill asked about what was funded with the Cypress Island project. Scott Chapman provided an overview of the project. Craig Partridge reported that the permits cost more and took longer than expected thus causing the need for extension.

Financial Report

Director Johnson presented this written report. (See notebook item #3b for details.)

Director Johnson complimented Mark Jarasitis on his terrific job handling the financial side of the agency.

There were no further questions.

Planning-Related Status Report

Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3c for details.)

Karen Daubert commented that she liked to hear that the staff is combining the agency strategic plan with the board plan for one overall plan. She had always questioned why there were two separate plans.

Director Johnson reported on the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issue at Rocky Reach Dam.

Larry Fairleigh asked about the process that will be used for development of Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Planning and if a committee will be formed to work on this. Greg reported that he believed there was already a committee in place and he would make sure Parks representation is on that committee.

Project Services Report

Neil Aaland presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3d for details.)

Chair Ogden commented that she was present at the dedication of the Burnt Bridge Creek Project. She noted the dedication of the Sacagewea Trail is coming up.

Bill Chapman asked about the differences between Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account, Boating Facilities Program, Boating Infrastructure Grants Program and how he could explain the differences.

Neil Aaland and Marguerite Austin provided a brief overview of each program.

Director Johnson noted that Susan Zemek has done fact sheets for each of the grant programs and staff will provide a set to the board members.

Communications Report
Written report only. (See notebook item #3e for details.)

Director Johnson reported that the RFP for finding a consultant to work on the name change has been posted and the deadline for applications is September 28, 2005.

Director Johnson reported on Scott's new job as the PRISM project manager.

CONVERSION REQUEST
Scott Chapman presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #4 for details.)

Scott reviewed the process for conversions and the particulars on the Everett project.

Public Testimony:
No public testimony was provided on this agenda item.

Resolution #2005-18
Bill Chapman **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-18. Craig Partridge **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion:
Jeff Parsons questioned what this area is currently being used as. Scott reported that it is used for overflow parking and passive recreation.

Jeff is not sure why it is considered a conversion. Scott reported that they are planning to take this off the public land base and use the area for permanent moorage so the conversion is to replace the land base for public use.

Bill Chapman had a design question on converting from gravel to paved land. Scott reported that although some of the area will be paved there would still be open areas.

Jeff asked if it would be a net gain of parking? Scott reported that it would basically be an even exchange.

Mark asked if there is a way to condition conversions to keep pervious surfaces? Larry is against doing this, as a land manager, a gravel parking lot does not hold as many

cars as a paved parking lot. He would not like to see the IAC tie the hands of the land managers on the best way to plan the project.

Director Johnson reported that this will be a discussion the board will be having a lot more of in the future and will discuss this policy this afternoon.

Chair Ogden noted that this would be discussed as the board implements its strategic plan.

Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-18 as presented.

BOATING INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS PROGRAM (BIG)
Marguerite Austin presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #5 for details.)

Marguerite provided an overview of the BIG program. Two projects were presented for the Tier 1 funding consideration requesting $166,250 and one project for Tier 2 funding of $742,824.

Staff is requesting approval of the top ranked Tier 1 project and submittal of the Tier 2 project.

Karen asked about the criteria, evaluations, and match amounts. For National competition, though Everett had a match, they didn't get any points. Marguerite explained that the evaluation question is that a certain percentage of match must be met before receiving any points for this question.

Presented Resolution #2005-19
Jeff Parsons **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-19. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion:
No additional Board discussion on this topic.

Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-19 as presented.

LAND & WATER CONSERVATION FUND (LWCF) PROGRAM
Marguerite Austin presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #6 for details.)

Marguerite provided an overview of the Land and Water Conservation Fund program. Director Johnson provided additional information on the state of the LWCF program.

Dan Haws presented the two top ranked projects.
- City of Burien, Seahurst Park project #05-1104
- City of Camas, Lower Washougal River Greenway project #05-1289

Bill Chapman suggested including a few slides showing coordinated state agency and local planning in various areas around the state for the Legislative Workshop on the 6242 report.

Marguerite reported on the city of Kennewick's 2004 project that they completed within the year. Cindy Cole from Kennewick was in the audience to answer any questions the Board may have on the project.

Marguerite presented additional information on the post evaluation conference where they discussed the future funding of the LWCF program. In the past few years the LWCF has received $90 million nationwide, which provides about $2 million for Washington State. The budgeted amount for next year is $30 million nationwide, which would provide about $500,000 for grants in Washington State. The LWCF Advisory Committee discussed options for distributing these funds. Resolution #2005-20 outlines the staff recommendation of using all available federal fiscal years 2005 and 2006 funds for the projects submitted, holding a traditional grant round for LWCF projects in 2006 using federal fiscal 2007 monies.

Public Testimony:
No public testimony was provided on this agenda item.

Resolution #2005-20
Karen Daubert **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-20. Craig Partridge **SECONDED**.

Director Johnson made clear that Resolution #2005-20 would include option 4, using the additional $500,000.

Board Discussion:
Karen congratulated the city of Kennewick on completing its project in such a timely manner.

Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-20 as presented.

Director Johnson noted that the LWCF funds are still in peril and that this may be the last time the board sees LWC funds, at least for quite a while. She discussed the concerns and options to approach this funding differently in the future.

NON HIGHWAY AND OFF-ROAD VEHICLE ACTIVITIES (NOVA) PLAN REVISION
Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #7 for details.)

Greg reviewed why we have a NOVA plan, staff recommendations, and the development and review process. He also discussed the gas tax and how the NOVA funding is calculated. IAC receives 58.5% of the 1% received from the gas tax.

Jeff Parsons asked about the public hearing requirement being removed from the process. Scott Chapman explained, previously recorded public testimony had been required. Applicants then had to send the tape of the public hearing to the IAC. IAC retains the tapes, most of which contain no public testimony because no one came to the hearing, so it was decided that this was a step that was not necessary. The opportunity for public comment is provided through the SEPA/NEPA process.

The conflicts between the various groups in the past were discussed and it was noted that those involved in this process have worked very hard to reach consensus.

Public Testimony:
Jonathan Guzzo, director of advocacy for the Washington Trails Association, agrees with Greg and Scott that the fuel study was accurate and is glad to see that the study is scheduled in the plan for completion in the future. He also reported that there was definitely tension among the members of the advisory committee but in the end consensus was reached.

Craig Partridge reported that a continuing theme for his agency is the cost it takes to participate in the application process. A few changes were good but a couple complicated the process, which includes added time to the SEPA/NEPA process and $50,000 limit on cost. He believes that current allowed costs and time are not enough to adequately complete projects.

Resolution #2005-21
Craig Partridge **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-21. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion:
No additional board discussion on this topic.

Resolution #2005-21 **APPROVED** by board as presented.

NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM (NRTP)
Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #8 for details.)

Greg reported that this is the 10th funding cycle for NRTP and this year we have sixty-one applicants requesting $2.1 million. He reviewed the program process, past funding cycles, and funding formula. The source of this funding is federal gasoline taxes.

Greg reviewed the top project in each of the funding categories.

- USFS WNF Chelan RD-Lake Chelan Road Trails M&O 2006 IAC #05-1160
- USFS GPNF Mt Adams Rd-Gifford Pinchot Snow Ranger 05-06 IAC # 05-1168
- USFS MBNF Snoqualmie Rd- Alpine Lakes Trail Maint. Crew 2006 IAC # 05-1238
- Volunteers for Outdoor WA-Iron Goat Trail Windy Point Crossover IAC # 05-1032
- USFS WNF Naches Rd-Copper City 4WD Reconstruction IAC # 05-1255
- Cle Elum Winter Trail Patrol IAC # 05-1176

One of the projects, #05-1159 Paradise Valley, is opposed by a group of Mountain Bicyclists. A letter from Mr. Fitzpatrick voicing his concern and Greg's response was handed out to the board. From staff perspective, most of Mr. Fitzpatrick's concerns are land use issues which by agency policy are not actions in which IAC becomes involved. Other concerns were also voiced on inaccuracies in the application but this is disputed by the applicant and staff found no evidence of these inaccuracies. The board discussed the bicyclists' concerns. It was noted that a portion of the trail area is part of a Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB) grant. Although trail recreation (including mountain bicycling) will be allowed on this property, the extent and location is to be determined. The board discussed Mr. Fitzpatrick's concerns and other projects.

Public Testimony:
No public testimony was offered on this agenda item.

Resolution #2005-22
Larry Farley **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-22. Karen Daubert **SECONDED** the motion.

Board Discussion:
No additional board discussion on this topic.

Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-22 as presented.

FUNDING REQUEST, GRAYS HARBOR CO. Re ORV SPORTS PARK
Scott Chapman presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #9 for details.)

Scott provided an overview of this project and current status.

Staff recommends approval of a short-term grant of $59,000 to protect the non-conforming use status. The second phase includes fifteen thousand dollars for long-term condition review and business plan, then an additional $350,000 for maintenance and operations for two years.

Scott reviewed the comments received on the staff recommendation. Most of the 83 comments received supported the staff recommendation. Four opposed, one supported short-term recommendation only, and three are undecided.

Several decisions are needed at today's meeting:
Approve funding (all or partial)
- Should we exceed existing grant limits?

Approve transfer of land from Thurston County to Grays Harbor County
- What conditions are placed on the transfer?

Approve contractual responsibilities
- What are the contractual obligations?

- What are the conversion requirements?
- Do we need an exit strategy?

Board Discussion:
The board discussed details around this project such as funding, Thurston County obligations, non-conforming use, contingencies, and project goals.

Public Testimony:
John Eaton, owner Dirt Cheap Cycle and Mason County resident, is concerned with limited space for ORV users to legally recreate. Many of his customers travel great distances to recreate with their ORVs. He believes that with the number of users and limited locations for riding, the ORV Park could operate in the black. Has been working on the national and state ORV noise task forces and he knows the complaints about noise and he believes opening this park would give riders a place to go and take the noise problems out of the neighborhoods.

Director Johnson asked about the noise level generated by ORVs. Mr. Eaton reported that new bikes are now being constructed so they are much quieter in the past. There are some users who install racing pipes on their bikes, which make them louder.

Tom Fite, previous manager of the ORV Park, encouraged the Board's support in reopening this facility. He knows the park, from the time he started as manager to the end of his tenure the park increased in revenues and attendance. Mr. Fite reviewed several articles applauding the park management when it was open.

Ed Bushnell, Lynnwood, Washington, represents American Motorcyclist Association. He also sits on the noise task force and is president of the Northwest Users Association. Mr. Bushnell provided background on his work in getting the ORV Park open and his support in reopening the park. He discussed the lowering of the noise levels limits being proposed for off-road vehicles. He also talked about the plan for the future of the park. He urged the board to support this plan.

Bill Chapman noted the one-month opening and asked when the proposed permanent reopening would be. Ed noted that the season begins on April 1. Will be working on improvements over the fall and winter.

Brandon Marek, Washington State University student, representing himself and his mom Angie Marek, said his family supports opening of the park. He has been helping with work parties since he could walk.

Jonathan Guzzo, Washington Trails Association and trails representative on the NOVA advisory committee, supports the proposal but has a couple of concerns. Issue of non-competitive funding, but since this was legislative proviso and is limited he is not as concerned. He is a little concerned that Grays Harbor County is not willing to spend any general fund funds. His concern is if they are unwilling to spend general funds

there may not be the support in keeping the park open but he does believe there is additional support by the users.

Commissioner Bob Beerbower, Gray Harbor County Commissioner, thanked the board for providing him the opportunity to speak. Staff has done an excellent job of presenting all the concerns and questions to him and he has not been able to answer all those questions but he has spent a lot of time trying to get the answers. Grays Harbor County has always looked for ways to provide opportunities for families and kids. He believes this park could provide a good opportunity for families along with camping.

Commissioner Beerbower wants to be a partner with IAC and get this park open for the users and for family events.

The board asked questions of Commissioner Beerbower and discussed the various options.

Three phases in funding:

- Short term - $59,000 to open park for one month to retain the non-conforming status.
- Planning phase - $15,000 to study and develop a business plan and exit strategy
- Implementation phase - $350,000 for two-years funding for the park

Commissioner Beerbower is asking for approval of the entire amount and agrees to come back to staff at each step along the way.

Karen Daubert supports the full funding of this project. She is amazed at the support for this project. Accepting staff recommendation is the best of the options. We have the funding available to support this project. This is a unique situation and justifies the one-time non-competitive funding aspect. Contingent on approved business plan and exit strategy.

Resolution #2005-23
Karen Daubert **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-23 (approves $59,000 for opening in the month of October, $15,000 for development of the business plan and exit strategy, and, with approved business plan and exit strategy, $350,000 for keeping the park open). Craig Partridge **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion:
Craig Partridge really supports this and congratulates the user groups and the county in the work they have done on this. He wants to work with Grays Harbor to make this a success.

Larry Fairleigh offers a friendly amendment and would like the board to offer up to $59,000, up to $15,000, and up to $350,000 and believes the director is authorized to

approve needed funds to open the park for two years with no need to bring this issue back to the board.

Bill Chapman is not comfortable with the board to approving the $350,000 without an application. Need to resolve outstanding issues with Thurston County before we can approve the $350,000 in funding. The board does need to signal that they are supportive of the reopening of the park.

Mark Quinn is concerned with how to hold Thurston County accountable without holding Grays Harbor County hostage on this issue.

Plan to bring back to the February Board meeting for final approval of the $350,000.

Bill Chapman would like to see accounting of funds for the park revenue. He would also like to commit to having the lawsuit resolved before the $350,000 funding is approved.

Bill Chapman would like to amend to approve the exit strategy along with the $350,000.

Craig Partridge **SECONDED** the Larry Fairleigh amendment.

Larry Fairleigh withdrew his friendly amendment.

Director Johnson clarified the main Motion is to approve up to $59,000, and up to $15,000, and after a facilities survey and business plan is completed including an exit strategy, the Board will review (at the latest) in February, then will consider final approval of the reserved $350,000.

Board members **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-23 as presented.

Scott asked if a resolution is needed to approve transfer of land and equipment from Thurston to Grays Harbor in lieu of budget proviso.

Chair Ogden asked for a motion to approve the transfer of land from Thurston County to Grays Harbor prescribed in the budget proviso.

Jeff Parsons **MOVED**, Craig Partridge **SECONDED**. Board members **APPROVED** motion as presented.

MODIFICATION OF RECREATION USES

Neil Aaland presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #10 for details.)

Neil provided background information on this issue and concerns being expressed. Question before the board. Is this is a "conversion of use" needing approval of the board, or is it a land management issue?

Public Testimony:
Rone Brewer, Washington Waterfowl Association disapproves the recommendation and pointed out issues. This will eliminate one of two pheasant release sites in that area. Concerned that the hunting heritage will disappear.

Ralph Ferguson, citizen, disapproves the recommendation and pointed out his concerns. He handed out a copy of the project contract and highlighted conversion wording in the project. Believes that the IAC and SRFB are working from different sides, IAC work is for protecting the public interest and the SRFB is taking property out of the public interest. Mr. Ferguson would encourage the IAC to have full review of this proposal before making a decision. He will have his response to the Fish and Wildlife Commission on erroneous information they have presented to Ducks Unlimited.

Bill Chapman asked Mr. Brewer if there are properties that could change into better hunting? Has anyone from his group provided recommendations on alternate locations? Mr. Rone stated that he has provided alternates to WDFW but there hasn't been a response.

Board Discussion:
Mark Quinn, on the personal side, he was a duck hunter when he grew up and realized this form of hunting is getting more endangered. The department is trying to find alternatives for hunting access. Mark discussed the department's philosophy for wildlife related recreation. At this site, the types of recreation may change but it is still protected for public use and recreation. Salmon recovery is at the top of the agency's priority list.

Resolution #2005-24- Skagit WRA/Smith Farm
Chair Ogden made a **MOTION** to Approve Resolution #2005-24.

Bill Chapman **MOVED** to Approve Resolution #2005-24 as presented with the sixth paragraph amended to say "Whereas, the IAC finds restoration of the project site will enhance habitat diversity in the project area will continue to benefit recreational use including hunting and has determined this change does not constitute a conversion". Mark Quinn **SECONDED** the motion.

NOTE: Resolution #2005-24 was inadvertently used twice. For tracking purpose the Resolution # for this agenda item should be #2005-28.

Craig Partridge responded to the audience that this is a two part issue, both a policy issue and a legal issue.

Bill Chapman noted his reason to vote for a conversion in the port of Everett as they changed the parking lot from being open to the public to a restricted property. This issue is not a conversion as it will still be open to the public for recreational uses.

Jeff Parsons finds it strange that the IAC is passing a resolution on a change of use when it is not a conversion and he is uncomfortable with voting on this until counsel reviews and responds.

Jeff Parsons, Karen Daubert and Craig Partridge would like to table the motion until legal advice is received. Larry Fairleigh, Bill Chapman and Mark Quinn do not agree to table the motion. Tie broken by the Chair, to **TABLE** until the board receives legal advice.

The meeting recessed at 4:45pm to tour local projects.

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

Day 2

DATE: September 15 & 16, 2005 *PLACE: Quality Inn Paradise Creek Conference Center*
TIME: 8:15 a.m. *Pullman, Washington*

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Bill Chapman	Mercer Island
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

Meeting reconvened at 8:15 a.m.

INITIAL DISCUSSION: MEETINGS 2005 AND 2006

Director Johnson and Neil Aaland presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #11 for details.)

Staff requested adding an additional half-day the November meeting, November 14 from 4-7, since there are so many issues to discuss at this meeting.

Bill Chapman is concerned with the amount of time being needed for board meetings.

Discussed having a workshop on the Monday afternoon.
Karen Daubert would prefer one long day rather than having a two-day meeting.
Craig Partridge would also prefer a long day, as would Mark Quinn.
It was agreed to go with a long one-day meeting on Tuesday, November 15.

2006 schedule
April may just be a conference call but staff believes a meeting may be needed after the legislative session.

Neil provided an overview of the strategic plan and August 29th memo on policy issues for board discussion.

Karen would like to look at the urban wildlife category in 2006.

Craig sees three of the bullets as kind of the same issue (encourage investment in passive recreation in natural settings, fund projects that combine habitat and recreation, and provide for urban wildlife). It's not necessarily a policy issue but a legislative issue possibly needing a new grant program.

Larry Fairleigh asked about reviewing criteria.

Marguerite Austin explained that staff has been asked to identify performance measures and there may need to be program changes made to get those measures.

Larry would like to put a placeholder on private investments and match requirements.

Bill agrees with Craig that merging the three bullets is a good idea and is looking forward to the policy discussion.

Mark looks forward to the up-coming discussions.

Chair Ogden had a couple questions on the strategic plan and needs clarification on strategy 1.A.4. 7, and 1.A.5. 9.

Neil explained that 1.A.4 7 is a one-stop web site to find a variety of recreation opportunities for Washington State. He would like to defer 1.A.5 9 until Susan Zemek gets back so that things are clear.

Chair Ogden didn't see "advocacy with the legislature" on funding in the strategic plan.

Director Johnson updated the board on checking in with the Attorney General on lobbying. She still needs to get some clarification and will mail the information out to the board once she gets clarification.

The Chair would like to see the board members educate the legislators by appearing at hearings.

Bill is concerned with the idea that if he takes the $50 meeting stipend it takes away his voice with the Legislature. He would rather not get the $50 if that limits his ability to voice his opinions.

Chair Ogden wants to have the strategic plan before the board at every meeting.

Director Johnson noted that the board would also get this information through the GMAP process.

Bill would like to have a tour at the February meeting of the ORV Park. The rest of the board agreed that this would be a good idea.

Larry suggested September as a lovely time for Fort Worden and if that would work he expresses the need to reserve now.

The Chair would also like a meeting in Vancouver.

WASHINTON WILDLIFE AND RECREATION COALITION (WWRP) REVISIONS:
Jim Fox presented this agenda item.

Jim reviewed the new timeline for the WWRP program decisions.

Farmland Preservation Program (See note book item # 13A for details.)
The purpose of the program is to preserve agricultural land and to provide environmental enhancements on those lands. Do not know how much funding will be available for this program until after the grant cycle.

Panel of Gary Joiner and Don Stuart joined Jim in this discussion. A planned third panel member, Allison Deets, Skagit County, was unable to attend the meeting at the last minute.

Gary Joiner, director of member relations for the Washington Farm Bureau, provided an overview of his organization's attitude toward this new program.

- Agricultural land should not be taken out of production
- Voluntary measures first
- Least favorable is purchase of land in perpetuity, would prefer incentives and easement options.

Don Stuart, NW field director for American Farmland Trust, explained what his organization is about and what they do. This group is thrilled with this new program and would like to see more. Land for a farmer is costly. The program needs to:

- Be economically viable, and
- Not just focused on Western Washington but statewide.

Jim reported that staff is not seeking board direction at this time, but wanted to let the board hear from two of the groups interested in this issue.

On match, there is a federal program that is in place that should be available to match this program although we may have a problem with timing.

Craig thanked the panel members and noted that he will be looking at this program to get ideas on a similar forestland protection program.

The board and panel members discussed this program and ways to make it a success.

Mitigation Banking
Jim Fox provided an overview of this issue explaining what mitigation banking is and the approach. (See notebook item #13d. for details.)

The purpose today is to get direction from the board so detailed policy recommendations can be brought before the board in November.

Mark Quinn agrees with staff recommendation, trick will be designing the evaluation criteria.

Craig Partridge also supports the steps in this direction. He had a question on what would be the relationship between the money provided under this authority for the banker and the mitigation funds received? Could sell credits and profit, or return to IAC for reinvestment, or keep it for future mitigation.

Bill Chapman agrees that this is not an easy thing to sort out. Has questions and is looking forward to discussing them in November.

Bill agrees with staff recommendations in item #1 and that the focus should be on preservation in item #2 (at least 75% preservation). He also believes that we only award grants to state and federally certified banks (item 3). He explained that IAC will not get a whole lot of funding, people have been trying for a long time (item 4). He does not believe IAC needs new criteria, we should evaluate by land value of current criteria.

Karen Daubert concurs with emphasizing preservation.

Larry Fairleigh believes this topic has been out there for a long time and requests the need to have a mitigation banking briefing for board members to explain this process so that everyone knows what mitigation banking means. State Parks is looking into this issue currently.

Jim noted an option to having a full competitive process this year is to go with a couple pilot projects working with either the Biodiversity Council or WDFW. He would like to explore this possibility and bring back options in November.

Riparian Habitat Program
Neil Aaland provided an overview and update on this issue. (See notebook item #13c for details.)

Craig reminded Neil that on question number 6 that DNR already has two riparian programs, one is small landowner and two is large acreage.

Mark asked about make-up of advisory group and suggested two names for Neil: Steve Penland and Don Larsen from WDFW. He also encouraged involvement with someone

from the Conservation Commission and from the Farm Bureau. Neil will be pulling this group together before the November meeting.

State Lands Category
Marguerite Austin provided an overview and update on this issue. (See notebook item #13e for details.)

Only two entities are eligible for this category, DNR and WDFW. One of the questions is should there be a set amount for each entity or have a competitive process for all the funds?

Craig encouraged keeping the process simple.

Bill encouraged a competitive process so the best projects are funded.

The other question Marguerite asked for guidance on is "may funds in the recreation category be used in the habitat lands?"

Mark explained that most of the WDFW lands are considered habitat lands with minimal recreation so believe these funds should be available.

MATCHING FUNDS REQUIREMENTS
Jim Fox presented this agenda item along with Marguerite. (See notebook item 12a for details.)

Jim highlighted the four policy issues before the board at this meeting.

Policy 1 – Local match
Board agrees with the staff proposal

Policy 2 – Minimum of 10% non-state non-federal match
Discussed pros and cons for requiring local match through in-kind or cash matches. Did not reach agreement on this policy.

Policy 3 – Grants not from the same IAC grant program, only items eligible in both grant programs could be match. Jim provided a couple examples of what this policy means.

Policy 4 – Timing

To be consistent with policy 1, applicants need to have a local match (meaning from the community). This can't be federal or state funds. Decided to have the WAC broader with the policy manual giving more details.

Resolution #2005-24
Karen Daubert **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-24 filling in the blank with six months. Craig Partridge **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion:
Mark Quinn made amendment to match WAC and Resolution, with changes to the WAC wording.

This was not a friendly amendment and was not agreed to by a second.

It was explained that the resolution would be easier to change if the board later decides to change it than if the WAC was changed.

The board will still have public participation.

Jim Fox suggested adding local applicant and local sponsor so that it doesn't apply to state agencies. Staff will make the resolution consistent with the intent of the discussion.

All state agency members asked the record to reflect the need to revisit the 10% match amount as more information is received.

All ayes with one nay (Larry Fairleigh) Resolution #2005-24 **APPROVED** as discussed.

SUPPLANTING
Jim Fox presented this agenda item along with Marguerite Austin. (See notebook item 12b for details.)

Jim explained what the pros and cons of supplanting means and offered these two options: repealing policy or revising wording.

This WAC is basically unenforceable and should be removed.

Resolution #2005-25
Craig Partridge **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-25 with first option. Mark Quinn **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion.
No further discussion.

Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-25 as presented.

NAME CHANGE AND LEGISLATIVE UPDATE
Director Johnson reported that the RFP for the name change contract is out.

Governor Gregoire may have some legislative issues that may affect IAC but nothing concrete now.

MEETING DATES
Resolution #2005-26
Bill Chapman **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2005-26. Craig Partridge **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion:
No additional discussion

Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2005-26 as presented.

Partner Agency Reports
Mark Quinn, WDFW, highlighted the new document Lands 20/20 that was just released. Mark encouraged everyone to read this document.

Larry Fairleigh, State Parks, St. Edward State Park is planning to have a private business (McMinimans) operate in the seminary. This will become a conversion issue for State Parks and IAC. Also:

- After two years they have secured permits for Fort Canby.
- Have been working on putting properties out to bid and have sold several parcels in the Spokane area.
- Cama Beach has spent a lot of money and still hasn't opened. There may be lawsuits filed by a couple of the tribes. They are working on the legal strategy and what to do next.

Meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

Val Ogden

Val Ogden, Chair
Next Meeting: November 15, 2005
Dumas Bay Center
Federal Way, Washington

RESOLUTION #2005-17
September 2005 Consent Agenda

BE IT RESOLVED, that the following September 2005, Consent Agenda items are approved:

a) Approval of IAC Minutes – May 11, 2005, May 12, 2005, June 21, 2005 and July 7 & 8, 2005,
b) Time Extensions,
c) Project - Type Change (#04-1369 Wind River),
d) Project – Cost Increase (#00-1652 Joemma Beach Marina Facilities, and
e) Service Recognition – BFP, FARR, and LWCF

Moved by: Bill Chapman

Seconded by: Larry Fairleigh

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline result)

Date: September 15, 2005

RESOLUTION #2005-18
Port of Everett
10th Street Boat Launch, IAC #72-068

Conversion Request

WHEREAS, the Port of Everett, with Boating Facilities Program funding assistance acquired the 10th Street Boat Launch property; and

WHEREAS, Port of Everett has requested IAC approval to convert 43,560 SF of the existing 10th Street Boat Launch for use as parking to benefit the new permanent moorage that is planned to be located adjacent to the site; and

WHEREAS, Port of Everett proposes to replace the converted land with fee simple ownership with 61,000 SF of property located immediately adjacent to the 10th Street Boat Launch; and

WHEREAS, the replacement property meets the criteria set forth in IAC Manual #7, *Funded Projects: Policies and the Project Agreement*, Section 3: Conversions;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the conversion request from Port of Everett is hereby approved, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director be authorized to execute the necessary amendments associated with this conversion request.

Resolution moved by: Bill Chapman

Resolution seconded by: Craig Partridge

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 15, 2005

RESOLUTION #2005-19
Boating Infrastructure Grant Program
Federal Fiscal Year 2006

WHEREAS, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is accepting federal fiscal year 2006 grant applications for the Boating Infrastructure Grant Program, and

WHEREAS, three projects, that meet the program requirements stipulated in IAC Manual #5i, *Boating Infrastructure Grant Program: Policies* and rules established in the *Code of Federal Regulations,* were submitted for fund consideration, and

WHEREAS, the Boating Advisory Committee reviewed all projects and evaluated and ranked the two Tier 1 applications using criteria approved by the IAC, and

WHEREAS, the Boating Advisory Committee supports submittal of the Tier 2 proposal for national completion and recommends funding of the top ranked Tier 1 project as shown in Table 1 – B*oating Infrastructure Grant Program, Federal Fiscal Year 2006*,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the IAC hereby approves the ranked list and funding of the BIG Tier 1 project, as depicted in Table 1 – *Boating Infrastructure Grant Program, Federal Fiscal year 2006*,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director be authorized to submit the Tier 2 application the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for evaluation and funding consideration and to submit and execute any and all project agreements and amendments necessary to facilitate implementation of the approved projects.

Resolution moved by: Jeff Parson

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 15, 2005

Resolution: 2005-19

Table 1
Boating Infrastructure Grant
State Fiscal Year 2006, Federal Fiscal Year 2006

BIG - Tier1

Rank	Score	IAC Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Staff Recommends	Cumulative Amount
1 of 2	64.889	05-1042D	Port of Olympia Guest Dock Renovation	Olympia Port of	$95,000	$71,090	$166,090	$95,000	$95,000
2 of 2	38.333	05-1208D	N Guest Transient Moorage Elect. Upgrade	Everett Port of	$71,250	$23,883	$95,133		
					$166,250	$94,973	$261,223	$95,000	

BIG - Tier2

		05-1209D	Fuel Dock Upgrade	Everett Port of	$742,824	$495,216	$1,238,040		
					$742,824	$495,216	$1,238,040		

Notes: Tier 1: Funds available - $95,000
Tier 2: Funds available - unknown

Date Prepared: 08/26/05

RESOLUTION #2005-20

Land and Water Conservation Fund Program
Projects for Federal Fiscal Year 2005 and 2006 Funding
(State Fiscal Year 2006)

WHEREAS, the State of Washington has approximately $2.4 million in Land and Water Conservation Funds, which represent allocations for federal fiscal years 2005, 2006 and unexpended funds from previous years, and

WHEREAS, eighteen Land and Water Conservation Fund projects that meet program requirements as stipulated in statute, administrative rule, and policy are submitted for funding consideration, and

WHEREAS, the approved and adopted Open Project Selection Process was used to assess all eighteen projects as described in the preceding memorandum and shown in Table 1 – *Land and Water Conservation Fund Program, Federal Fiscal Years 2005 and 2006*, and

WHEREAS, the LWCF Advisory Committee has recommended option four which involves using all available funds for the projects submitted, holding a traditional grant round for LWCF projects in 2006, and development of modifications for the 2007 grant round,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves option four and the ranking and funding recommendation as depicted in Table 1 –*Land and Water Conservation Fund, Federal Fiscal Years 2005* and 2006 (State Fiscal Year 2006), and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director be authorized to submit application materials to the National Park Service and execute any and all project agreements and amendments necessary to facilitate prompt project implementation.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Craig Partridge

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 15, 2005

Resolution: 2005-20

Table 1
Land Water Conservation Fund
State Fiscal Year 2006, Federal Fiscal Years 2005, 2006

Rank	Score	IAC Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	IAC Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Staff Recommends	Cumulative Amount	
1 of 18	40.600	05-1104D	Seahurst Park Renovation	Burien City of	$500,000	$557,358	$1,057,358	$500,000	$500,000	
2 of 18	39.900	05-1289A	Lower Washougal River Greenway	Camas City of	$226,758	$226,757	$453,515	$226,758	$726,758	
3 of 18	39.700	05-1175A	Salmon Creek Greenspace	Clark County Parks Dept	$500,000	$1,600,000	$2,100,000	$500,000	$1,226,758	
4 of 18	34.800	05-1221D	W. Hylebos Park Boardwalk Replacement	Federal Way City of	$250,000	$1,031,911	$1,281,911	$250,000	$1,476,758	
5 of 18	34.400	05-1025D	Sacagawea Trail/Riverfront Improvements	Kennewick Parks & Rec Dept	$300,000	$300,000	$600,000	$300,000	$1,776,758	
6 of 18	33.300	05-1135D	Bridle Trails ADA Improvements	State Parks	$153,970	$153,970	$307,940	$153,970	$1,930,728	
7 of 18	31.200	05-1029D	South Hill Park Tennis Court Renovation	Sunnyside City of	$71,000	$71,000	$142,000	$71,000	$2,001,728	
8 of 18	30.800	05-1299A	Gazzam Lake, Phase 4	Bainbridge Island Park Dist	$500,000	$1,000,000	$1,500,000	$500,000	$2,501,728	FFY 2005 [1]
9 of 18	29.500	05-1237A	Evergreen Rotary Park Expansion	Bremerton Parks & Rec Dept	$100,000	$100,000	$200,000	$100,000	$2,601,728	
10 of 18	28.800	05-1308A	Hub & Spokes	Pacific City of	$308,000	$274,800	$582,800	$139,041	$2,740,769	FFY 2006 [2]
11 of 18	28.600	05-1024D	Boeing Creek Park Renovation	Shoreline City of	$500,000	$501,048	$1,001,048		Alternate	
12 of 18	28.500	05-1169D	Wanapum Recreation Area Expansion	State Parks	$500,000	$500,000	$1,000,000		Alternate	
13 of 18	27.800	05-1065D	Columbia Hills State Park Improvements	State Parks	$500,000	$638,457	$1,138,457		Alternate	
14 of 18	26.000	05-1046D	Roger Malfait Community Park	Skamania Co Comm Events & Rec	$108,000	$112,153	$220,153		Alternate	
15 of 18	24.100	05-1275D	Cedar Grove Park Development - Phase II	Bothell City of	$268,000	$268,000	$536,000		Alternate	
16 of 18	23.800	05-1086A	Upper Woodway Reserve	Woodway Town of	$218,500	$218,500	$437,000		Alternate	
17 of 18	21.500	05-1107D	Strawberry Athletic Fields	Poulsbo City of	$201,306	$201,307	$402,613		Alternate	
18 of 18	20.500	05-1286A	West Hill Park	Pacific City of	$137,680	$137,687	$275,367		Alternate	
					$5,343,214	$7,892,948	$13,236,162	$2,740,769		

Notes: [1] Funds available - $2,240,769 ($1,768,978 new funds FFY 2005 + $471,791 unexpended FFY 2004 funds)
[2] Anticipated funds available for FFY 2006 - $500,000

Date Prepared: 08/26/05

RESOLUTION #2005–21

***2005 – 2011 NOVA Plan* Adoption**

September 15, 2005

WHEREAS, RCW 46.09.250 directs IAC to *"...maintain a state-wide plan which shall be updated at least once every third biennium and shall be used by all participating agencies to guide distribution and expenditure of funds..."*; and

WHEREAS, due to recent changes in the NOVA Act, RCW 46.09, the current plan is no longer in accord with relevant statutes, rules, and policies; and

WHEREAS, a new *Plan* has been developed through public involvement and close adherence to relevant statutes, rules, and policies; and

WHEREAS, this new *Plan* is designed to serve the needs of NOVA recreationists through the next six years as provided in statute;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby adopts the *NOVA Plan* draft dated September 15, 2005, presented with this resolution, to guide this program through the year 2011; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC directs its staff to implement the *Plan's* policies in a timely way, including updates to communications materials, web page and preparing and presenting changes to the program's policy and procedure manuals.

Resolution moved by: Craig Partridge

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline)

RESOLUTION #2005–22

2005 NRTP Project Funding

September 15, 2005

WHEREAS, through the early months of 2005, until the May application deadline, the staff of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) provided publications, website updates, public workshops, and other outreach opportunities to communicate to interested parties the benefits and application procedures for the National Recreational Trails Program (NRTP); and

WHEREAS, in answer to these efforts, 61 eligible project applications were received, including evaluation question responses which were scored by IAC's advisory/ evaluation committee using IAC-approved criteria; and

WHEREAS, the result of this evaluation is a set of recommendations that meets both federal and state program criteria; and

WHEREAS, $1,233,372 in federal Recreational Trails Program funds are now available for distribution to these projects.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ranked project listing in Table 1, dated September 13, 2005, is approved and staff is directed to take appropriate steps leading to contracting for and implementation of funding for these projects.

Resolution Moved By: Larry Fairleigh

Resolution Seconded By: Karen Daubert

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline)

DATE: September 15, 2005

Table 1 - NRTP Projects Funding Recommendations State FY 2006

IAC Funding Amount

10/1/05 - 9/30/06

Shows advisory committee and staff recommendations

Rank	Score	Proj#	Sponsor	Project Name	NMSU Amount	NMMU Amount	Compatib Amount	MMU Amount	MSU Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount
1	56.267	05-1160M	USFS WNF Chelan RD	Lk Chelan RD Trails M&O 2006			50,000			50,000	50,000
2	55.000	05-1163D	USFS GPNF Mt Adams RD	Middle-Service Trail Construction					46,625	46,625	96,625
3	54.933	05-1238M	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	Alpine Lakes Trail Maint. Crew - 2006		50,000				50,000	146,625
4	54.133	05-1300M	Mount Tahoma Trails Assn	MTTA Ski Trail Maintenance		50,000				50,000	196,625
5	54.067	05-1090D	Methow Valley Sport Trail Assn	Methow Community Trail Suspension Bri		50,000				50,000	246,625
6	53.533	05-1062M	USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD	White River RD Trail Maintenance - 200(			50,000			50,000	296,625
7	53.467	05-1283M	WA Trails Association	WTA 2006 Volunteer Trail Maintenance		50,000				50,000	346,625
8	53.267	05-1281M	WA Trails Association	WTA Backcountry Trail Maint Teams - 2(		50,000				50,000	396,625
9	52.200	05-1140M	USFS WNF Cle Elum RD	Cle Elum Ski Trail M & O		45,740				45,740	442,365
10	51.600	05-1032D	Volunteers for Outdoor WA	Iron Goat Trail - Windy Point Crossover	46,000					46,000	488,365
11	51.467	05-1313M	Mountains to Sound Greenway	Mountains to Sound Trail Maint. - 2006		50,000				50,000	538,365
12	51.400	05-1245D	USFS UNF Pomeroy RD	Rose Sno-Park Groomer Shed					10,000	10,000	548,365
13	50.933	05-1061M	USFS WNF Wenatchee River RD	Wilderness Trail Maintenance 2006		40,500				40,500	588,865
14	50.867	05-1178M	Pacific Northwest Trail Assn	Kettle Crest/Loomis Trail Maintenance		47,523				47,523	636,388
15	50.000	05-1159D	Snohomish County Parks Dept	Paradise Valley Conservation Area Trails		50,000				50,000	686,388
16	49.800	05-1310M	USFS MBNF Darrington RD	Darrington Ranger District Trail Maint.		50,000				50,000	736,388
17	49.667	05-1102M	USFS WNF Chelan RD	Echo Ridge Winter & Summer Trails		50,000				50,000	786,388
18	49.000	05-1069M	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Pasayten & North Cascades Trails M&O		50,000				50,000	836,388
19	48.400	05-1279D	USFS WNF Wenatchee River RD	French Corral Winter Toilet and Storage					12,000	12,000	848,388
20	48.267	05-1179M	Pacific Northwest Trail Assn	N. Cascades Youth Crews Maintenance		20,422				20,422	868,810
21	48.000	05-1180M	Pacific Northwest Trail Assn	Olympic Peninsula Trail Maint. 2006-200		50,000				50,000	918,810
*22	47.067	05-1263D	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Chancellor Trail Bridges		34,869				34,869	953,679
[23	46.667	05-1067M	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Gold Creek Motorized Trails					16,000	16,000	969,679
[27	45.067	05-1113M	USFS WNF Entiat RD	Entiat/Lk.Wen Snowmobile Tr. Mtc- 06-0					35,630	35,630	1,005,309
[29	44.133	05-1075M	Methow Valley Snowmobile Assn	Groom Priority 2 & 3 Trails					24,000	24,000	1,029,309
[39	40.733	05-1154D	USFS WNF Cle Elum RD	Jolly Mtn. Trail Relocation					8,700	8,700	1,038,009
[43	38.733	05-1255D	USFS WNF Naches RD	Copper City 4WD Reconstruction				39,700		39,700	1,077,709
[45	38.200	05-1334M	USFS WNF Wenatchee River RD	Lake Wenatchee M&O 2006-07					39,214	39,214	1,116,923
[46	37.867	05-1060M	USFS WNF Wenatchee River RD	Devils Gulch M&O 2006-07					35,760	35,760	1,152,683
[49	35.333	05-1264M	Lake Wenatchee Recreation Club	Snow Trail Grooming Equipment Purcha:				11,600		11,600	1,164,283
1	*19.538*	*05-1176E*	*USFS WNF Cle Elum RD*	*Cle Elum Winter Trail Patrol*					*9,700*	*9,700*	1,164,283
2	*18.846*	*05-1291E*	*USFS MBNF Snoqualmie RD*	*Snoqualmie Volunteer Ranger Program*		*10,000*				*10,000*	1,173,983
3	*18.692*	*05-1193E*	*USFS WNF Chelan RD*	*Chelan Snowmobile Ranger 05-06*					*10,000*	*10,000*	1,183,983
4	*18.615*	*05-1043E*	*USFS CNF Newport RD*	*Salmo/Batey Bould E&E Ranger*			*10,000*			*10,000*	1,193,983
5	*18.308*	*05-1112E*	*USFS WNF Entiat RD*	*Lake Wen. Entiat Snow Rangers 05-06*					*10,000*	*10,000*	1,203,983
6	*17.154*	*05-1079E*	*Backcountry Horsemen of WA*	*Leave No Trace 2006*		*10,000*				*10,000*	1,213,983
7	*16.923*	*05-1168E*	*USFS GPNF Mt Adams RD*	*Gifford Pinchot Snow Ranger 05-06*					*9,389*	*9,389*	1,223,983
											1,233,372
				FUNDING LINE ($1,233,372 including carryover & returned funds)							1,233,372

Rank	Score	Proj#	Sponsor	Project Name	NMSU	NMMU	Compatib	MMU	MSU	Total	Cumulative
*22	47.067	05-1263D	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Chancellor Trail Bridges		15,131				15,131	1,248,503
24	46.467	05-1084M	Backcountry Horsemen of WA	2006 BCHW Volunteer Pack Crew Support		50,000				50,000	1,298,503
25	45.600	05-1229D	USFS WNF Naches RD	Bumping Lake Trail #971 Reconstruction		42,338				42,338	1,340,841
26	45.533	05-1260M	Roslyn City of	Coal Mines Trail Maintenance Project		14,000				14,000	1,354,841
28	44.267	05-1219M	USFS MBNF Mt Baker RD	Heather Meadows Trail Maintenance	38,700					38,700	1,393,541
30	44.000	05-1068M	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Methow Valley Trails O&M		50,000				50,000	1,443,541
31	43.933	05-1082M	Backcountry Horsemen of WA	BCHW 2006 Volunteer Trail Maintenanc		50,000				50,000	1,493,541
32	43.667	05-1063D	USFS CNF Newport RD	Bead Lake Trail Rehabilitation		47,000				47,000	1,540,541
33	43.467	05-1181M	USFS WNF Naches RD	W.O. Douglas Wilderness Trails M&O		43,988				43,988	1,584,529
34	43.067	05-1120D	State Parks	Squak Mt. State Park Trails Renovation		50,000				50,000	1,634,529
35	42.933	05-1127D	USFS OKNF Methow RD	Remmel Lake Trails		50,000				50,000	1,684,529
36	42.467	05-1257D	NPS North Cascades Natl Park	Sahale Arm Trail Renovation	50,000					50,000	1,734,529
37	42.000	05-1096D	NPS Olympic National Park	Marymere Falls Trail Renovation Phase :	50,000					50,000	1,784,529
38	41.667	05-1304M	EarthCorps	Backcountry Trail Maintenance - 2006		42,496				42,496	1,827,025
40	40.667	05-1080D	USFS CNF Newport RD	Salmo Basin Trail Reconstruction		50,000				50,000	1,877,025
41	40.467	05-1190D	Quileute Tribe	First Beach Trail	32,120					32,120	1,909,145
42	39.733	05-1278D	Everett, Port of	10th St. Marine Park Non-motorized Floa	26,389					26,389	1,935,534
44	38.467	05-1091D	Methow Valley Sport Trail Assn	Methow Trailside Relief Station		11,500				11,500	1,947,034
47	36.867	05-1235D	Natural Resources Dept of	Table Mountain Trail Rehabilitation	39,070					39,070	1,986,104
48	35.933	05-1044M	Backcountry Horsemen Northeast	POV Volunteer Maint. Coordinator		26,900				26,900	2,013,004
50	34.133	05-1305D	Bureau of Land Management	Watmough Bay Trailhead Improvements		34,373				34,373	2,047,377
51	33.667	05-1097M	USFS Colville National Forest	CNF Nordic Ski, Skate, and Shoe		45,000				45,000	2,092,377
											2,092,377
8	15.846	*05-1250E*	*Tacoma City of*	*Point Defiance Trail Education*		*10,000*				*10,000*	2,102,377
9	14.462	*05-1166E*	*NPS North Cascades Natl Park*	*Wilderness Information Center Exhibits*		*8,300*				*8,300*	2,110,677
10	13.231	*05-1093E*	*Methow Valley Sport Trail Assn*	*Methow Community Trail Nature Signs*		*5,500*				*5,500*	2,116,177
				All proposals (61) total =	282,279	1,405,580	110,000	51,300	267,018	2,116,177	
				Above funding line total =	46,000	759,054	110,000	51,300	267,018	1,233,372	

	Above line	920,354			
	40% is	505,420			
Above line	805,054		Above line	318,318	
30% is	379,065		30% is	379,065	
Difference	425,989		Difference	-60,747	

Notes:

1,263,549	New 2005 funding estimate (9/06/05) - apply 30-30-40 rule to this amount
-60,747	Motorized "assured access" funds held due to too few applications received in '05
30,570	"Returned" grants from prievious grant cycle
1,233,372	Total 2005 funding available
0	Remainder to give above recommended funding line

Projects with italicized text (above) are "education"

67,933	*is the 5% maximum allowed for "education" ('05)*
1,368	*Add to education from carry over*
69,301	*Available education dollars*
69,089	*Total of "above line" education projects*

* Asterisk means project is partly above and below funding line

[Left bracket means project moved up in rank to meet 30% minimum funding requirements

Acronyms:

NMSU: Nonmotorized single use
NMMU: Nonmotorized multiple use
Compatible: (Combines motor and nonmotor uses)
MMU: Motorized multiple uses
MSU: Motorized single use

Motion/RESOLUTION 2005-23
ORV Sports Park Funding

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners for Grays Harbor County has expressed interest, pursuant to Section 304(3) of the 2005-07 operating budget [ESSB 6090, now Ch. 518, Laws of 2005], in taking over operation of the off-road vehicle sports park facility previously operated by Thurston County, and

WHEREAS, Thurston County is committed to deed and transfer its property and equipment interests to Grays Harbor County for the ORV Sports Park; and

WHEREAS, Grays Harbor County has diligently pursued options and requirements to reopen the facility, including coordination with user groups and Thurston County, and

WHEREAS, as part of its plan to reopen the facility for off-road recreation use, Grays Harbor County has requested funding from the IAC-administered NOVA off-road vehicle motorized recreation funds to secure the facility's land use authorities as well as development, re-development and operations costs, and

WHEREAS, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation's expressed preference in several years of negotiations following closure of the sports park has been to find an agency or operator to reopen the facility for recreation use, and

WHEREAS, the Committee expresses its appreciation for the opportunity for recreationists presented by Grays Harbor County's offer to own and operate the facility,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation approves a one-time non-competitive grant of NOVA off-road vehicle motorized recreation funds in an amount up to $424,000, pursuant to Sec. 304(3)(b), Ch. 518, Laws of 2005. Funds shall be available to the County in three stages of funding: a) up to $59,000 for initial operating costs in Fall 2005 to satisfy the facility's nonconforming use permit requirements and to reopen on a limited basis, b) up to $15,000 for completion of a facility condition survey, business plan, and exit strategy, and c) up to $350,000 for development, operation and maintenance of the facility for two years when IAC approves the proposed business plan and exit strategy.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Craig Partridge

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 15, 2005

Interagency Committee For Outdoor Recreation

RESOLUTION #2005-24

Match Requirements For IAC Grants

WHEREAS, the types of projects awarded IAC grants are becoming more costly due to escalating land values, costs of environmental permitting and other factors; and

WHEREAS, many local agencies are finding that revenues are not keeping pace for increased demands for facilities and services; and

WHEREAS, in order to meet the demand for outdoor recreation and habitat protection projects, local agencies therefore often seek financial assistance from more than one grant program, using one grant to meet the match requirements of another; and

WHEREAS, IAC has not recently clarified its reasons for requiring sponsors to provide a match to IAC grants; and

WHEREAS, some agencies applying for IAC grants have expressed a desire to use one IAC grant to meet the match requirements of another IAC grant;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that when requiring a match from an applicant for IAC funds, or giving preference to an applicant providing a match, it is the intention of the Committee to foster local commitment to the proposed project and to demonstrate that commitment, and to make funds from a given grant program (and revenue source) available to a greater number of projects.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that it is the policy of the IAC that, absent other statutory direction, a minimum of ten percent of the total cost of a project be provided by local project sponsors (sponsors that are not a state or federal agency) in the form of a local (non-state, non-federal) contribution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that an IAC grant may be used to help meet the match requirements of another IAC grant if the following conditions are met:

1. The grants may not be from the same IAC grant program.
2. Only funding provided for elements that are eligible in <u>both</u> grant programs may count as match.
3. When an IAC grant is used to help match another IAC grant, and absent other statutory direction, local project sponsors must provide a minimum of ten percent of the total costs of the eligible elements being matched. This sponsor match may not be from federal or state funds, and may include in-kind contributions. In the evaluation of the grant proposals, the IAC may give additional points if sponsors exceed this minimum local contribution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that when an IAC grant is intended to help provide the match for another IAC grant, each grant should still be evaluated independently and on its own merits, as if the match were coming from elsewhere.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that when one IAC grant is helping provide the match for another IAC grant, the "certification of match" will be tentative, conditioned on receipt of the other grant or on the sponsor providing the match from other resources. The applicant will have a maximum of six months from the time of the first grant award to meet the match requirements of that grant. To prevent a backlog of unspent grant funds, applicants should be willing to expedite completion of the project. The IAC-required completion date will apply to the earlier of the two grants.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the IAC directs staff to file a CR102 expressing intent to amend WAC 286-13-045 as shown in Attachment I.

Karen Dauber tMoved Craig Partridge Seconded

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED

Date: September 16, 2005

Attachment I
Proposed Amendment to WAC 286-13-045

WAC 286-13-045 Eligible matching resources.

(1) When requiring a match from an applicant for committee funds, or giving preference to an applicant providing a match, it is the intention of the committee to do so to foster local commitment to the proposed project and to demonstrate that commitment, and to make funds from a given grant program (and revenue source) available to a greater number of projects.

(~~1~~2) Applicant resources used to match committee funds may include: Cash, local impact/mitigation fees, certain federal funds, the value of privately owned donated real estate, equipment, equipment use, materials, labor, or any combination thereof.

(~~2~~3) ~~Agencies and organizations may~~ An agency's or organization's match may include state and federal funds, including funds from other grant programs ~~with state funds so long as the state funds are not~~ administered by the committee. However, the IAC may require the agency or organization to provide a portion of the match in local resources.

(~~3~~4) Private donated real property, or the value of that property, must consist of real property (land and facilities) that would normally qualify for committee grant funding.

(~~4~~5) State agency projects may be assisted by one hundred percent funding from committee sources *except* where prohibited by law.

(~~5~~6) The eligibility of some federal and state funds to be used as a match is governed by federal and state requirements and thus may vary with individual program policies.

Interagency Committee For Outdoor Recreation

RESOLUTION #2005-25

Using IAC Grants to Supplant Existing Resources

WHEREAS, WAC 286-13-080 states that are IAC grants "are intended to supplement the existing capacity of a sponsor; they are not intended to supplant programs, or to reimburse the cost of projects that would have been undertaken without state matching money." and

WHEREAS, this policy has been difficult to apply due to the lack of a clear definition of "existing capacity" and "supplant"; and

WHEREAS, IAC grant program policies should be clear, understandable, and be able to be applied consistently and fairly; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the IAC directs staff to file a CR102 expressing intent to amend WAC 286-13-080 as shown in Attachment I.

Craig Partridge Moved Mark Quinn Seconded

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED

Attachment I

Proposed Amendment to WAC 286-13-080

WAC 286-13-080 Committee funds intended to supplement.

~~State grants through the committee are intended to supplement the existing capacity of a sponsor; they are not intended to supplant programs, or to reimburse the cost of projects that would have been undertaken without state matching money. Furthermore, e~~Except as hereinafter provided, the committee will not approve the disbursement of funds for a project when otherwise reimbursable activities have been undertaken before a project agreement has been executed.

RESOLUTION #2005-26

ADOPTION OF THE 2006 IAC MEETING SCHEDULE

BE IT RESOLVED, the following schedule for 2006 Regular meetings of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation is hereby adopted; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, staff is directed to publish notice in the State Register accordingly.

February 2 & 3, 2006	Thursday and Friday	Olympia
April 18, 2006	Tuesday	TBD
June 22 & 23, 2006	Thursday and Friday	TBD
September 21 & 22, 2006	Thursday and Friday	TBD
November 16 & 17, 2006	Thursday and Friday	Olympia

Resolution moved by: ___*Bill Chapman*_____

Resolution seconded by: __*Craig Partridge*_____

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 16, 2005

Motion/ Resolution 2005-27
ORV Sports Park Property Transfer

WHEREAS, in November of 2002, the Thurston County Commissioners voted to close the Thurston County ORV Sports Park and do not intend to reopen the Park under their ownership or management; and

WHEREAS, Section 304(3) of the 2005-07 operating budget [ESSB 6090, now Ch. 518, Laws of 2005] provides that a county may sell certain off-road sports park lands, or give the facilities to another state or local agency, as follows:

> "(b) With the consent of the interagency committee, it gives all such lands and related facilities and equipment to a state or local agency. The state or local agency must agree to make the lands available for purposes related to motorized off-road vehicle recreation. The agency will not be responsible for contractual obligations for previous state-funded capital improvements on those lands. The interagency committee may award a non-competitive grant for renovation and other capital improvements and for initial operating costs. If a transfer of property under this subsection (b) in not approved prior to June 30, 2006, then the property shall be sold according to (a) of this subsection.

WHEREAS, Grays Harbor County has voiced interest in taking over operation of the ORV Sports Park by submittal of a letter of interest and application for funding; and

WHEREAS, Thurston County desires to transfer its real property and equipment interests to Grays Harbor County for the ORV Sports Park pursuant to Sec. 304(3)(b);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation consents to the action of Thurston County Commissioners in deeding and transferring to Grays Harbor County all Thurston County lands and related facilities and equipment interests associated with the ORV Sports Park.

Resolution moved by: Jeff Parsons

Resolution seconded by: Craig Partridge

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 15, 2005

RESOLUTION 2005-28
Skagit WRA/Smith Farm, IAC #75-608A
Change of Use Request

WHEREAS, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) submitted a proposal for acquisition of 123 acres in Snohomish County (IAC #75-608A) for recreational hunting and wintering waterfowl habitat; and

WHEREAS, the IAC approved funding for this proposal during the February 26, 1973 IAC meeting; and

WHEREAS, WDFW managed the project site for recreational hunting and wintering waterfowl habitat; and

WHEREAS, WDFW proposes to change the use at the project location to implement an estuary restoration project to benefit salmonids, other waterfowl, and improve habitat diversity; and

WHEREAS, IAC has reviewed the policy issues relating to this change of use, and

WHEREAS, IAC has determined that this change does not constitute a "conversion",

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation, that the request by WDFW, for restoration of the estuary at Leque Island in Snohomish County, does not violate the terms of IAC project agreement #75-608A, nor does it trigger a "conversion of use."

Resolution moved by: Bill Chapman

Resolution seconded by: Mark Quinn

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: September 15, 2005