

Responsive Management™



LEVEL OF SERVICE SCORES BASED ON SURVEYS OF OUTDOOR RECREATION PROVIDERS AS PART OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WASHINGTON STATE COMPREHENSIVE OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN

Conducted for the Washington Recreation and Conservation Office

by Responsive Management

2012

The evaluation of Washington State's outdoor recreation facilities and opportunities is guided by the Level of Service (LOS) analysis. Note that the LOS is in the early stages of development and use. For this reason, many agencies do not yet have or collect the data necessary for all the parts of the analysis. Nonetheless, the analysis was run on the limited data that the agencies were able to provide. This analysis has two parts: an analysis of local providers and an analysis of federal and state providers.

The LOS analysis includes the following:

LOCAL QUANTITY CRITERIA

Local Quantity Criterion 1: Number of Parks and Recreation Facilities.

The percent difference between the existing quantity or per capita average of parks and recreation facilities and the desired quantity or per capita average of parks and recreation facilities.

Local Quantity Criterion 2: Facilities That Support Active Recreation Opportunities.

The percent of facilities that support or encourage active (muscle-powered) recreational opportunities.

Local Quantity Criterion 3: Facility Capacity.

The percent of demand met by existing facilities.

LOCAL QUALITY CRITERIA

Local Quality Criterion 1: Agency-Based Assessment.

The percent of facilities that are fully functional for their specific design and safety guidelines.

Local Quality Criterion 2: Public Satisfaction.

The percent of the population satisfied with the condition, quantity, or distribution of existing active park and recreation facilities.

LOCAL DISTRIBUTION AND ACCESS CRITERIA

Local Distribution and Access Criterion 1: Population Within Service Area.

The percent of the population within the following service areas: 0.5 miles of a neighborhood park/trail, 5 miles of a community park/trail, and 25 miles of a regional park/trail.

Local Distribution and Access Criterion 2: Access.

The percent of parks and recreation facilities that may be accessed safely via foot, bicycle, or public transportation.

STATE AND FEDERAL QUANTITY CRITERIA

State and Federal Quantity Criterion : Capital Facility Development.

The percent of unmet capital facility development goals.

STATE AND FEDERAL QUALITY CRITERIA

State and Federal Quality Criterion 1: Agency-Based Assessment.

The percent of facilities that are fully functional for their specific design and safety guidelines.

State and Federal Quality Criterion 2: Public Satisfaction.

The percent of visitor population satisfied with existing park and outdoor recreation facilities, experiences, and opportunities.

STATE AND FEDERAL ACCESS CRITERIA

State and Federal Access Criterion : Sustainable Access.

The percent of access/recreation areas/facilities that provide sustainable recreation opportunities.

For each of these criteria, grades were assigned based on standards established by the Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO). This report addresses each criterion in order.

Local Quantity Criterion 1: Number of Parks and Recreation Facilities

The percent difference between the existing quantity or per capita average of parks and recreation facilities and the desired quantity or per capita average of parks and recreation facilities.

LOS Standard:

- A 10% or less
- B 11% to 20%
- C 21% to 30%
- D 31% to 40%
- E More than 40%

This criterion was examined using two questions in the Local Provider Survey: the first question asked providers to indicate their agency’s desired quantity of (or goal for) outdoor recreation facilities; the second question asked providers to indicate the portion of their desired quantity that currently existed (i.e., how much of their goal was being met), using (if possible) the same units of measurement that were used in stating the goal. While these seemed to be straightforward questions, many of the providers did not have goals that could be measured in this way and/or could not state how much of their goal currently existed. The multi-page tabulation that starts on the next page shows the responses obtained from the providers regarding both their goals and the amount that currently exists.

Responses Pertaining to Local Quantity Criterion 1 (Part 1)

Region agency is in	Goal	Currently provided	% of goal	Q12. What is your agency’s planned goal, such as number of people it can accommodate, number of people being served, or desired per-capita acreage of public park and/or recreation sites?	Q13. Using the same measure as the goal in the previous questions, what does your agency currently provide? For instance, if the goal is 5 acres of park per 1,000 people, please tell us how many acres of park per 1,000 people your community currently has.
The Islands				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not provide useable answer]	[Did not provide useable answer]
				To align with the NRPA standards set for specific sized communities, agencies, etc.	0.02 per 1,000 people for a total of 320 acres divided by 15,000 residents
Peninsulas				Our goals are level of service, example would be a certain amount of acreage per 1,000 population.	Varies depending on the category: community parks, trails, regional parks, etc.
	2.00	1.00	50%	Our goal is to serve about 800 people on about 2 acres of property	800 people on about 1 acre
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	10.00	9.23	92%	10 acres per 1,000 people.	9.23 acres per 1,000 people.
	5.00	3.75	75%	5 acres per 1,000	3.75 acres per 1,000
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	1 per 1,000	4 per 4,500 (1 per 1,125)	89%	The number of people that the Port can accommodate is 500, number of people being served is 4,500, and the desired per-capita acreage of park and recreation site is 1 acre per 1,000 people.	The Port currently provides 4 acres of public park and recreation site for the 4,500 people within our district.
	1.0	1.5	100%	1 acre per 1,000 population (neighborhood parks)	Neighborhood parks: 13.76 acres (1.5 per 1,000)
5.0	3.0	60%	5 acres per 1,000 population (community parks)	Community parks: 28.43 acres (3 acres per 1,000)	
2.0	1.56	78%	2 acres per 1,000 population (regional parks)	Regional parks: 14.38 acres (1.56 acres per 1,000)	
5.0	6.0	100%	5 acres per 1,000 population (open space parks)	Open space parks: 55.82 acres (6 acres per 1,000)	
1.0	0.4	40%	1 mile per 1,000 population (trails)	Trails: 3.75 miles (4/10 of a mile per 1,000)	
				Open space: 74.23 acres Heritage parks: 15.13 acres Regional parks: 11.68 acres Community parks: 4.58 acres Shoreline access: 0.10 miles	
The Coast				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]

Responses Pertaining to Local Quantity Criterion 1 (Part 2)

Region agency is in	Goal	Currently provided	% of goal	Q12. What is your agency’s planned goal, such as number of people it can accommodate, number of people being served, or desired per-capita acreage of public park and/or recreation sites?	Q13. Using the same measure as the goal in the previous questions, what does your agency currently provide? For instance, if the goal is 5 acres of park per 1,000 people, please tell us how many acres of park per 1,000 people your community currently has.
North Cascades				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not provide useable answer]	[Did not provide useable answer]
				Unknown	Unknown
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	23.65	18.48	78%	We want to achieve the average of our three nearest counties: 23.65 acres per 1,000, provided by county agencies, mostly in unincorporated areas.	18.48 acres per 1,000
				Full marina	950 boat slips
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	344.60 (325.31 + 19.29)	325.31	94%	We have specific goals and standards for specific facilities (e.g., basketball courts, playgrounds, community parks) contained in multiple pages in our comprehensive plan. Our general park system standard is 10 acres of park property for every 1,000 people.	We currently have 325.31 acres. We are deficient by 19.29 acres.
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	150.00	85.19 (2,300 ÷ 27)	57%	Our current goal is to serve 150 people per acre of parks and recreation facilities. We want to increase our RV park facilities from 1.5 acres to 5 acres to accommodate more recreational vehicles.	We currently have a population served of 2,300 and 27 acres of parks and recreation facilities. Of that, we only have 12 acres of recreational facilities (boat launch, RV park, soccer field and baseball fields, swimming pool, and river trail).
	1.5	5	30%		
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	7.00	6.00	86%	7 acres per 1,000	6 acres per 1,000
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	9.6	9.53	99%	County Comprehensive plan: Minimum of 9.6 acres of developed park per 1,000. 2008 Comprehensive Parks, Recreation & Open Space Plan: Desired 174 acres per 1,000 for all park lands (developed, conservancy, resource)	9.53 acres of developed park land and 34.4 acres all park lands.
	174	34.4	20%		
	5.00	3.78	76%	Our current LOS standard is 5 acres/1,000 for neighborhood and community parks. This applies only to acres of park land and the population within city boundaries. (The service area previously reported as 50,000 also includes a portion of our urban growth area. We do not have an LOS for the UGA.) We will soon be conducting an LOS review that more accurately represents our community’s needs.	We have achieved an LOS of 3.78 acres/1,000 for neighborhood and community parks in our community.
			[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]	
1 per 15,000	1 per 4,326	100%	We have target and minimum levels of service for provision of community parks, divided by land and facilities. Our defined level of service is: Land: [target] 1 park per 15,000 additional residents Land: [minimum] 1 additional community park per 21,000 additional residents Facilities: [target] 1 community park for every 25,000 people Facilities: [minimum] 1 new fully developed community park for every 28,500 in population	Our 2012 level of service was calculated to be: Land: 1 park per 4,326 additional residents Facilities: 1 park per every 19,772 residents	
1 per 25,000	1 per 19,772	100%			
			We look at it a little differently, such as the number of ballfields per 1,000, etc.	We have 3,000 acres of city parks for a service area population of 25,000. That does not include school district land, or county or state parks in that service area.	

Responses Pertaining to Local Quantity Criterion 1 (Part 3)

Region agency is in	Goal	Currently provided	% of goal	Q12. What is your agency’s planned goal, such as number of people it can accommodate, number of people being served, or desired per-capita acreage of public park and/or recreation sites?	Q13. Using the same measure as the goal in the previous questions, what does your agency currently provide? For instance, if the goal is 5 acres of park per 1,000 people, please tell us how many acres of park per 1,000 people your community currently has.
Seattle-King				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				1 acre of park per 100 people	Don’t know this for sure.
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	3.0	1.882	63%	Neighborhood park: 3 acres/1,000	Neighborhood park: 1.882 acres/1,000
	5.0	0	0%	Community park: 5 acres/1,000	Community park: 0 acres/1,000
	6.0	6.2	100%	Open space: 6 acres/1,000	Open space: 6.2 acres/1,000
	0.75	3.0	100%	Trails: 0.75 miles/1,000	Trails: 3 miles/1,000
	4.5	4.19	93%	4.5 acres per 1,000 population of all recreation sites and	4.19 acres per 1,000 population of all recreation sites and
	3.1	2.38	77%	3.1 acres per 1,000 population for core or active sites.	2.38 acres per 1,000 population for core or active sites.
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
16.29	7.65	47%	16.29 acres per 1,000 residents	7.65 acres per 1,000 residents	
			[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]	
			Set standards for programs/budgets/ facilities and management in administration and maintenance. Provide active and passive recreational opportunities to island residents. That all parks and facilities will have safety, maintenance, best management, and ADA standards. Redefine, evaluate, and coordinate the use of all Vashon Park District and inter-local agreements to align with the current consensus.	[Did not answer]	

Responses Pertaining to Local Quantity Criterion 1 (Part 4)

Region agency is in	Goal	Currently provided	% of goal	Q12. What is your agency’s planned goal, such as number of people it can accommodate, number of people being served, or desired per-capita acreage of public park and/or recreation sites?	Q13. Using the same measure as the goal in the previous questions, what does your agency currently provide? For instance, if the goal is 5 acres of park per 1,000 people, please tell us how many acres of park per 1,000 people your community currently has.
Southwest				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				1. Provide a streamlined and predictable permitting process 2. Provide shoreline access in appropriate and desirable locations 3. Increase public awareness of the Port	The Port of Tacoma has 7 existing shoreline public access sites that have been developed over the years as mitigation for large capital projects, typically on a permit-by-permit basis. More recently (as a result of the City of Tacoma updating their SMP), the Port has developed a Public Access Plan that gives policy direction toward providing public access in a more thoughtful manner, looking at a 10-year build-out and relating to our anticipated capital improvements over the same time period. Our service area generally covers the tidal flats and does not take into account acreage/population, but rather the appropriate types/location of access the Port can provide without creating conflicts with the public and heavy industrial use.
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	6.00	5.38	90%	6 acres per 1,000 residents	5.38 acres per 1,000 residents
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	14.94	14.87	100%	14.94 acres/1,000 population (2020)	14.87 acres/1,000 population (2010)
				3 acres of community parks/1,000, and 2 acres of neighborhood parks/1,000 = total 5 acres/1,000	Developed community parks: acres/1,000 Developed neighborhood parks acres/1,000 Total undeveloped + developed community parks lands acres/1,000 Total undeveloped + developed neighborhood parks lands acres/1,000
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	5.0	5.17	100%	Provide 5 acres of community park land per 1,000 residents.	We provide 5.17 acres of community park land per 1,000 residents.
	1.0	0.78	78%	Provide 1 acre of neighborhood park land per 1,000 residents.	We provide 0.78 acres of neighborhood park land per 1,000 residents.
1.30	1.32	100%	Maintain a level of service of 1.30 acres of special use area land per 1,000 residents.	We maintain a level of service of 1.32 acres of special use area land per 1,000 residents.	
3.00	4.00	100%	3 acres per 1,000 people.	4 acres per 1,000 people.	

Responses Pertaining to Local Quantity Criterion 1 (Part 5)

Region agency is in	Goal	Currently provided	% of goal	Q12. What is your agency’s planned goal, such as number of people it can accommodate, number of people being served, or desired per-capita acreage of public park and/or recreation sites?	Q13. Using the same measure as the goal in the previous questions, what does your agency currently provide? For instance, if the goal is 5 acres of park per 1,000 people, please tell us how many acres of park per 1,000 people your community currently has.
Northeast				10 acres per 1,000 people	43 acres of developed land and 50 additional acres of undeveloped land. Once our lands are fully developed, we will have 93 acres managed by our agency, with an additional 20-30 acres managed by the school district and local university, putting us above the identified goal.
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	1.4	1.66	100%	Obtain 1.4 acres of community parks per 1,000 population in the unincorporated Urban Growth Area by 2026. Obtain 11.7 acres of regional parks per 1,000 population in the unincorporated area by 2026.	Community Parks LOS within the Urban Growth Area as of 2010: 1.66 (adopted goal is 1.4) (we have 105.25 acres of community parks within the Urban Growth Area with a 2010 population estimate in the unincorporated UGA of 67,063). Note: for your interest, we have a current LOS of 1.63 acres of community parks per 1,000 in the overall unincorporated area (that number obtained from 222.4 total acres of community parks and a 2010 population of 136,097 in the unincorporated area). There is no adopted goal for the overall unincorporated area. Regional Parks LOS within the unincorporated area as of 2010: 22.8 acres (using 3,113.27 acres of regional parks and a 2010 population estimate in the unincorporated area of 136,097).
11.7	22.8	100%			
Columbia Plateau				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	1.50	1.00	67%	1.5 acres per 1,000 residents	1 acre per 1,000 residents
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
South Central				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	182	41	23%	We use acreage and amenities per 100 people for park acreage and other amenities such as ballfields and playgrounds.	As far as acreage is concerned, we are low. We use 2 acres/1,000 people for mini/neighborhood parks. We have 41 acres, but are well below the 182 acres required. For community parks, we use 5 acres/1,000 people. We have 295 acres, but again are below the required 455 acres.
	455	295	65%		
	1 acre per 100	1 acre per 100	100%	We do plan in the future to install another 20 more campsites, and we are currently in the planning process of adding 12 new boat slips in the marina area.	1 acre per 100 people: our park is 25 usable acres there for 2,500 people.
			[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]	
			[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]	
			[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]	
The Palouse				[Did not answer]	[Did not answer]
	5 per 950	5 per 1,350	70%	Goal is 5 acres of park/recreation land per 950 people	Currently at 1,350 people per 5 acres of park/recreation land

As the tabulations show, less than half of local providers gave responses that could be used in this assessment (some gave responses that were not quantifiable; some did not give responses at all). Nonetheless, most regions have at least one data point, allowing the analysis, albeit somewhat crude, to be run. (Those regions in which no respondents gave useable data for this analysis are the Islands and the Coast.)

To arrive at an “average” percent for each region, a mean was taken of the percentages in the “% of goal” column. In those instances when a single respondent gave more than one measurement, a mean was taken of all of that single respondent’s percentages to arrive at an overall percentage for that single respondent. Then, a mean was taken of all the respondents’ percentages. The data for Seattle-King serves to illustrate.

In the tabulation above, Seattle-King had the following data from three respondents that could be used in this analysis (note that ten respondents either did not give an answer or gave an answer that could not be used).

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
3.0	1.882	63%	Neighborhood park: 3 acres/1,000	Neighborhood park: 1.882 acres/1,000
5.0	0	0%	Community park: 5 acres/1,000	Community park: 0 acres/1,000
6.0	6.2	100%	Open space: 6 acres/1,000	Open space: 6.2 acres/1,000
0.75	3.0	100%	Trails: 0.75 miles/1,000	Trails: 3 miles/1,000
4.5	4.19	93%	4.5 acres per 1,000 population of all recreation sites and	4.19 acres per 1,000 population of all recreation sites and
3.1	2.38	77%	3.1 acres per 1,000 population for core or active sites.	2.38 acres per 1,000 population for core or active sites.
16.29	7.65	47%	16.29 acres per 1,000 residents	7.65 acres per 1,000 residents

The first respondent gave the following percentages: 63%, 0%, 100%, and 100%. The mean of this is 66%.

The second respondent gave the following percentages: 93% and 77%. The mean of this is 85%.

The third respondent gave only one percentage: 47%.

The percentages now assigned to these three respondents (66%, 85%, and 47%) results in a mean of 66%.

Note that the standards listed in the LOS look at the inverse of this percent (the percent above is the portion of demand that is met; the standards are the percentages of *unmet* demand), so the 66% above is the amount of demand being met, leaving 34% of demand *not* being met. Based on the LOS standards, this is a “D” grade.

The useable data from these questions is tabulated below. Single respondents' responses for which a single-response mean must first be calculated are shaded; they are indicated in the "data points" by parentheses.

The Islands: No useable data.

Peninsulas

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
2.00	1.00	50%	Our goal is to serve about 800 people on about 2 acres of property	800 people on about 1 acre
10.00	9.23	92%	10 acres per 1,000 people.	9.23 acres per 1,000 people.
5.00	3.75	75%	5 acres per 1,000	3.75 acres per 1,000
1 per 1,000	4 per 4,500 (1 per 1,125)	89%	The number of people that the Port can accommodate is 500, number of people being served is 4,500, and the desired per-capita acreage of park and recreation site is 1 acre per 1,000 people.	The Port currently provides 4 acres of public park and recreation site for the 4,500 people within our district.
1.0	1.5	100%	1 acre per 1,000 population (neighborhood parks)	Neighborhood parks: 13.76 acres (1.5 per 1,000)
5.0	3.0	60%	5 acres per 1,000 population (community parks)	Community parks: 28.43 acres (3 acres per 1,000)
2.0	1.56	78%	2 acres per 1,000 population (regional parks)	Regional parks: 14.38 acres (1.56 acres per 1,000)
5.0	6.0	100%	5 acres per 1,000 population (open space parks)	Open space parks: 55.82 acres (6 acres per 1,000)
1.0	0.4	40%	1 mile per 1,000 population (trails)	Trails: 3.75 miles (4/10 of a mile per 1,000)

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 5

Data points: 50, 92, 75, 89, (76)

Mean = 76% of demand being met; 24% not being met

The Coast: No useable data

North Cascades

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
23.65	18.48	78%	We want to achieve the average of our three nearest counties: 23.65 acres per 1,000, provided by county agencies, mostly in unincorporated areas	18.48 acres per 1,000
344.60 (325.31 + 19.29)	325.31	94%	We have specific goals and standards for specific facilities (e.g., basketball courts, playgrounds, community parks) contained in multiple pages in our comprehensive plan. Our general park system standard is 10 acres of park property for every 1,000 people.	We currently have 325.31 acres. We are deficient by 19.29 acres.
150.00	85.19 (2,300 ÷ 27)	57%	Our current goal is to serve 150 people per acre of parks and recreation facilities. We want to increase our RV Park facilities from 1.5 acres to 5 acres to accommodate more recreational vehicles.	We currently have a population served of 2,300 and 27 acres of parks and recreation facilities. Of that, we only have 12 acres of recreational facilities (boat launch, RV park, soccer field and baseball fields, swimming pool and river trail).
1.5	5	30%		
7.00	6.00	86%	7 acres per 1,000	6 acres per 1,000
9.6	9.53	99%	County Comprehensive plan: Minimum of 9.6 acres of developed park per 1,000. 2008 Comprehensive Parks, Recreation & Open Space Plan: Desired 174 acres per 1,000 for all park lands (developed, conservancy, resource)	9.53 acres of developed park land and 34.4 acres all park lands.
174	34.4	20%		
5.00	3.78	76%	Our current LOS standard is 5 acres/1,000 for neighborhood and community parks. This applies only to acres of park land and the population within city boundaries. (The service area previously reported as 50,000 also includes a portion of our urban growth area. We do not have an LOS for the UGA.) We will soon be conducting an LOS review that more accurately represents our community's needs.	We have achieved an LOS of 3.78 acres/1,000 for neighborhood and community parks in our community.
1 per 15,000	1 per 4,326	100%	We have target and minimum levels of service for provision of community parks, divided by land and facilities. Our defined level of service is: Land: [target] 1 park per 15,000 additional residents Land: [minimum] 1 additional community park per 21,000 additional residents Facilities: [target] 1 community park for every 25,000 people Facilities: [minimum] 1 new fully developed community park for every 28,500 in population	Our 2012 level of service was calculated to be: Land: 1 park per 4,326 additional residents Facilities: 1 park per every 19,772 residents
1 per 25,000	1 per 19,772	100%		

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 7

Data Points: 78, 94, (44), 86, (60), 76, (100)

Mean = 77% of demand being met; 23% not being met

Seattle-King

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
3.0	1.882	63%	Neighborhood park: 3 acres/1,000	Neighborhood park: 1.882 acres/1,000
5.0	0	0%	Community park: 5 acres/1,000	Community park: 0 acres/1,000
6.0	6.2	100%	Open space: 6 acres/1,000	Open space: 6.2 acres/1,000
0.75	3.0	100%	Trails: 0.75 miles/1,000	Trails: 3 miles/1,000
4.5	4.19	93%	4.5 acres per 1,000 population of all recreation sites and 3.1 acres per 1,000 population for core or active sites.	4.19 acres per 1,000 population of all recreation sites and
3.1	2.38	77%		2.38 acres per 1,000 population for core or active sites.
16.29	7.65	47%	16.29 acres per 1,000 residents	7.65 acres per 1,000 residents

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 3

Data Points: (66), (85), 47

Mean = 66% of demand being met; 34% not being met

Southwest

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
6.00	5.38	90%	6 acres per 1,000 residents	5.38 acres per 1,000 residents
14.94	14.87	100%	14.94 acres/1,000 population (2020)	14.87 acres/1,000 population (2010)
5.0	5.17	100%	Provide 5 acres of community park land per 1,000 residents.	We provide 5.17 acres of community park land per 1,000 residents.
1.0	0.78	78%	Provide 1 acre of neighborhood park land per 1,000 residents.	We provide 0.78 acres of neighborhood park land per 1,000 residents.
1.30	1.32	100%	Maintain a level of service of 1.30 acres of special use area land per 1,000 residents.	We maintain a level of service of 1.32 acres of special use area land per 1,000 residents.
3.00	4.00	100%	3 acres per 1,000 people.	4 acres per 1,000 people.

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 4

Data Points: 90, 100, (93), 100

Mean = 96% of demand being met; 4% not being met

Northeast

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
1.4	1.66	100%	Obtain 1.4 acres of community parks per 1,000 population in the unincorporated Urban Growth Area by 2026. Obtain 11.7 acres of regional parks per 1,000 population in the unincorporated area by 2026.	Community Parks LOS within the Urban Growth Area as of 2010: 1.66 (adopted goal is 1.4) (we have 105.25 acres of community parks within the Urban Growth Area with a 2010 population estimate in the unincorporated UGA of 67,063). Note: for your interest, we have a current LOS of 1.63 acres of community parks per 1,000 in the overall unincorporated area (that number obtained from 222.4 total acres of community parks and a 2010 population of 136,097 in the unincorporated area). There is no adopted goal for the overall unincorporated area. Regional Parks LOS within the unincorporated area as of 2010: 22.8 acres (using 3,113.27 acres of regional parks and a 2010 population estimate in the unincorporated area of 136,097).

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 1

Data Point: 100

Mean = 100% of demand being met; 0% not being met

Columbia Plateau

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
1.50	1.00	67%	1.5 acres per 1,000 residents	1 acre per 1,000 residents

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 1

Data Point: 67

Mean = 67% of demand being met; 33% not being met

South Central

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
182	41	23%	We use acreage and amenities per 100 people for park acreage and other amenities such as ballfields and playgrounds.	As far as acreage is concerned, we are low. We use 2 acres/1,000 people for mini/neighborhood parks. We have 41 acres, but are well below the 182 acres required. For community parks, we use 5 acres/1,000 people. We have 295 acres, but again are below the required 455 acres.
455	295	65%		
1 acre per 100	1 acre per 100	100%	We do plan in the future to install another 20 more campsites, and we are currently in the planning process of adding 12 new boat slips in the marina area.	1 acre per 100 people: our park is 25 usable acres there for 2,500 people.

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 2

Data Points: (44), 100

Mean = 72% of demand being met; 28% not being met

The Palouse

Goal	Current	% of Goal	Goal	Current
5 per 950	5 per 1,350	70%	Goal is 5 acres of park/recreation land per 950 people	Currently at 1,350 people per 5 acres of park/recreation land

Number of respondents that gave useable data: 1

Data Point: 70

Mean = 70% of demand being met; 30% not being met

The final data for this criterion is summarized below. As stated previously, the standards are based on *unmet* demand, which is the inverse of the mean percentage calculated for each region above (e.g., The Palouse, immediately above, was at 70%; the inverse of which is 30%, as shown below).

Percent of Goal That Agency Provides

	Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean of Unmet Demand	No useable data	24%	No useable data	23%	34%	4%	0%	33%	28%	30%
Grade	NA	C	NA	C	D	A	A	D	C	C

Local Quantity Criterion 2: Facilities That Support Active Recreation Opportunities

The percent of facilities that support or encourage active (muscle-powered) recreational opportunities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 60%
- B 51% to 60%
- C 41% to 50%
- D 31% to 40%
- E 30% or less

This criterion was straightforward, taken from the question in the Local Provider Survey that asked providers to indicate the percent of their existing sites that support active recreation. The means of their responses and the associated grade are as follows:

Percent of Facilities That Support Active Recreation

	Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean	68.75%	45.45%	45.00%	63.37%	46.77%	50.43%	55.00%	43.75%	65.83%	56.00%
Grade	A	C	C	A	C	C	B	C	A	B

Local Quantity Criterion 3: Facility Capacity

The percent of demand met by existing facilities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 75%
- B 61% to 75%
- C 46% to 60%
- D 30% to 45%
- E Less than 30%

For this criterion, the Local Provider Survey asked respondents to indicate how much of their demand for specific outdoor activities is met by their existing facilities. The results are tabulated starting below.

Percent of Demand Met by Existing Facilities

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Designated Sightseeing Areas (Local Provider Survey)	0	67	75	55	93.33	90	56.67	62.5	58.33	62.5
Cultural and/or Historic Sites (Local Provider Survey)	0	62.5	58.33	72.5	72.5	68.14	41.67	25	45	50
Nature Interpretive Centers (Local Provider Survey)	50	30	50	63	80	60	25	0	50	85
Community Gardens or Pea Patches (Local Provider Survey)	100	58.67	20	50	78	56.86	77.5	0	0	80
Fishing Piers (Local Provider Survey)	58.33	63.33	33.33	59	87.5	33	37.5	0	60	50
Picnic Areas (Local Provider Survey)	97.5	72.67	75	79.55	69.36	80.11	70	96.67	70	77.5
Freshwater Beach Access Sites (Local Provider Survey)	95	85	100	77.86	82.6	42.14	41.5	75	35	15
Saltwater Beach Access Sites (Local Provider Survey)	75	60.11	50	56.67	84	76.67	0	0	0	0
Boat Access Sites for Non-Motorized Boats Only (Local Provider Survey)	78.75	63.57	66.67	70	56.88	45	52.5	100	30	20
Boat Access Sites That Accommodate Motorized Craft (Local Provider Survey)	87.5	86.11	33.33	78	78.33	72	65	95	52.5	25
Pump-Out Stations (Local Provider Survey)	100	79	50	83.33	100	86.25	0	0	80	50
Outdoor Ice Skating Rinks (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	0	100	100	50	10	0	0
Designated Snow and Ice Trails (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	0	10	0
Downhill Skiing Areas (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	0	0	0	90	0	0	0
Air Activities (Local Provider Survey)	0	70	0	99	0	100	0	0	100	100
Dog Parks (Local Provider Survey)	0	37	0	57.5	50	47.6	41.5	0	0	60
Surfaced Trails (Total) (Local Provider Survey)	55	46	60	57.08	77	48.29	27.5	50	53.33	60
Unsurfaced Trails (Total) (Local Provider Survey)	58.33	42.5	56.67	57.92	62.86	58.5	46.67	50	56.67	62.5
Surfaced Trails Appropriate for Bicycles (Local Provider Survey)	55	1	60	53.75	77.5	43.83	37.5	50	47.5	70
Unsurfaced Trails Appropriate for Bicycles (Local Provider Survey)	62.5	50	20	55	80	37	53.33	0	10	50

Percent of Demand Met by Existing Facilities

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Equestrian Facilities (Local Provider Survey)	0	50	0	87.5	87.5	50	60	0	0	0
Designated Bridle Trails (Local Provider Survey)	17.5	87	0	37.5	75	50	47.5	0	0	0
Designated Motorized Trails (Local Provider Survey)	0	40	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0
Designated Motorized Areas Without Trails (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0
Campgrounds (Local Provider Survey)	25	46	50	81	50	100	56.5	50	57.5	67.5
Designated Hunting Areas (Local Provider Survey)	0	15	0	0	0	0	100	80	0	0
Shooting Ranges (Total) (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	87.5	100	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Ranges That Accommodate Archery (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	80	100	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Ranges That Accommodate Rifle / Handgun (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Ranges That Accommodate Skeet / Trap / Clay / Target Games (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	62.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equipped Playgrounds / Play Areas (Local Provider Survey)	96.67	48.5	62.5	69	71.6	77	73.33	70	55	75
Outdoor Tracks for Running / Jogging (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	25	0	91.67	87.5	100	0	0	75
Outdoor Swimming Pools (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	83.33	85	80	88.67	0	82.5	50
Roller Skating / Skateboard Parks (Local Provider Survey)	95	57.67	100	68.57	69.38	64.17	60	50	87.5	62.5
Basketball Courts (Local Provider Survey)	75	72	100	65	75.8	82.86	31	1	80	60
Outdoor Tennis Courts (Local Provider Survey)	0	40.5	100	63	90	67.14	75	75	70	82.5
Sports Fields (Total for All Sports) (Local Provider Survey)	95	67	62.5	77.5	75.63	64	50	25	72.5	42.5
Sports Fields for Multipurpose Use (Local Provider Survey)	95	50	62.5	78.89	76.25	70.43	50	20	60	37.5
Sports Fields With Football Goals (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	62.5	75	87.5	60	75	0	0	0
Sports Fields With Lacrosse Goals (If Goals Are Portable, Indicate Number of Fields That Can Be Set Up) (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	71.67	71	0	0	0	0	0
Sports Fields With Rugby Goals (Local Provider Survey)	0	0	0	75	1	56.5	0	0	0	0
Sports Fields With Soccer Goals (If Goals Are Portable, Indicate Number of Fields That Can Be Set Up) (Local Provider Survey)	95	70	100	72.73	67.25	56.83	50	20	40	40
Baseball / Softball Diamonds (Local Provider Survey)	100	83	100	83.64	78.33	87.86	26	80	77.5	75
Golf Courses (Local Provider Survey)	0	72	100	87.5	90	100	77.5	0	70	0
Disc Golf Courses (Local Provider Survey)	0	62.5	50	75	95	50	80	90	50	90

For each column in the preceding tabulation, the mean was calculated, providing an “average” of the demand that is met. The results are shown below, along with the associated LOS grade.

Mean Percent of Demand Met by All Existing Facilities

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Total Demand Met	37.05%	40.79%	39.63%	60.16%	65.95%	52.22%	45.99%	26.11%	34.69%	37.22%
Grade	D	D	D	C	B	C	C	E	D	D

Local Quality Criterion 1: Agency-Based Assessment

The percent of facilities that are fully functional for their specific design and safety guidelines.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 80%
- B 61% to 80%
- C 41% to 60%
- D 20% to 40%
- E Less than 20%

The Local Provider Survey asked respondents to indicate the percent of their facilities that are fully functional; the mean of the results for all the respondents in each region was then determined, as shown below, along with the associated grade.

Percent of Facilities That Are Fully Functional

Percent	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean	100.00	74.30	72.00	89.17	82.92	66.00	66.00	71.25	62.20	46.67
Grade	A	B	B	A	A	B	B	B	B	C

Local Quality Criterion 2: Public Satisfaction

The percent of the population satisfied with the condition, quantity, or distribution of existing active park and recreation facilities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 65%
- B 51% to 65%
- C 36% to 50%
- D 25% to 35%
- E Less than 25%

The Local Provider Survey asked respondents to indicate the estimated level of satisfaction for all three of these items: the condition, the quantity, and the distribution. The initial results of the survey are as follows:

Percent Satisfied With the Following Factors of Park and Recreation Facilities

		The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse	The Islands
Condition	Mean	80	66.25	56.8	71.33	66	74.46	76.25	62.5	61	80	69.25
Quantity	Mean	55	53.75	46.8	66.28	64.25	73.33	80	42.5	61	66.33	62.27
Distribution	Mean	48.75	59.58	52.8	65	62	66.92	78.33	40	62	61.67	61.3

A mean of the means was then calculated for each region, as shown below, along with its associated grade:

Mean Percent Satisfied With Park and Recreation Facilities

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean of the three means	61.25	59.86	52.13	67.54	64.08	71.57	78.19	48.33	61.33	69.33
Grade	B	B	B	A	B	A	A	C	B	A

Local Distribution and Access Criterion 1: Population Within Service Area

The percent of the population within the following service areas: 0.5 miles of a neighborhood park/trail, 5 miles of a community park/trail, and 25 miles of a regional park/trail.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 75%
- B 61% to 75%
- C 46% to 60%
- D 30% to 45%
- E Less than 30%

The Local Provider Survey asked three questions that pertained to this criterion, with a separate question for neighborhood parks/trails, for community parks/trails, and for regional parks/trails. Each respondent assigned a percent for each of the three types of parks, and a mean was calculated among all respondents in each region. These results are shown below:

Percent Within Agency’s Service Area Who Live a Specific Distance From the Following

Distance		The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse	The Islands
0.5 mile of neighborhood park	Mean	55	30.42	37	40.05	55.38	45.46	49.5	40	52.5	43	43.9
5 miles of a community park	Mean	85	45.42	75	72.16	82.31	73.23	85.25	62.5	62.5	89	70.87
25 miles of a regional park	Mean	100	82	87	94.84	93.46	96.15	93.75	76.25	78.33	87.33	90.34

A mean of the means was then calculated for each region, as shown below, along with its associated grade:

Mean Percent Within Agency’s Service Area Who Live a Specific Distance From Recreation Sites

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean of the means	80	52.61	66.33	69.02	77.05	71.61	76.17	59.58	64.44	73.11
Grade	A	C	B	B	A	B	A	C	B	B

Local Distribution and Access Criterion 2: Access

The percent of parks and recreation facilities that may be accessed safely via foot, bicycle, or public transportation.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 80%
- B 61% to 80%
- C 41% to 60%
- D 20% to 40%
- E Less than 20%

The Local Provider Survey directly asked respondents to indicate the percent of their parks and facilities that may be accessed safely via foot, bicycle, or public transportation. Each respondent assigned a percent, and a mean was calculated among all respondents in each region. These results are shown below:

Percent Who Can Access Recreation Areas Safely via Foot, Bicycle, or Public Transportation

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean	69.5	81.82	65	79.05	72.54	75.71	93.25	62.5	80.83	50
Grade	B	A	B	B	B	B	A	B	A	C

State and Federal Quantity Criterion : Capital Facility Development

The percent of unmet capital facility development goals.

LOS Standard:

- A Less than 30%
- B 30% to 40%
- C 41% to 50%
- D 51% to 60%
- E More than 60%

Note that the State and Federal assessments were not done by region because many of the agencies involved either had jurisdictions that were bigger than the regions or had service areas (i.e., the areas in which they anticipate drawing visitors) that were bigger than the regions. For these, the grade is assigned statewide.

This criterion was asked about directly in the State/Federal/Not-for-Profit Survey (with the state and federal agency respondents analyzed by themselves for the LOS analysis). The results of the survey among state and federal agency personnel are shown below:

Biennial Average Percent of Organization’s Unmet Capital Facility Development Goals for Public Outdoor Recreation

Mean	51.67
Grade	D

State and Federal Quality Criterion 1: Agency-Based Assessment

The percent of facilities that are fully functional for their specific design and safety guidelines.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 80%
- B 61% to 80%
- C 41% to 60%
- D 20% to 40%
- E Less than 20%

This criterion was also asked about directly in the State/Federal/Not-for-Profit Survey, with the results shown below:

Percent of Public Park and/or Recreation Sites Managed by Organization That Are Fully Functional

Mean	81.22
Grade	A

State and Federal Quality Criterion 2: Public Satisfaction

The percent of visitor population satisfied with existing park and outdoor recreation facilities, experiences, and opportunities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 65%
- B 51% to 65%
- C 36% to 50%
- D 25% to 35%
- E Less than 25%

The data to assess this criterion comes from a direct question in the State/Federal/Not-for-Profit Survey in which respondents were asked to indicate the percent being satisfied. The results and associated grade are shown below:

Percent of Organization’s Visitor Population Satisfied With Existing Park and Outdoor Recreation Facilities/Experiences/Opportunities

Mean	86.70
Grade	A

State and Federal Access Criterion : Sustainable Access

The percent of access/recreation areas/facilities that provide sustainable recreation opportunities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 65%
- B 56% to 65%
- C 46% to 55%
- D 36% to 45%
- E 35% or less

This criterion, too, was asked about directly in the State/Federal/Not-for-Profit Survey. The results and associated grade are shown below:

Percent of Public Park and/or Recreation Sites Managed by Organization That Provide Sustainable Outdoor Recreation Opportunities

Mean	82.75
Grade	A

SUMMARY OF ALL THE RESULTS

Local Quantity Criterion 1: Number of Parks and Recreation Facilities

The percent difference between the existing quantity or per capita average of parks and recreation facilities and the desired quantity or per capita average of parks and recreation facilities.

LOS Standard:

- A 10% or less
- B 11% to 20%
- C 21% to 30%
- D 31% to 40%
- E More than 40%

Percent of Goal That Agency Provides

	Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean of Unmet Demand	No useable data	24%	No useable data	23%	34%	4%	0%	33%	28%	30%
Grade	NA	C	NA	C	D	A	A	D	C	C

Local Quantity Criterion 2: Facilities That Support Active Recreation Opportunities

The percent of facilities that support or encourage active (muscle-powered) recreational opportunities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 60%
- B 51% to 60%
- C 41% to 50%
- D 31% to 40%
- E 30% or less

Percent of Facilities That Support Active Recreation

	Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean	68.75%	45.45%	45.00%	63.37%	46.77%	50.43%	55.00%	43.75%	65.83%	56.00%
Grade	A	C	C	A	C	C	B	C	A	B

Local Quantity Criterion 3: Facility Capacity

The percent of demand met by existing facilities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 75%
- B 61% to 75%
- C 46% to 60%
- D 30% to 45%
- E Less than 30%

Mean Percent of Demand Met by All Existing Facilities

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Total Demand Met	37.05%	40.79%	39.63%	60.16%	65.95%	52.22%	45.99%	26.11%	34.69%	37.22%
Grade	D	D	D	C	B	C	C	E	D	D

Local Quality Criterion 1: Agency-Based Assessment

The percent of facilities that are fully functional for their specific design and safety guidelines.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 80%
- B 61% to 80%
- C 41% to 60%
- D 20% to 40%
- E Less than 20%

Percent of Facilities That Are Fully Functional

Percent	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean	100.00	74.30	72.00	89.17	82.92	66.00	66.00	71.25	62.20	46.67
Grade	A	B	B	A	A	B	B	B	B	C

Local Quality Criterion 2: Public Satisfaction

The percent of the population satisfied with the condition, quantity, or distribution of existing active park and recreation facilities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 65%
- B 51% to 65%
- C 36% to 50%
- D 25% to 35%
- E Less than 25%

Mean Percent Satisfied With Park and Recreation Facilities

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean of the three means	61.25	59.86	52.13	67.54	64.08	71.57	78.19	48.33	61.33	69.33
Grade	B	B	B	A	B	A	A	C	B	A

Local Distribution and Access Criterion 1: Population Within Service Area

The percent of the population within the following service areas: 0.5 miles of a neighborhood park/trail, 5 miles of a community park/trail, and 25 miles of a regional park/trail.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 75%
- B 61% to 75%
- C 46% to 60%
- D 30% to 45%
- E Less than 30%

Mean Percent Within Agency’s Service Area Who Live a Specific Distance From Recreation Sites

	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean of the means	80	52.61	66.33	69.02	77.05	71.61	76.17	59.58	64.44	73.11
Grade	A	C	B	B	A	B	A	C	B	B

Local Distribution and Access Criterion 2: Access

The percent of parks and recreation facilities that may be accessed safely via foot, bicycle, or public transportation.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 80%
- B 61% to 80%
- C 41% to 60%
- D 20% to 40%
- E Less than 20%

Percent Who Can Access Recreation Areas Safely via Foot, Bicycle, or Public Transportation

Percent who can access recreation areas safely via foot, bicycle, or public transportation. (Local Provider Survey)										
	The Islands	Peninsulas	The Coast	North Cascades	Seattle-King	Southwest	Northeast	Columbia Plateau	South Central	The Palouse
Mean	69.5	81.82	65	79.05	72.54	75.71	93.25	62.5	80.83	50
Grade	B	A	B	B	B	B	A	B	A	C

State and Federal Quantity Criterion : Capital Facility Development

The percent of unmet capital facility development goals.

LOS Standard:

- A Less than 30%
- B 30% to 40%
- C 41% to 50%
- D 51% to 60%
- E More than 60%

Biennial Average Percent of Organization’s Unmet Capital Facility Development Goals for Public Outdoor Recreation

Mean	51.67
Grade	D

State and Federal Quality Criterion 1: Agency-Based Assessment

The percent of facilities that are fully functional for their specific design and safety guidelines.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 80%
- B 61% to 80%
- C 41% to 60%
- D 20% to 40%
- E Less than 20%

Percent of Public Park and/or Recreation Sites Managed by Organization That Are Fully Functional

Mean	81.22
Grade	A

State and Federal Quality Criterion 2: Public Satisfaction

The percent of visitor population satisfied with existing park and outdoor recreation facilities, experiences, and opportunities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 65%
- B 51% to 65%
- C 36% to 50%
- D 25% to 35%
- E Less than 25%

Percent of Organization’s Visitor Population Satisfied With Existing Park and Outdoor Recreation Facilities/Experiences/Opportunities

Mean	86.70
Grade	A

State and Federal Access Criterion : Sustainable Access

The percent of access/recreation areas/facilities that provide sustainable recreation opportunities.

LOS Standard:

- A More than 65%
- B 56% to 65%
- C 46% to 55%
- D 36% to 45%
- E 35% or less

Percent of Public Park and/or Recreation Sites Managed by Organization That Provide Sustainable Outdoor Recreation Opportunities

Mean	82.75
Grade	A